Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Asia Growth Fund

Legal entity identifier: 5493000HGUC7JPE72E71

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 69.51% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 91.07% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 69.51% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 91.07% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 69.51% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 69.51% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFAC	Information Technology	9.69	Taiwan
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO LTD	Information Technology	7.87	South Korea
TENCENT HOLDINGS LTD	Communication Services	6.67	Cayman Islands
AIA GROUP LTD	Financials	5.00	Hong Kong
HOUSING DEVELOPMENT FINANCE	Financials	3.17	India
ALIBABA GROUP HOLDING LTD	Consumer Discretionary	3.08	Cayman Islands
HDFC BANK LIMITED	Financials	2.93	India
SK HYNIX INC	Information Technology	2.77	South Korea
NETEASE INC	Communication Services	2.69	Cayman Islands
BANK CENTRAL ASIA TBK PT	Financials	2.66	Indonesia
HONG KONG EXCHANGES & CLEAR	Financials	2.54	Hong Kong
BANK RAKYAT INDONESIA PERSER	Financials	2.47	Indonesia

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 91.07% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 69.51% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Communication Services	Media & Entertainment	10.67
Communication Services	Telecommunication Services	1.19
Consumer Discretionary	Automobiles And Components	0.69
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Discretionary Dist & Retail	4.31
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Durables And Apparel	1.64
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Services	5.43
Consumer Staples	Food Beverage And Tobacco	5.23
Consumer Staples	Household And Personal Products	2.34
Financials	Banks	15.90
Financials	Financial Services	7.36
Financials	Insurance	6.15
Health Care	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	0.81
Industrials	Capital Goods	3.70
Information Technology	Semiconductors And Semiconductor Equip	13.98
Information Technology	Software And Services	3.62
Information Technology	Technology Hardware And Equipment	12.80

Materials	Materials	1.35

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 3% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 3.25%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 55.75% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 13.76% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 8.93% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.

- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Asia Pacific Equity Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300WYNZO1TJC4GX74

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 54.95% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 79.20% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 54.95% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 79.20% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 54.95% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 54.95% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFAC	Information Technology	8.45	Taiwan
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO LTD	Information Technology	5.59	South Korea
TENCENT HOLDINGS LTD	Communication Services	5.02	Cayman Islands
ALIBABA GROUP HOLDING LTD	Consumer Discretionary	2.71	Cayman Islands
AIA GROUP LTD	Financials	2.61	Hong Kong
HOUSING DEVELOPMENT FINANCE	Financials	2.31	India
NETEASE INC	Communication Services	2.12	Cayman Islands
HDFC BANK LIMITED	Financials	2.05	India
SK HYNIX INC	Information Technology	1.97	South Korea
BANK CENTRAL ASIA TBK PT	Financials	1.97	Indonesia
RELIANCE INDUSTRIES LTD	Energy	1.91	India
KIA CORP	Consumer Discretionary	1.81	South Korea
QBE INSURANCE GROUP LTD	Financials	1.75	Australia
CSL LTD	Health Care	1.66	Australia
COMMONWEALTH BANK OF AUSTRAL	Financials	1.64	Australia

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 79.20% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 54.95% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the

fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Communication Services	Media & Entertainment	7.14
Communication Services	Telecommunication Services	1.05
Consumer Discretionary	Automobiles And Components	5.19
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Discretionary Dist & Retail	5.10
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Durables And Apparel	0.99
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Services	2.94
Consumer Staples	Consumer Staples Distribution & Retail	0.54
Consumer Staples	Food Beverage And Tobacco	1.61
Energy	Energy	3.86
Financials	Banks	16.34
Financials	Financial Services	4.71
Financials	Insurance	7.43
Health Care	Health Care Equipment And Services	0.40
Health Care	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	2.30
Industrials	Capital Goods	3.62

Industrials	Commercial & Professional Services	1.28
Industrials	Transportation	1.35
Information Technology	Semiconductors And Semiconductor Equip	12.89
Information Technology	Software And Services	1.84
Information Technology	Technology Hardware And Equipment	10.26
Materials	Materials	4.20

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 2% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 1.82%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 44.08% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 10.87% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 20.80% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Asia Pacific Income Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300GBHG64ORRIDD14

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 46.62% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 74.43% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 46.62% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 74.43% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 46.62% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 46.62% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING COMPANY LIMITED	Electric	4.06	Taiwan
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.	Electric	3.53	Korea (Republic of)
US DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY	US Treasury Bonds/Bills	2.25	United States
AIA GROUP LIMITED	Insurance	1.82	Hong Kong
INDONESIA GOVERNMENT INTERNATIONAL BOND	Sovereign	1.50	Indonesia
PT PERTAMINA (PERSERO)	Energy	1.38	Indonesia
PT BANK RAKYAT INDONESIA (PERSERO) TBK	Banking	1.30	Indonesia
NETEASE, INC.	Technology	1.26	Cayman Islands
AIRPORT AUTHORITY OF HONG KONG	Foreign Agencies	1.24	Hong Kong
DBS GROUP HOLDINGS LTD	Banking	1.20	Singapore
SANTOS LIMITED	Energy	1.16	Australia
INFOSYS LIMITED	Technology	1.01	India
BRAMBLES LIMITED	Consumer Cyclical	0.98	Australia
SINGAPORE EXCHANGE LIMITED	Financial Other	0.97	Singapore
WOOLWORTHS GROUP LIMITED	Consumer Noncyclical	0.96	Australia

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 74.43% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 46.62% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Banking	Banking	16.14
Basic Industry	Chemicals	0.80
Basic Industry	Metals & Mining	5.15

Brokerage	Brokerage Assetmanagers Exchanges	0.34
Capital Goods	Building Materials	0.13
Capital Goods	Construction Machinery	0.51
Capital Goods	Diversified Manufacturing	0.46
Communications	Wireless	2.80
Communications	Wirelines	2.31
Consumer Cyclical	Automotive	1.33
Consumer Cyclical	Consumer Cyc Services	0.98
Consumer Cyclical	Gaming	1.52
Consumer Cyclical	Home Construction	2.46
Consumer Cyclical	Leisure	0.48
Consumer Cyclical	Lodging	0.13
Consumer Cyclical	Retailers	1.53
Consumer Cyclical	Textiles	0.79
Consumer Noncyclical	Consumer Products	1.63
Consumer Noncyclical	Food/Beverage	3.04
Consumer Noncyclical	Healthcare	0.41
Electric	Electric	12.46
Energy	Independent	1.82
Energy	Integrated	2.05
Energy	Oil Field Services	1.47
Energy	Refining	1.24
Finance Companies	Finance Companies	3.33
Financial Other	Financial Other	5.74
Foreign Agencies	Foreign Agencies	1.64
Foreign Local Govt	Foreign Local Govt	1.55
Industrial Other	Industrial Other	2.93
Insurance	Health Insurance	0.73
Insurance	Life	3.01
Insurance	P&C	1.84
Natural Gas	Natural Gas	0.85
REITs	REITS - Other	0.71
REITs	REITS - Retail	0.14
Sovereign	Sovereign	2.99
Technology	Technology	5.09
Transportation	Railroads	0.47
Transportation	Transportation Services	1.43
US Treasury Bonds/Bills	Bonds	2.25
Utility - Other	Utility - Other	0.93

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 7% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.19%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 32.02% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 14.60% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 25.57% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the

exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - China A-Share Opportunities Fund

Legal entity identifier: 5493005GW3195MJJOZ40

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 57.68% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 92.64% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 57.68% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 92.64% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 57.68% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 57.68% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
KWEICHOW MOUTAI CO LTD-A	Consumer Staples	6.95	China
PING AN INSURANCE GROUP CO-H	Financials	3.48	China
CHINA MERCHANTS BANK-H	Financials	2.97	China
CHINA YANGTZE POWER CO LTD-A	Utilities	2.76	China
LUZHOU LAOJIAO CO LTD-A	Consumer Staples	2.61	China
CONTEMPORARY AMPEREX TECHN-A	Industrials	2.29	China
ZHEJIANG JINGSHENG MECHANI-A	Information Technology	2.17	China
SHENZHEN MINDRAY BIO-MEDIC-A	Health Care	2.08	China
BEIJING KINGSOFT OFFICE SO-A	Information Technology	2.08	China
NINGBO TUOPU GROUP CO LTD-A	Consumer Discretionary	2.04	China
WANHUA CHEMICAL GROUP CO -A	Materials	2.03	China
FOCUS MEDIA INFORMATION TE-A	Communication Services	2.02	China
HUNDSUN TECHNOLOGIES INC-A	Information Technology	1.92	China
SHENZHEN INOVANCE TECHNOLO-A	Industrials	1.88	China
PING AN BANK CO LTD-A	Financials	1.86	China

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 92.64% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 57.68% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Communication Services	Media & Entertainment	2.02
Consumer Discretionary	Automobiles And Components	3.84
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Durables And	1.79

	Apparel	
Consumer Staples	Consumer Staples Distribution & Retail	0.46
Consumer Staples	Food Beverage And Tobacco	16.61
Consumer Staples	Household And Personal Products	0.59
Financials	Banks	6.64
Financials	Insurance	4.48
Health Care	Health Care Equipment And Services	4.53
Health Care	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	4.28
Industrials	Capital Goods	14.51
Industrials	Commercial & Professional Services	0.89
Industrials	Transportation	1.59
Information Technology	Semiconductors And Semiconductor Equip	13.36
Information Technology	Software And Services	7.32
Information Technology	Technology Hardware And Equipment	6.81
Materials	Materials	6.49
Utilities	Utilities	3.45

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 13% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments - including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 5.58%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 30.25% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 27.43% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 7.36% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - China Bond Opportunities Fund

Legal entity identifier: 5493008KFDWB0K4IUZ77

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 25.33% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 69.11% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 25.33% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded issuers that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out issuers that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant issuers for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any issuers during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such issuers were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on an issuer's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, an issuer must score in the top 80% relative to the Sub-Fund's custom universe on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 69.11% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 25.33% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure an issuer's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following or be linked to an environmental or social objective through the use of proceeds of the issue:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy;

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors and (iii) providing a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either: (i) products and services sustainability indicators, which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contribute to the relevant sustainable objective, such as an issuer producing solar panels or clean energy technology that meets the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; (ii) the use of proceeds of the issue, if such use was designated as linked to a specific environmental or social objective, or (iii) was an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to the Sub-Fund's custom universe based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to the custom universe on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy. The test for supranational and sovereign issuers may consider the issuer's mission or contributions, as peer group leaders or improvers, to positive environmental and social objectives subject to certain criteria.

The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 25.33% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those issuers which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing issuers, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those issuers demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those issuers that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively).

Indicators 10 – 14 relate to an issuer's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions,

chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude issuers that may cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain issuers, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee issuers themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by issuers or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, controversial weapons and investee countries subject to social violations. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee issuers in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager currently uses greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions. In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, the Investment Manager used a third-party representative proxy rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain issuers as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. A subset of the indicators was used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee issuers in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emission of air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways requires an issuer to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This requires scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to the benchmark.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

— *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:*

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee issuers based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
CHINA GOVERNMENT BOND	EM Local	15.65	China
AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT BANK OF CHINA	Finance	6.69	China
ICBCIL FINANCE CO. LIMITED	Finance	3.00	Hong Kong
ENN ENERGY HOLDINGS LIMITED	Utilities	2.79	Cayman Islands
CHINALCO CAPITAL HOLDINGS LTD	Industrial	2.60	Virgin Islands (British)
BANK OF CHINA LIMITED, MACAU BRANCH	Finance	2.50	China
SHANDONG IRON AND STEEL XINHENG INTERNATIONAL CO LTD	Non-US Govt Related Credit	2.43	Virgin Islands (British)
CHINA HUANENG GROUP (HONG KONG) TREASURY MANAGEMENT HOLDING LIMITED	Utilities	2.39	Virgin Islands (British)
YANKUANG GROUP (CAYMAN) LIMITED	Non-US Govt Related Credit	2.16	Cayman Islands
CHINA DEVELOPMENT BANK	Finance	2.05	China
CHINA OIL AND GAS GROUP LIMITED	Utilities	2.03	Bermuda
DIANJIAN HAIYU LTD	Non-US Govt Related Credit	1.53	Virgin Islands (British)
SF HOLDING INVESTMENT 2021 LTD	Industrial	1.49	Virgin Islands (British)
TENCENT HOLDINGS LIMITED	Industrial	1.43	Cayman Islands
YUNDA HOLDING INVESTMENT LTD	Industrial	1.37	Virgin Islands (British)

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 69.11% of its assets to issuers that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 25.33% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
EM Local	EM Local Bond	5.33
Finance	Banking	18.43
Finance	Brokerage	0.39

Finance	Finance Companies	9.17
Finance	Financial Other	2.83
Finance	Insurance	1.86
Finance	REITs	0.74
Industrial	Basic Industry	4.47
Industrial	Capital Goods	1.78
Industrial	Communications	2.21
Industrial	Consumer Cyclical	6.41
Industrial	Energy	1.21
Industrial	Industrial Other	2.06
Industrial	Technology	3.05
Industrial	Transportation	3.15
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Foreign Agencies	3.71
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Foreign Local Govt	6.66
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Sovereign	11.54
Utilities	Electric	3.79
Utilities	Natural Gas	3.49
Utilities	Utility - Other	3.68

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more issuers disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.

- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.





This graph represents 6% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.06%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 10.42% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 14.91% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 30.89% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of issuers that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in issuers with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation to issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all issuers in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - China Fund

Legal entity identifier: 1A4WV19R600CXG6ZOO14

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 63.27% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 93.73% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 63.27% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 93.73% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 63.27% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 63.27% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
TENCENT HOLDINGS LTD	Communication Services	9.66	Cayman Islands
MEITUAN	Consumer Discretionary	5.67	Cayman Islands
ALIBABA GROUP HOLDING LTD	Consumer Discretionary	4.32	Cayman Islands
NETEASE INC	Communication Services	3.64	Cayman Islands
JD.COM INC - CL A	Consumer Discretionary	3.32	Cayman Islands
PING AN INSURANCE GROUP CO-H	Financials	3.07	China
BAIDU INC-CLASS A	Communication Services	3.07	Cayman Islands
PDD HOLDINGS INC	Consumer Discretionary	2.96	Cayman Islands
TRIP.COM GROUP LTD	Consumer Discretionary	2.90	Cayman Islands
CHINA MERCHANTS BANK-H	Financials	2.25	China
H WORLD GROUP LTD-ADR	Consumer Discretionary	2.09	Cayman Islands
CHINA PACIFIC INSURANCE GR-H	Financials	2.04	China
KWEICHOW MOUTAI CO LTD-A	Consumer Staples	2.00	China
JD HEALTH INTERNATIONAL INC	Consumer Staples	1.94	Cayman Islands
WUXI BIOLOGICS CAYMAN INC	Health Care	1.83	Cayman Islands

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 93.73% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 63.27% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the

fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Communication Services	Media & Entertainment	18.78
Consumer Discretionary	Automobiles And Components	2.32
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Discretionary Dist & Retail	10.60
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Durables And Apparel	3.17
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Services	10.65
Consumer Staples	Consumer Staples Distribution & Retail	1.94
Consumer Staples	Food Beverage And Tobacco	7.24
Financials	Banks	3.34
Financials	Insurance	5.11
Health Care	Health Care Equipment And Services	3.50
Health Care	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	3.30
Industrials	Capital Goods	6.44
Industrials	Commercial & Professional Services	0.74
Industrials	Transportation	1.45

Information Technology	Semiconductors And Semiconductor Equip	5.33
Information Technology	Software And Services	4.17
Information Technology	Technology Hardware And Equipment	2.11
Materials	Materials	2.48
Real Estate	Real Estate Management & Development	3.44
Utilities	Utilities	2.85

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 8% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 1.41%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 32.74% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 30.53% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 6.27% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Emerging Markets Corporate Bond Fund

Legal entity identifier: LG07RESV7SRJ5WELJ247

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 25.48% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 70.87% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 25.48% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded issuers that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out issuers that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant issuers for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any issuers during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such issuers were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on an issuer's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, an issuer must score in the top 80% relative to the Sub-Fund's custom universe on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 70.87% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 25.48% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure an issuer's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following or be linked to an environmental or social objective through the use of proceeds of the issue:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy;

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors and (iii) providing a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either: (i) products and services sustainability indicators, which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contribute to the relevant sustainable objective, such as an issuer producing solar panels or clean energy technology that meets the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; (ii) the use of proceeds of the issue, if such use was designated as linked to a specific environmental or social objective, or (iii) was an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to the Sub-Fund's custom universe based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to the custom universe on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy. The test for supranational and sovereign issuers may consider the issuer's mission or contributions, as peer group leaders or improvers, to positive environmental and social objectives subject to certain criteria.

The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 25.48% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those issuers which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing issuers, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those issuers demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those issuers that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively).

Indicators 10 – 14 relate to an issuer's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions,

chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude issuers that may cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain issuers, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee issuers themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by issuers or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, controversial weapons and investee countries subject to social violations. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee issuers in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager currently uses greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions. In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, the Investment Manager used a third-party representative proxy rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain issuers as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. A subset of the indicators was used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee issuers in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emission of air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways requires an issuer to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This requires scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to the benchmark.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

— *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:*

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee issuers based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
US DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY	US Treasury	6.18	United States
GALAXY PIPELINE ASSETS BIDCO LTD	Industrial	1.56	Jersey
BBVA BANCOMER SA-TEXAS	Finance	1.52	Mexico
TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL FINANCE NETHERLANDS III B.V.	Industrial	1.49	Netherlands
EIG PEARL HOLDINGS S.A R.L.	Industrial	1.46	Luxembourg
STUDIO CITY FINANCE LIMITED	Industrial	1.45	Virgin Islands (British)
AI CANDELARIA SPAIN SLU	Industrial	1.44	Spain
AXTEL SAB DE CV	Industrial	1.42	Mexico
GREENSAIF PIPELINES BIDCO S.A R.L.	Industrial	1.39	Luxembourg
FEL ENERGY VI SARL	Utilities	1.35	Luxembourg
NETWORK I2I LIMITED	Industrial	1.34	Mauritius
AIA GROUP LIMITED	Finance	1.33	Hong Kong
BRASKEM NETHERLANDS FINANCE BV	Industrial	1.30	Netherlands
LEVIATHAN BOND LTD.	Industrial	1.27	Israel
WYNN MACAU LIMITED	Industrial	1.20	Cayman Islands

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 70.87% of its assets to issuers that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 25.48% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Finance	Banking	14.32
Finance	Finance Companies	2.95
Finance	Financial Other	3.66

Finance	Insurance	3.49
Finance	REITs	0.35
Industrial	Basic Industry	9.56
Industrial	Communications	9.14
Industrial	Consumer Cyclical	6.16
Industrial	Consumer Noncyclical	2.02
Industrial	Energy	16.55
Industrial	Industrial Other	3.68
Industrial	Technology	1.24
Industrial	Transportation	5.20
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Foreign Agencies	0.89
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Sovereign	0.26
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Supranational	1.30
US Treasury	US Treasury Bonds/Bills	6.18
Utilities	Electric	8.78
Utilities	Natural Gas	0.52
Utilities	Utility - Other	1.54

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more issuers disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex)

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.

reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.





This graph represents 3% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.13%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 15.39% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 10.08% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 29.13% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of issuers that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in issuers with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation to issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all issuers in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Emerging Markets Debt Fund

Legal entity identifier: V57BCZJ4TI1GCKKL3Z51

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 41.14% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 72.42% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 41.14% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded issuers that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out issuers that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant issuers for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any issuers during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such issuers were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on an issuer's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, an issuer must score in the top 80% relative to the Sub-Fund's custom universe on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 72.42% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 41.14% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure an issuer's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following or be linked to an environmental or social objective through the use of proceeds of the issue:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy;

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors and (iii) providing a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either: (i) products and services sustainability indicators, which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contribute to the relevant sustainable objective, such as an issuer producing solar panels or clean energy technology that meets the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; (ii) the use of proceeds of the issue, if such use was designated as linked to a specific environmental or social objective, or (iii) was an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to the Sub-Fund's custom universe based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to the custom universe on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy. The test for supranational and sovereign issuers may consider the issuer's mission or contributions, as peer group leaders or improvers, to positive environmental and social objectives subject to certain criteria.

The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 41.14% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those issuers which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing issuers, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those issuers demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those issuers that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively).

Indicators 10 – 14 relate to an issuer's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions,

chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude issuers that may cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain issuers, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee issuers themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by issuers or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, controversial weapons and investee countries subject to social violations. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee issuers in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager currently uses greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions. In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, the Investment Manager used a third-party representative proxy rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain issuers as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. A subset of the indicators was used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee issuers in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emission of air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways requires an issuer to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This requires scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to the benchmark.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

— *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:*

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee issuers based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
OMAN GOVERNMENT INTERNATIONAL BOND	Non-US Govt Related Credit	2.97	Oman
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC INTERNATIONAL BOND	Non-US Govt Related Credit	2.90	Dominican Republic
SAUDI GOVERNMENT INTERNATIONAL BOND	Non-US Govt Related Credit	2.72	Saudi Arabia
PETROLEOS MEXICANOS	Industrial	2.67	Mexico
ROMANIAN GOVERNMENT INTERNATIONAL BOND	Non-US Govt Related Credit	2.62	Romania
NIGERIA GOVERNMENT INTERNATIONAL BOND	Non-US Govt Related Credit	2.50	Nigeria
TURKEY GOVERNMENT INTERNATIONAL BOND	Non-US Govt Related Credit	2.48	Turkey
SOUTH AFRICAN GOVERNMENT	Non-US Govt Related Credit	2.46	South Africa
BAHRAIN GOVERNMENT INTERNATIONAL BOND	Non-US Govt Related Credit	2.18	Bahrain
MEXICO GOVERNMENT INTERNATIONAL BOND	Non-US Govt Related Credit	2.14	Mexico
EGYPT GOVERNMENT INTERNATIONAL BOND	Non-US Govt Related Credit	2.13	Egypt
COLOMBIA GOVERNMENT INTERNATIONAL BOND	Non-US Govt Related Credit	2.12	Colombia
BRAZILIAN GOVERNMENT INTERNATIONAL BOND	Non-US Govt Related Credit	2.01	Brazil
QATAR GOVERNMENT INTERNATIONAL BOND	Non-US Govt Related Credit	1.92	Qatar
URUGUAY GOVERNMENT INTERNATIONAL BOND	EM Local	1.92	Uruguay

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 72.42% of its assets to issuers that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 41.14% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
EM Local	EM Local Bond	4.47
Finance	Banking	1.32
Finance	Finance Companies	1.67

Finance	Financial Other	0.63
Industrial	Basic Industry	0.67
Industrial	Communications	0.24
Industrial	Energy	11.11
Industrial	Industrial Other	0.52
Industrial	Transportation	1.70
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Foreign Agencies	2.04
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Sovereign	67.36
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Supranational	0.23
Utilities	Electric	4.03
Utilities	Natural Gas	0.41

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more issuers disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 5% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.00%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 10.18% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 30.96% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 27.58% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of issuers that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in issuers with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation to issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all issuers in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?***

  Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?***

  Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Emerging Markets Diversified Equity Plus Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300XHYOVKFVWERI20

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 49.13% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 77.90% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 49.13% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 77.90% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 49.13% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 49.13% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFAC	Information Technology	7.84	Taiwan
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO LTD	Information Technology	5.17	South Korea
TENCENT HOLDINGS LTD	Communication Services	4.51	Cayman Islands
ALIBABA GROUP HOLDING LTD	Consumer Discretionary	2.77	Cayman Islands
HOUSING DEVELOPMENT FINANCE	Financials	1.92	India
SK HYNIX INC	Information Technology	1.67	South Korea
Petroleo Brasileiro SA	Energy	1.64	Brazil
ICICI BANK LTD	Financials	1.50	India
MEITUAN	Consumer Discretionary	1.48	Cayman Islands
Itau Unibanco Holding SA	Financials	1.27	Brazil
PING AN INSURANCE GROUP CO-H	Financials	1.17	China
YUM CHINA HOLDINGS INC	Consumer Discretionary	1.17	United States
HDFC BANK LTD-ADR	Financials	1.06	India
FIRSTRAND LTD	Financials	1.03	South Africa
NETEASE INC	Communication Services	1.02	Cayman Islands

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 77.90% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 49.13% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the

fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Communication Services	Media & Entertainment	4.03
Communication Services	Telecommunication Services	3.55
Consumer Discretionary	Automobiles And Components	2.36
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Discretionary Dist & Retail	4.69
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Durables And Apparel	0.34
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Services	3.08
Consumer Staples	Consumer Staples Distribution & Retail	3.06
Consumer Staples	Food Beverage And Tobacco	6.87
Energy	Energy	7.48
Financials	Banks	15.00
Financials	Financial Services	3.69
Financials	Insurance	4.90
Health Care	Health Care Equipment And Services	0.39
Health Care	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	0.50
Industrials	Capital Goods	6.40

Industrials	Transportation	3.96
Information Technology	Semiconductors And Semiconductor Equip	10.70
Information Technology	Software And Services	0.03
Information Technology	Technology Hardware And Equipment	9.07
Materials	Materials	3.56
Real Estate	Real Estate Management & Development	0.30
Utilities	Utilities	1.89

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 2% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 1.96%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 35.61% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 13.52% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 22.10% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?***

  Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?***

  Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Emerging Markets Dividend Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300NYUHNW422VMA09

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 60.24% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 79.42% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 60.24% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 79.42% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 60.24% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 60.24% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFAC	Information Technology	7.03	Taiwan
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO LTD	Information Technology	6.81	South Korea
NETEASE INC	Communication Services	3.50	Cayman Islands
BANK RAKYAT INDONESIA PERSER	Financials	2.96	Indonesia
HAIER SMART HOME CO LTD-H	Consumer Discretionary	2.69	China
INNER MONGOLIA YILI INDUS-A	Consumer Staples	2.68	China
INFOSYS LTD	Information Technology	2.46	India
CHINA MERCHANTS BANK-H	Financials	1.92	China
GRUPO FINANCIERO BANORTE-O	Financials	1.91	Mexico
WALMART DE MEXICO SAB DE CV	Consumer Staples	1.88	Mexico
WULIANGYE YIBIN CO LTD-A	Consumer Staples	1.87	China
PING AN INSURANCE GROUP CO-H	Financials	1.82	China
CHINA CONSTRUCTION BANK-H	Financials	1.76	China
GRUPO AEROPORT DEL PACIFIC-B	Industrials	1.73	Mexico
KIA CORP	Consumer Discretionary	1.71	South Korea

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 79.42% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 60.24% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Communication Services	Media & Entertainment	3.94
Communication Services	Telecommunication Services	4.47
Consumer Discretionary	Automobiles And Components	4.16

Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Discretionary Dist & Retail	2.08
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Durables And Apparel	7.18
Consumer Staples	Consumer Staples Distribution & Retail	4.22
Consumer Staples	Food Beverage And Tobacco	6.21
Consumer Staples	Household And Personal Products	0.92
Energy	Energy	2.37
Financials	Banks	17.52
Financials	Financial Services	3.98
Financials	Insurance	4.77
Industrials	Capital Goods	1.70
Industrials	Transportation	1.73
Information Technology	Semiconductors And Semiconductor Equip	13.10
Information Technology	Software And Services	4.29
Information Technology	Technology Hardware And Equipment	9.70
Materials	Materials	1.59
Real Estate	Real Estate Management & Development	1.82
Utilities	Utilities	1.22

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 3% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments - including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.45%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 45.69% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 14.55% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 20.58% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?***

  Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?***

  Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Emerging Markets Equity Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300LMTA2S4PQ59U55

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 73.45% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 87.56% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 73.45% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 87.56% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 73.45% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 73.45% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFAC	Information Technology	9.79	Taiwan
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO LTD	Information Technology	7.18	South Korea
HDFC BANK LIMITED	Financials	5.02	India
TENCENT HOLDINGS LTD	Communication Services	3.91	Cayman Islands
HOUSING DEVELOPMENT FINANCE	Financials	3.84	India
MERCADOLIBRE INC	Consumer Discretionary	2.85	United States
BANK RAKYAT INDONESIA PERSER	Financials	2.66	Indonesia
AIA GROUP LTD	Financials	2.63	Hong Kong
BANK CENTRAL ASIA TBK PT	Financials	2.41	Indonesia
KOTAK MAHINDRA BANK LTD	Financials	2.31	India
TATA CONSULTANCY SVCS LTD	Information Technology	2.23	India
JD.COM INC - CL A	Consumer Discretionary	2.19	Cayman Islands
NU HOLDINGS LTD/CAYMAN ISL-A	Financials	2.17	Cayman Islands
WALMART DE MEXICO SAB DE CV	Consumer Staples	2.09	Mexico

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 87.56% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 73.45% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the

fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Communication Services	Media & Entertainment	5.46
Consumer Discretionary	Automobiles And Components	0.61
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Discretionary Dist & Retail	5.04
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Durables And Apparel	2.35
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Services	2.43
Consumer Staples	Consumer Staples Distribution & Retail	6.18
Consumer Staples	Food Beverage And Tobacco	3.45
Consumer Staples	Household And Personal Products	1.94
Energy	Energy	2.03
Financials	Banks	18.90
Financials	Financial Services	7.08
Financials	Insurance	4.94
Health Care	Health Care Equipment And Services	2.13
Health Care	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	1.61

Industrials	Capital Goods	2.99
Industrials	Transportation	0.97
Information Technology	Semiconductors And Semiconductor Equip	12.67
Information Technology	Software And Services	6.00
Information Technology	Technology Hardware And Equipment	8.64
Materials	Materials	3.79

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 1% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 1.36%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 60.72% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 12.73% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 12.44% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.

- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Emerging Markets Investment Grade Bond Fund

Legal entity identifier: 5493005CFYFC20Y2VM74

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 32.53% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 69.87% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 32.53% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded issuers that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out issuers that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant issuers for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any issuers during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such issuers were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on an issuer's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, an issuer must score in the top 80% relative to the Sub-Fund's custom universe on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 69.87% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 32.53% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure an issuer's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following or be linked to an environmental or social objective through the use of proceeds of the issue:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy;

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors and (iii) providing a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either: (i) products and services sustainability indicators, which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contribute to the relevant sustainable objective, such as an issuer producing solar panels or clean energy technology that meets the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; (ii) the use of proceeds of the issue, if such use was designated as linked to a specific environmental or social objective, or (iii) was an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to the Sub-Fund's custom universe based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to the custom universe on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy. The test for supranational and sovereign issuers may consider the issuer's mission or contributions, as peer group leaders or improvers, to positive environmental and social objectives subject to certain criteria.

The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 32.53% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those issuers which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing issuers, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those issuers demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those issuers that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively).

Indicators 10 – 14 relate to an issuer's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions,

chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude issuers that may cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain issuers, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee issuers themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by issuers or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, controversial weapons and investee countries subject to social violations. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee issuers in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager currently uses greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions. In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, the Investment Manager used a third-party representative proxy rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain issuers as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. A subset of the indicators was used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee issuers in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emission of air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways requires an issuer to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This requires scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to the benchmark.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

— *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:*

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee issuers based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
US DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY	US Treasury	3.44	United States
HUNGARY GOVERNMENT INTERNATIONAL BOND	Non-US Govt Related Credit	2.14	Hungary
PETROLEOS MEXICANOS	Industrial	2.04	Mexico
ROMANIAN GOVERNMENT INTERNATIONAL BOND	Non-US Govt Related Credit	2.01	Romania
SAUDI GOVERNMENT INTERNATIONAL BOND	Non-US Govt Related Credit	1.98	Saudi Arabia
KAZMUNAYGAS NATIONAL CO JSC	Industrial	1.93	Kazakhstan
MEXICO GOVERNMENT INTERNATIONAL BOND	Non-US Govt Related Credit	1.63	Mexico
URUGUAY GOVERNMENT INTERNATIONAL BOND	Non-US Govt Related Credit	1.62	Uruguay
INDONESIA GOVERNMENT INTERNATIONAL BOND	Non-US Govt Related Credit	1.57	Indonesia
SAUDI ARABIAN OIL COMPANY DBA SAUDI ARAMCO	Industrial	1.51	Saudi Arabia
PERUVIAN GOVERNMENT INTERNATIONAL BOND	Non-US Govt Related Credit	1.49	Peru
PANAMA GOVERNMENT INTERNATIONAL BOND	Non-US Govt Related Credit	1.48	Panama
REPUBLIC OF POLAND GOVERNMENT INTERNATIONAL BOND	Non-US Govt Related Credit	1.43	Poland
COLOMBIA GOVERNMENT INTERNATIONAL BOND	Non-US Govt Related Credit	1.43	Colombia
EMPRESA NACIONAL DEL PETROLEO	Industrial	1.38	Chile

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 69.87% of its assets to issuers that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 32.53% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
EM Local	EM Local Bond	0.19
Finance	Banking	11.66
Finance	Finance Companies	3.34

Finance	Financial Other	3.40
Finance	Insurance	1.81
Finance	REITs	0.32
Industrial	Basic Industry	8.48
Industrial	Communications	2.07
Industrial	Consumer Cyclical	1.54
Industrial	Consumer Noncyclical	0.52
Industrial	Energy	14.02
Industrial	Industrial Other	1.20
Industrial	Technology	1.29
Industrial	Transportation	5.01
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Foreign Agencies	2.97
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Sovereign	21.75
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Supranational	2.65
US Treasury	US Treasury Bonds/Bills	3.44
Utilities	Electric	9.63
Utilities	Natural Gas	1.44

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more issuers disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex)

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.

reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.





This graph represents 5% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.13%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 11.35% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 21.17% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 30.13% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of issuers that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in issuers with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation to issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all issuers in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Emerging Markets Local Currency Debt Fund

Legal entity identifier: JOX83CWVUQXTHKJVNY53

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 68.09% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 97.74% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 68.09% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded issuers that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out issuers that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant issuers for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any issuers during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such issuers were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on an issuer's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, an issuer must score in the top 80% relative to the Sub-Fund's custom universe on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 97.74% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 68.09% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure an issuer's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following or be linked to an environmental or social objective through the use of proceeds of the issue:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy;

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors and (iii) providing a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either: (i) products and services sustainability indicators, which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contribute to the relevant sustainable objective, such as an issuer producing solar panels or clean energy technology that meets the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; (ii) the use of proceeds of the issue, if such use was designated as linked to a specific environmental or social objective, or (iii) was an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to the Sub-Fund's custom universe based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to the custom universe on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy. The test for supranational and sovereign issuers may consider the issuer's mission or contributions, as peer group leaders or improvers, to positive environmental and social objectives subject to certain criteria.

The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 68.09% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those issuers which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing issuers, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those issuers demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those issuers that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively).

Indicators 10 – 14 relate to an issuer's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions,

chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude issuers that may cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain issuers, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee issuers themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by issuers or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, controversial weapons and investee countries subject to social violations. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee issuers in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager currently uses greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions. In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, the Investment Manager used a third-party representative proxy rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain issuers as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. A subset of the indicators was used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee issuers in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emission of air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways requires an issuer to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This requires scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to the benchmark.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

— *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:*

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee issuers based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
MEXICAN BONOS	EM Local	10.18	Mexico
INDONESIA TREASURY BOND	EM Local	10.15	Indonesia
BRAZIL NOTAS DO TESOURO NACIONAL SERIE F	EM Local	7.48	Brazil
CZECH REPUBLIC GOVERNMENT BOND	EM Local	6.59	Czech Republic
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA GOVERNMENT BOND	EM Local	6.32	South Africa
THAILAND GOVERNMENT BOND	EM Local	6.24	Thailand
GOVERNMENT OF MALAYSIA	EM Local	6.10	Malaysia

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 97.74% of its assets to issuers that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 68.09% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
EM Local	EM Local Bond	94.95
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Sovereign	0.31

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more issuers disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 0% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.00%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 24.10% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 43.99% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 2.26% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of issuers that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in issuers with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation to issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

- The requirement for all issuers in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Emerging Markets Opportunities Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300N2M0RNZA5PLL44

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ X No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	X It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 62.42% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	X with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	X with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 81.44% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 62.42% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 81.44% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 62.42% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 62.42% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFAC	Information Technology	9.15	Taiwan
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO LTD	Information Technology	7.49	South Korea
TENCENT HOLDINGS LTD	Communication Services	5.44	Cayman Islands
HDFC BANK LIMITED	Financials	2.94	India
SK HYNIX INC	Information Technology	2.30	South Korea
CHINA CONSTRUCTION BANK-H	Financials	2.24	China
KIA CORP	Consumer Discretionary	2.01	South Korea
RELIANCE INDUSTRIES LTD	Energy	1.94	India
MEITUAN	Consumer Discretionary	1.91	Cayman Islands
WALMART DE MEXICO SAB DE CV	Consumer Staples	1.86	Mexico
Petroleo Brasileiro SA	Energy	1.82	Brazil
NETEASE INC	Communication Services	1.69	Cayman Islands
BANK CENTRAL ASIA TBK PT	Financials	1.63	Indonesia
LG CHEM LTD	Materials	1.62	South Korea
INFOSYS LTD	Information Technology	1.60	India

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 81.44% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 62.42% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the

fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Communication Services	Media & Entertainment	7.13
Communication Services	Telecommunication Services	0.88
Consumer Discretionary	Automobiles And Components	2.78
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Discretionary Dist & Retail	3.74
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Durables And Apparel	1.47
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Services	4.24
Consumer Staples	Consumer Staples Distribution & Retail	6.57
Consumer Staples	Food Beverage And Tobacco	4.63
Energy	Energy	5.00
Financials	Banks	19.42
Financials	Financial Services	5.17
Financials	Insurance	2.53
Health Care	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	0.72
Industrials	Capital Goods	1.46
Industrials	Commercial & Professional Services	0.39

Industrials	Transportation	3.47
Information Technology	Semiconductors And Semiconductor Equip	14.70
Information Technology	Software And Services	1.60
Information Technology	Technology Hardware And Equipment	8.23
Materials	Materials	4.42
Real Estate	Real Estate Management & Development	0.37

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 2% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 1.22%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 50.51% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 11.91% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 18.56% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Emerging Markets Small Cap Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300CNB2IVQB5M3L04

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ X No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	X It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 63.40% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	X with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	X with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 99.02% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 63.40% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 99.02% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 63.40% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 63.40% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
GLOBAL UNICHIP CORP	Information Technology	2.86	Taiwan
ASPEED TECHNOLOGY INC	Information Technology	2.42	Taiwan
ECLAT TEXTILE COMPANY LTD	Consumer Discretionary	2.24	Taiwan
GRUPO AEROPORT DEL SURESTE-B	Industrials	2.10	Mexico
DINO POLSKA SA	Consumer Staples	2.07	Poland
ACCTON TECHNOLOGY CORP	Information Technology	2.06	Taiwan
VOLTRONIC POWER TECHNOLOGY	Industrials	2.06	Taiwan
CLICKS GROUP LTD	Consumer Staples	1.89	South Africa
SHRIRAM TRANSPORT FINANCE	Financials	1.86	India
PARADE TECHNOLOGIES LTD	Information Technology	1.85	Cayman Islands
Bolsa Mexicana de Valores SAB	Financials	1.84	Mexico
Tisco Financial Group PCL	Financials	1.81	Thailand
BID CORP LTD	Consumer Staples	1.80	South Africa
United Spirits Ltd	Consumer Staples	1.66	India
SUPREME INDUSTRIES LTD	Materials	1.63	India

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 99.02% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 63.40% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the

fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Communication Services	Media & Entertainment	1.74
Consumer Discretionary	Automobiles And Components	3.33
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Discretionary Dist & Retail	2.51
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Durables And Apparel	5.59
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Services	1.30
Consumer Staples	Consumer Staples Distribution & Retail	8.70
Consumer Staples	Food Beverage And Tobacco	9.44
Consumer Staples	Household And Personal Products	3.48
Financials	Banks	8.62
Financials	Financial Services	7.99
Financials	Insurance	1.43
Health Care	Health Care Equipment And Services	5.15
Health Care	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	0.56
Industrials	Capital Goods	7.50

Industrials	Commercial & Professional Services	6.24
Industrials	Transportation	3.32
Information Technology	Semiconductors And Semiconductor Equip	10.52
Information Technology	Software And Services	5.05
Information Technology	Technology Hardware And Equipment	2.06
Materials	Materials	3.48

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 1% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.70%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 35.46% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 27.95% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 0.98% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Emerging Markets Strategic Bond Fund

Legal entity identifier: KVCC0CZ7VWL2SCBVQM97

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 47.36% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 73.22% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 47.36% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded issuers that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out issuers that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant issuers for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any issuers during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such issuers were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on an issuer's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, an issuer must score in the top 80% relative to the Sub-Fund's custom universe on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 73.22% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 47.36% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure an issuer's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following or be linked to an environmental or social objective through the use of proceeds of the issue:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy;

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors and (iii) providing a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either: (i) products and services sustainability indicators, which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contribute to the relevant sustainable objective, such as an issuer producing solar panels or clean energy technology that meets the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; (ii) the use of proceeds of the issue, if such use was designated as linked to a specific environmental or social objective, or (iii) was an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to the Sub-Fund's custom universe based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to the custom universe on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy. The test for supranational and sovereign issuers may consider the issuer's mission or contributions, as peer group leaders or improvers, to positive environmental and social objectives subject to certain criteria.

The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 47.36% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those issuers which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing issuers, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those issuers demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those issuers that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively).

Indicators 10 – 14 relate to an issuer's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions,

chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude issuers that may cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain issuers, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee issuers themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by issuers or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, controversial weapons and investee countries subject to social violations. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee issuers in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager currently uses greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions. In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, the Investment Manager used a third-party representative proxy rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain issuers as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. A subset of the indicators was used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee issuers in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emission of air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways requires an issuer to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This requires scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to the benchmark.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

— *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:*

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee issuers based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
CZECH REPUBLIC GOVERNMENT BOND	EM Local	3.87	Czech Republic
UNITED MEXICAN STATES	EM Local	3.27	Mexico
PERU GOVERNMENT BOND	EM Local	3.26	Peru
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA GOVERNMENT BOND	EM Local	3.02	South Africa
MEXICAN BONOS	EM Local	2.62	Mexico
INDONESIA TREASURY BOND	EM Local	2.49	Indonesia
THAILAND GOVERNMENT BOND	EM Local	2.37	Thailand
ROMANIA GOVERNMENT BOND	EM Local	2.28	Romania
REPUBLIC OF POLAND GOVERNMENT BOND	EM Local	2.10	Poland
BRAZIL NOTAS DO TESOURO NACIONAL SERIE F	EM Local	1.95	Brazil
COLOMBIAN TES	EM Local	1.78	Colombia
NATIONAL TREASURY REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA	EM Local	1.63	South Africa
KAZMUNAYGAS NATIONAL CO JSC	Industrial	1.53	Kazakhstan
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA	EM Local	1.51	India
IRAQ INTERNATIONAL BOND	Non-US Govt Related Credit	1.45	Iraq

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 73.22% of its assets to issuers that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 47.36% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
EM Local	EM Local Bond	36.13
Finance	Banking	2.74
Finance	Finance Companies	1.43

Finance	Financial Other	1.16
Finance	Insurance	0.66
Finance	REITs	0.04
Industrial	Basic Industry	2.50
Industrial	Communications	1.60
Industrial	Consumer Cyclical	2.05
Industrial	Consumer Noncyclical	0.31
Industrial	Energy	7.19
Industrial	Industrial Other	0.72
Industrial	Technology	0.24
Industrial	Transportation	1.71
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Foreign Agencies	2.41
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Foreign Local Govt	0.26
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Sovereign	26.84
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Supranational	0.79
Utilities	Electric	2.99
Utilities	Natural Gas	0.13
Utilities	Utility - Other	0.60

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more issuers disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.

- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.





This graph represents 5% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.03%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 17.63% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 29.73% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 26.78% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of issuers that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in issuers with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation to issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all issuers in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Emerging Markets Sustainable Equity Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300XEW8MV746EC981

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 77.25% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 67% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 40% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 100.00% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 77.25% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues. Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 67% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 100.00% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 77.25% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 40% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 77.25% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
HDFC BANK LIMITED	Financials	3.15	India
ADVANTECH CO LTD	Information Technology	3.02	Taiwan
KOTAK MAHINDRA BANK LTD	Financials	2.67	India
NETEASE INC	Communication Services	2.64	Cayman Islands
BANK CENTRAL ASIA TBK PT	Financials	2.56	Indonesia
DELTA ELECTRONICS INC	Information Technology	2.56	Taiwan
JERONIMO MARTINS	Consumer Staples	2.49	Portugal
HAIER SMART HOME CO LTD-H	Consumer Discretionary	2.42	China
HOUSING DEVELOPMENT FINANCE	Financials	2.38	India
FIRSTRAND LTD	Financials	2.33	South Africa
HINDUSTAN UNILEVER LTD	Consumer Staples	2.31	India
MERCADOLIBRE INC	Consumer Discretionary	2.29	United States
AIA GROUP LTD	Financials	2.07	Hong Kong
HDFC LIFE INSURANCE CO LTD	Financials	1.94	India
HONG KONG EXCHANGES & CLEAR	Financials	1.87	Hong Kong

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 100.00% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 77.25% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the

fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Communication Services	Media & Entertainment	9.57
Consumer Discretionary	Automobiles And Components	0.73
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Discretionary Dist & Retail	3.99
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Durables And Apparel	7.14
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Services	2.24
Consumer Staples	Consumer Staples Distribution & Retail	6.20
Consumer Staples	Food Beverage And Tobacco	7.21
Consumer Staples	Household And Personal Products	5.39
Financials	Banks	10.51
Financials	Financial Services	7.61
Financials	Insurance	4.00
Health Care	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	0.98
Industrials	Capital Goods	3.64
Industrials	Commercial & Professional Services	2.74

Information Technology	Semiconductors And Semiconductor Equip	13.99
Information Technology	Software And Services	5.10
Information Technology	Technology Hardware And Equipment	7.05
Materials	Materials	1.01

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 2% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 1.81%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 59.37% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 17.89% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 0.00% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.

- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 67% of assets in companies with positive or improving E/S characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub-Fund also committed to investing at least 40% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - EU Government Bond Fund

Legal entity identifier: 5493003JUBNW9IN88N02

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 99.72% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 99.72% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 99.72% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded issuers that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out issuers that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant issuers for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any issuers during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such issuers were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on an issuer's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, an issuer must score in the top 80% relative to the Sub-Fund's benchmark on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 99.72% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 99.72% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure an issuer's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following or be linked to an environmental or social objective through the use of proceeds of the issue:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy; Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors and (iii) providing a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either: (i) products and services sustainability indicators, which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contribute to the relevant sustainable objective, such as an issuer producing solar panels or clean energy technology that meets the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; (ii) the use of proceeds of the issue, if such use was designated as linked to a specific environmental or social objective, or (iii) was an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to the Sub-Fund's benchmark based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to the Benchmark on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy. The test for supranational and sovereign issuers may consider the issuer's mission or contributions, as peer group leaders or improvers, to positive environmental and social objectives subject to certain criteria.

The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 99.72% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those issuers which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing issuers, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those issuers demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those issuers that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively).

Indicators 10 – 14 relate to an issuer's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions,

chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager also took into account PAI 16 in relation to Investee countries subject to social violations.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude issuers that may cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain issuers, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee issuers themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by issuers or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10, 14 and 16 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, controversial weapons and investee countries subject to social violations. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee issuers in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager currently uses greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions. In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, the Investment Manager used a third-party representative proxy rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain issuers as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. A subset of the indicators was used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee issuers in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emission of air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways requires an issuer to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This requires scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to the Benchmark.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

— *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:*

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an

exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10, 14 and 16 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact, controversial weapons and country level social violations from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee issuers based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
REPUBLIC OF ITALY	Developed Market Government	22.92	Italy
KINGDOM OF SPAIN	Developed Market Government	16.20	Spain
KREDITANSTALT FUR WIEDERAUFBAU	Non-US Govt Related Credit	10.29	Germany
FRENCH REPUBLIC	Developed Market Government	10.03	France

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 99.72% of its assets to issuers that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 99.72% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Developed Market Government	Developed Market Bond	72.67
EM Local	EM Local Bond	1.28
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Foreign Agencies	18.34
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Sovereign	0.28
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Supranational	6.67

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more issuers disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 0% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.00%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 6.26% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 93.46% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 0.28% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of issuers that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in issuers with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- Values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation to issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

- The requirement for all issuers in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Euro Aggregate Bond Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300CBMPN8UMC0OY23

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 77.52% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 86.12% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 77.52% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded issuers that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out issuers that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant issuers for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any issuers during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such issuers were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on an issuer's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, an issuer must score in the top 80% relative to the Sub-Fund's custom universe on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 86.12% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 77.52% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure an issuer's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following or be linked to an environmental or social objective through the use of proceeds of the issue:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy;

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors and (iii) providing a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either: (i) products and services sustainability indicators, which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contribute to the relevant sustainable objective, such as an issuer producing solar panels or clean energy technology that meets the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; (ii) the use of proceeds of the issue, if such use was designated as linked to a specific environmental or social objective, or (iii) was an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to the Sub-Fund's custom universe based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to the custom universe on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy. The test for supranational and sovereign issuers may consider the issuer's mission or contributions, as peer group leaders or improvers, to positive environmental and social objectives subject to certain criteria.

The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 77.52% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those issuers which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing issuers, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those issuers demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those issuers that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively).

Indicators 10 – 14 relate to an issuer's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions,

chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude issuers that may cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain issuers, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee issuers themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by issuers or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, controversial weapons and investee countries subject to social violations. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee issuers in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager currently uses greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions. In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, the Investment Manager used a third-party representative proxy rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain issuers as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. A subset of the indicators was used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee issuers in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emission of air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways requires an issuer to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This requires scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to the benchmark.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

— *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:*

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee issuers based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
REPUBLIC OF ITALY	Developed Market Government	24.60	Italy
FRENCH REPUBLIC	Developed Market Government	11.31	France
EUROPEAN UNION	Non-US Govt Related Credit	9.79	International
FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY	Developed Market Government	8.45	Germany

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 86.12% of its assets to issuers that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 77.52% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
ABS	ABS - Auto	0.06
Developed Market Government	Developed Market Bond	57.69
Finance	Banking	17.18
Finance	Finance Companies	1.02
Industrial	Consumer Noncyclical	1.36
Industrial	Technology	0.59
Industrial	Transportation	1.14
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Foreign Agencies	1.99
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Sovereign	2.25
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Supranational	11.73
Utilities	Electric	2.70
Utilities	Utility - Other	0.72

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more issuers disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 1% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.00%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 5.83% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 71.69% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 13.88% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of issuers that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in issuers with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation to issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

- The requirement for all issuers in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JP Morgan Funds - Euro Corporate Bond Fund

Legal entity identifier: 5HOG1Q8MOYBJY2U4SN36

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 49.86% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 74.11% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 49.86% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded issuers that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out issuers that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant issuers for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any issuers during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such issuers were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on an issuer's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, an issuer must score in the top 80% relative to the Sub-Fund's benchmark on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 74.11% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 49.86% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure an issuer's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following or be linked to an environmental or social objective through the use of proceeds of the issue:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy; Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors and (iii) providing a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either: (i) products and services sustainability indicators, which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contribute to the relevant sustainable objective, such as an issuer producing solar panels or clean energy technology that meets the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; (ii) the use of proceeds of the issue, if such use was designated as linked to a specific environmental or social objective, or (iii) was an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to the Sub-Fund's benchmark based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to the Benchmark on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy. The test for supranational and sovereign issuers may consider the issuer's mission or contributions, as peer group leaders or improvers, to positive environmental and social objectives subject to certain criteria.

The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 49.86% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those issuers which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing issuers, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those issuers demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those issuers that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively).

Indicators 10 – 14 relate to an issuer's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions,

chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager also took into account PAI 16 in relation to Investee countries subject to social violations.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude issuers that may cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain issuers, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee issuers themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by issuers or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10, 14 and 16 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, controversial weapons and investee countries subject to social violations. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee issuers in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager currently uses greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions. In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, the Investment Manager used a third-party representative proxy rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain issuers as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. A subset of the indicators was used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee issuers in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emission of air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways requires an issuer to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This requires scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to the Benchmark.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

— *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:*

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an

exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10, 14 and 16 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact, controversial weapons and country level social violations from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee issuers based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
BPCE SA	Finance	2.46	France
MEDTRONIC GLOBAL HOLDINGS S.C.A.	Industrial	1.97	Luxembourg
UNICREDIT SPA	Finance	1.70	Italy
NATWEST GROUP PLC	Finance	1.63	United Kingdom
AIB GROUP PUBLIC LIMITED COMPANY	Finance	1.47	Ireland
VOLKSWAGEN INTERNATIONAL FINANCE NV	Industrial	1.46	Netherlands
BANK OF IRELAND GROUP PLC	Finance	1.44	Ireland
MORGAN STANLEY	Finance	1.42	United States
UBS GROUP AG	Finance	1.33	Switzerland
SELP FINANCE SARL	Finance	1.31	Luxembourg
ABBVIE INC.	Industrial	1.26	United States
BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION	Finance	1.24	United States
ANHEUSER-BUSCH INBEV SA/NV	Industrial	1.20	Belgium
SIEMENS FINANCIERINGSMAATSCHAPPIJ NV	Industrial	1.18	Netherlands
INTESA SANPAOLO SPA	Finance	1.16	Italy

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 74.11% of its assets to issuers that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 49.86% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Finance	Banking	29.24
Finance	Financial Other	0.74
Finance	Insurance	3.48

Finance	REITs	3.46
Industrial	Basic Industry	2.40
Industrial	Capital Goods	5.99
Industrial	Communications	5.00
Industrial	Consumer Cyclical	7.30
Industrial	Consumer Noncyclical	14.79
Industrial	Energy	2.21
Industrial	Industrial Other	0.61
Industrial	Technology	3.74
Industrial	Transportation	4.33
Utilities	Electric	8.11
Utilities	Natural Gas	2.11
Utilities	Utility - Other	1.67

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more issuers disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 6% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.61%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 35.53% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 14.33% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 25.89% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of issuers that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in issuers with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- Values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation to issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all issuers in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?***

  Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?***

  Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Euro Government Short Duration Bond Fund

Legal entity identifier: 8L63J1RBLMER3Z236F30

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 98.52% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 100.00% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 98.52% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded issuers that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out issuers that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant issuers for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any issuers during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such issuers were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on an issuer's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, an issuer must score in the top 80% relative to the Sub-Fund's benchmark on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 100.00% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 98.52% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure an issuer's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following or be linked to an environmental or social objective through the use of proceeds of the issue:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy; Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors and (iii) providing a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either: (i) products and services sustainability indicators, which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contribute to the relevant sustainable objective, such as an issuer producing solar panels or clean energy technology that meets the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; (ii) the use of proceeds of the issue, if such use was designated as linked to a specific environmental or social objective, or (iii) was an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to the Sub-Fund's benchmark based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to the Benchmark on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy. The test for supranational and sovereign issuers may consider the issuer's mission or contributions, as peer group leaders or improvers, to positive environmental and social objectives subject to certain criteria.

The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 98.52% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those issuers which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing issuers, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those issuers demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those issuers that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively).

Indicators 10 – 14 relate to an issuer's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions,

chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager also took into account PAI 16 in relation to Investee countries subject to social violations.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude issuers that may cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain issuers, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee issuers themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by issuers or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10, 14 and 16 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, controversial weapons and investee countries subject to social violations. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee issuers in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager currently uses greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions. In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, the Investment Manager used a third-party representative proxy rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain issuers as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. A subset of the indicators was used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee issuers in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emission of air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways requires an issuer to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This requires scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to the Benchmark.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

— *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:*

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an

exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10, 14 and 16 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact, controversial weapons and country level social violations from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee issuers based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
REPUBLIC OF ITALY	Developed Market Government	26.01	Italy
FRENCH REPUBLIC	Developed Market Government	24.73	France

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 100.00% of its assets to issuers that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 98.52% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Developed Market Government	Developed Market Bond	76.09
EM Local	EM Local Bond	0.25
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Foreign Agencies	14.03
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Supranational	8.38

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more issuers disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 0% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.00%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 0.26% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 98.26% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 0.00% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of issuers that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in issuers with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- Values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation to issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

- The requirement for all issuers in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Euroland Dynamic Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300Q8TR7VIPNED295

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 60.98% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 81.40% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 60.98% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 81.40% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 60.98% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 60.98% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
LVMH MOET HENNESSY LOUIS VUI	CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	6.28	France
TOTALENERGIES SE	ENERGY	3.83	France
SIEMENS AG-REG	INDUSTRIALS	3.67	Germany
UNICREDIT SPA	FINANCIALS	3.03	Italy
VINCI SA	INDUSTRIALS	2.81	France
AIR LIQUIDE SA	MATERIALS	2.75	France
ASML HOLDING NV	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	2.74	Netherlands
ALLIANZ SE-REG	FINANCIALS	2.64	Germany
L'OREAL	CONSUMER STAPLES	2.60	France
KONINKLIJKE AHOLD DELHAIZE N	CONSUMER STAPLES	2.38	Netherlands
ENGIE	UTILITIES	2.33	France
SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC SE	INDUSTRIALS	2.23	France
INDUSTRIA DE DISENO TEXTIL	CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	2.20	Spain
IBERDROLA SA	UTILITIES	2.17	Spain
MUENCHENER RUECKVER AG-REG	FINANCIALS	2.11	Germany

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 81.40% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 60.98% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the

fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	MEDIA & ENTERTAINMENT	2.16
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES	2.48
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	AUTOMOBILES & COMPONENTS	5.88
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	CONSUMER DURABLES & APPAREL	7.11
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	CONSUMER SERVICES	3.15
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	RETAILING	4.17
CONSUMER STAPLES	FOOD & STAPLES RETAILING	2.38
CONSUMER STAPLES	HOUSEHOLD & PERSONAL PRODUCTS	2.60
ENERGY	ENERGY	3.83
FINANCIALS	BANKS	10.05
FINANCIALS	DIVERSIFIED FINANCIALS	1.99
FINANCIALS	INSURANCE	6.25
INDUSTRIALS	CAPITAL GOODS	14.46
INDUSTRIALS	COMMERCIAL & PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	6.05
INDUSTRIALS	TRANSPORTATION	2.90

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	SEMICONDUCTORS & SEMICONDUCTOR EQUIPMENT	5.56
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	SOFTWARE & SERVICES	2.70
MATERIALS	MATERIALS	5.43
UTILITIES	UTILITIES	6.28

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 6% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 1.27%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 41.40% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 19.58% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 18.60% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.

- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Euroland Equity Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300C4VEPI4K36R170

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 65.07% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 85.11% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 65.07% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 85.11% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 65.07% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 65.07% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
ASML HOLDING NV	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	6.29	Netherlands
LVMH MOET HENNESSY LOUIS VUI	CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	6.10	France
TOTALENERGIES SE	ENERGY	3.57	France
SIEMENS AG-REG	INDUSTRIALS	3.06	Germany
SAP SE	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	2.90	Germany
L'OREAL	CONSUMER STAPLES	2.67	France
SANOFI	HEALTH CARE	2.66	France
IBERDROLA SA	UTILITIES	2.63	Spain
SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC SE	INDUSTRIALS	2.48	France
DEUTSCHE TELEKOM AG-REG	COMMUNICATION SERVICES	2.32	Germany
MERCEDES-BENZ GROUP AG	CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	2.10	Germany
AIR LIQUIDE SA	MATERIALS	2.10	France
ALLIANZ SE-REG	FINANCIALS	2.04	Germany
BNP PARIBAS	FINANCIALS	2.01	France
VINCI SA	INDUSTRIALS	1.82	France

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 85.11% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 65.07% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the

fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	MEDIA & ENTERTAINMENT	1.28
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES	2.61
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	AUTOMOBILES & COMPONENTS	6.22
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	CONSUMER DURABLES & APPAREL	8.89
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	CONSUMER SERVICES	0.36
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	RETAILING	2.60
CONSUMER STAPLES	FOOD & STAPLES RETAILING	2.19
CONSUMER STAPLES	FOOD BEVERAGE & TOBACCO	4.03
CONSUMER STAPLES	HOUSEHOLD & PERSONAL PRODUCTS	2.67
ENERGY	ENERGY	4.60
FINANCIALS	BANKS	9.55
FINANCIALS	DIVERSIFIED FINANCIALS	1.67
FINANCIALS	INSURANCE	4.58
HEALTH CARE	HEALTH CARE EQUIPMENT & SERVICES	1.25
HEALTH CARE	PHARMACEUTICALS	3.81

	BIOTECHNOLOGY & LIFE SCIENCES	
INDUSTRIALS	CAPITAL GOODS	15.06
INDUSTRIALS	COMMERCIAL & PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	1.87
INDUSTRIALS	TRANSPORTATION	2.36
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	SEMICONDUCTORS & SEMICONDUCTOR EQUIPMENT	8.49
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	SOFTWARE & SERVICES	4.13
MATERIALS	MATERIALS	4.69
UTILITIES	UTILITIES	5.02

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 3% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.44%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 48.70% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 16.37% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 14.89% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?***

  Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?***

  Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Europe Dynamic Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300U08UO07659TP24

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 55.74% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 83.26% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 55.74% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 83.26% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 55.74% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 55.74% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
LVMH MOET HENNESSY LOUIS VUI	CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	4.75	France
NOVO NORDISK A/S-B	HEALTH CARE	4.65	Denmark
NOVARTIS AG-REG	HEALTH CARE	4.18	Switzerland
SHELL PLC	ENERGY	3.07	United Kingdom
TOTALENERGIES SE	ENERGY	2.96	France
UNICREDIT SPA	FINANCIALS	2.65	Italy
SIEMENS AG-REG	INDUSTRIALS	2.58	Germany
NESTLE SA-REG	CONSUMER STAPLES	2.51	Switzerland
CIE FINANCIERE RICHEMO-A REG	CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	2.43	Switzerland
KONINKLIJKE AHOLD DELHAIZE N	CONSUMER STAPLES	2.33	Netherlands
ALLIANZ SE-REG	FINANCIALS	2.27	Germany
VINCI SA	INDUSTRIALS	2.20	France
ENGIE	UTILITIES	2.17	France
INDUSTRIA DE DISENO TEXTIL	CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	2.15	Spain
WHITBREAD PLC	CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	2.10	United Kingdom

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 83.26% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 55.74% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the

fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	MEDIA & ENTERTAINMENT	0.89
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES	1.39
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	AUTOMOBILES & COMPONENTS	4.44
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	CONSUMER DURABLES & APPAREL	8.75
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	CONSUMER SERVICES	3.29
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	RETAILING	5.56
CONSUMER STAPLES	FOOD & STAPLES RETAILING	2.33
CONSUMER STAPLES	FOOD BEVERAGE & TOBACCO	4.43
CONSUMER STAPLES	HOUSEHOLD & PERSONAL PRODUCTS	1.34
ENERGY	ENERGY	6.03
FINANCIALS	BANKS	8.95
FINANCIALS	DIVERSIFIED FINANCIALS	3.95
FINANCIALS	INSURANCE	5.95
HEALTH CARE	PHARMACEUTICALS BIOTECHNOLOGY & LIFE SCIENCES	8.83

INDUSTRIALS	CAPITAL GOODS	15.19
INDUSTRIALS	COMMERCIAL & PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	2.17
INDUSTRIALS	TRANSPORTATION	3.01
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	SEMICONDUCTORS & SEMICONDUCTOR EQUIPMENT	2.88
MATERIALS	MATERIALS	3.60
UTILITIES	UTILITIES	5.25

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 5% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.99%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 40.36% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 15.38% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 16.74% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.

- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Europe Dynamic Small Cap Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300FDIUTKCG9QFL09

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 50.62% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 75.68% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 50.62% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 75.68% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 50.62% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 50.62% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
JET2 PLC	INDUSTRIALS	2.75	UNITED KINGDOM
SPIE SA	INDUSTRIALS	2.55	FRANCE
ALTEN SA	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	2.11	FRANCE
Bravida Holding AB	INDUSTRIALS	2.08	SWEDEN
CTS Eventim AG & Co KGaA	COMMUNICATION SERVICES	2.07	GERMANY
CENTRICA PLC	UTILITIES	1.98	UNITED KINGDOM
PRYSMIAN SPA	INDUSTRIALS	1.86	ITALY
ARCADIS NV	INDUSTRIALS	1.76	NETHERLANDS
SPECTRIS PLC	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	1.74	UNITED KINGDOM
EASYJET PLC	INDUSTRIALS	1.59	UNITED KINGDOM
THULE GROUP AB/THE	CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	1.58	SWEDEN
Technogym SpA	CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	1.55	ITALY
4IMPRINT GROUP PLC	COMMUNICATION SERVICES	1.54	UNITED KINGDOM
Verallia SA	MATERIALS	1.52	FRANCE
MELEXIS NV	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	1.50	BELGIUM

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 75.68% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 50.62% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	MEDIA & ENTERTAINMENT	7.11
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	AUTOMOBILES & COMPONENTS	2.02
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	CONSUMER DURABLES & APPAREL	10.12
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	CONSUMER SERVICES	1.27
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	RETAILING	5.43
CONSUMER STAPLES	FOOD & STAPLES RETAILING	0.34
CONSUMER STAPLES	FOOD BEVERAGE & TOBACCO	3.08
CONSUMER STAPLES	HOUSEHOLD & PERSONAL PRODUCTS	0.94
ENERGY	ENERGY	1.80
FINANCIALS	BANKS	2.99
FINANCIALS	DIVERSIFIED FINANCIALS	3.65
FINANCIALS	INSURANCE	4.42
HEALTH CARE	HEALTH CARE EQUIPMENT & SERVICES	1.03
HEALTH CARE	PHARMACEUTICALS BIOTECHNOLOGY & LIFE SCIENCES	1.33
INDUSTRIALS	CAPITAL GOODS	15.35
INDUSTRIALS	COMMERCIAL &	11.81

	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	
INDUSTRIALS	TRANSPORTATION	4.35
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	SEMICONDUCTORS & SEMICONDUCTOR EQUIPMENT	2.92
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	SOFTWARE & SERVICES	6.90
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	TECHNOLOGY HARDWARE & EQUIPMENT	3.58
MATERIALS	MATERIALS	4.69
REAL ESTATE	REAL ESTATE	0.92
UTILITIES	UTILITIES	1.98

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 3% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 1.12%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 24.47% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 26.15% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 24.32% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?***

  Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?***

  Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Europe Dynamic Technologies Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300GE4OGS0XZN2G20

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 71.70% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 86.20% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 71.70% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 86.20% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 71.70% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 71.70% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	9.58	Germany
ASML HOLDING NV	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	8.58	Netherlands
SAP SE	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	8.13	Germany
CAPGEMINI SE	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	6.22	France
STMICROELECTRONICS NV	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	5.42	Netherlands
SAGE GROUP PLC/THE	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	3.98	United Kingdom
ASM INTERNATIONAL NV	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	3.70	Netherlands
NOKIA OYJ	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	3.27	Finland
DASSAULT SYSTEMES SE	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	2.42	France

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 86.20% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 71.70% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	MEDIA & ENTERTAINMENT	3.95
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES	2.31
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	CONSUMER SERVICES	2.12
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	RETAILING	0.72
FINANCIALS	DIVERSIFIED FINANCIALS	1.00
HEALTH CARE	HEALTH CARE EQUIPMENT & SERVICES	1.57
INDUSTRIALS	CAPITAL GOODS	4.89
INDUSTRIALS	COMMERCIAL & PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	0.84
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	SEMICONDUCTORS & SEMICONDUCTOR EQUIPMENT	30.18
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	SOFTWARE & SERVICES	30.96
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	TECHNOLOGY HARDWARE & EQUIPMENT	17.14

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 3% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 1.52%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 52.87% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 18.83% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 13.80% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the

exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Europe Equity Absolute Alpha Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300T76LY12GIDXZ22

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

☐ Yes	☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 47.00% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 73.43% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 47.00% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 73.43% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 47.00% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 47.00% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
CENTRICA PLC	UTILITIES	0.86	United Kingdom
3I GROUP PLC	FINANCIALS	0.82	United Kingdom
MARKS & SPENCER GROUP PLC	CONSUMER STAPLES	0.82	United Kingdom
MITIE GROUP PLC	INDUSTRIALS	0.81	United Kingdom
SOPRA STERIA GROUP	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	0.79	France
ENGIE	UTILITIES	0.78	France
NOVARTIS AG-REG	HEALTH CARE	0.78	Switzerland
HOLCIM LTD	MATERIALS	0.77	Switzerland
Aker Solutions ASA	ENERGY	0.76	Norway
MUENCHENER RUECKVER AG-REG	FINANCIALS	0.75	Germany
METSO OUTOTEC OYJ	INDUSTRIALS	0.75	Finland
TECHNIP ENERGIES NV	ENERGY	0.75	Netherlands
KONINKLIJKE KPN NV	COMMUNICATION SERVICES	0.75	Netherlands
GLANBIA PLC	CONSUMER STAPLES	0.74	Ireland
RENAULT SA	CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	0.74	France

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 73.43% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 47.00% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the

fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	MEDIA & ENTERTAINMENT	-0.48
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES	2.33
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	AUTOMOBILES & COMPONENTS	4.29
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	CONSUMER DURABLES & APPAREL	0.80
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	CONSUMER SERVICES	0.99
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	RETAILING	2.70
CONSUMER STAPLES	FOOD & STAPLES RETAILING	2.54
CONSUMER STAPLES	FOOD BEVERAGE & TOBACCO	1.15
ENERGY	ENERGY	4.77
FINANCIALS	BANKS	3.72
FINANCIALS	DIVERSIFIED FINANCIALS	0.60
FINANCIALS	INSURANCE	1.97
FINANCIALS	SOFTWARE & SERVICES	-0.35
HEALTH CARE	HEALTH CARE EQUIPMENT & SERVICES	-3.89
HEALTH CARE	PHARMACEUTICALS BIOTECHNOLOGY & LIFE	-2.60

	SCIENCES	
INDUSTRIALS	CAPITAL GOODS	1.36
INDUSTRIALS	COMMERCIAL & PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	4.36
INDUSTRIALS	TRANSPORTATION	2.28
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	SEMICONDUCTORS & SEMICONDUCTOR EQUIPMENT	-0.27
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	SOFTWARE & SERVICES	-0.74
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	TECHNOLOGY HARDWARE & EQUIPMENT	-2.42
MATERIALS	MATERIALS	-1.03
REAL ESTATE	REAL ESTATE	-5.58
UTILITIES	UTILITIES	-0.36

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.





This graph represents 14% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 3.00%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 27.72% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 19.28% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 26.57% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?***

  Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?***

  Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Europe Equity Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300RQDBS820FSV017

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 60.95% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 84.97% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 60.95% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 84.97% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 60.95% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 60.95% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
NOVO NORDISK A/S-B	HEALTH CARE	3.98	Denmark
NESTLE SA-REG	CONSUMER STAPLES	3.77	Switzerland
LVMH MOET HENNESSY LOUIS VUI	CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	3.46	France
ASML HOLDING NV	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	3.31	Netherlands
ASTRAZENECA PLC	HEALTH CARE	2.98	United Kingdom
NOVARTIS AG-REG	HEALTH CARE	2.87	Switzerland
ROCHE HOLDING AG-GENUSSCHEIN	HEALTH CARE	2.77	Switzerland
TOTALENERGIES SE	ENERGY	2.23	France
SAP SE	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	2.08	Germany
BP PLC	ENERGY	2.04	United Kingdom
SIEMENS AG-REG	INDUSTRIALS	1.98	Germany
CIE FINANCIERE RICHEMO-A REG	CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	1.79	Switzerland
UNICREDIT SPA	FINANCIALS	1.73	Italy
RIO TINTO PLC	MATERIALS	1.71	United Kingdom
L'OREAL	CONSUMER STAPLES	1.68	France

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 84.97% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 60.95% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the

fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	MEDIA & ENTERTAINMENT	0.65
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES	1.87
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	AUTOMOBILES & COMPONENTS	3.11
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	CONSUMER DURABLES & APPAREL	5.65
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	RETAILING	1.60
CONSUMER STAPLES	FOOD & STAPLES RETAILING	1.37
CONSUMER STAPLES	FOOD BEVERAGE & TOBACCO	6.93
CONSUMER STAPLES	HOUSEHOLD & PERSONAL PRODUCTS	3.22
ENERGY	ENERGY	6.24
FINANCIALS	BANKS	8.59
FINANCIALS	DIVERSIFIED FINANCIALS	4.57
FINANCIALS	INSURANCE	5.75
HEALTH CARE	HEALTH CARE EQUIPMENT & SERVICES	1.04
HEALTH CARE	PHARMACEUTICALS BIOTECHNOLOGY & LIFE	13.34

	SCIENCES	
INDUSTRIALS	CAPITAL GOODS	11.99
INDUSTRIALS	COMMERCIAL & PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	2.88
INDUSTRIALS	TRANSPORTATION	1.47
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	SEMICONDUCTORS & SEMICONDUCTOR EQUIPMENT	4.98
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	SOFTWARE & SERVICES	2.43
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	TECHNOLOGY HARDWARE & EQUIPMENT	0.11
MATERIALS	MATERIALS	5.51
UTILITIES	UTILITIES	5.23

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 3% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.41%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 51.15% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 9.80% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 15.03% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Europe Equity Plus Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300QCZKNLQQL80M68

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 54.01% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 81.28% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 54.01% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 81.28% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 54.01% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 54.01% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
ASML HOLDING NV	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	4.06	Netherlands
NOVO NORDISK A/S-B	HEALTH CARE	3.72	Denmark
LVMH MOET HENNESSY LOUIS VUI	CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	3.68	France
SHELL PLC	ENERGY	3.24	United Kingdom
NOVARTIS AG-REG	HEALTH CARE	3.24	Switzerland
NESTLE SA-REG	CONSUMER STAPLES	3.06	Switzerland
ASTRAZENECA PLC	HEALTH CARE	2.80	United Kingdom
ROCHE HOLDING AG-GENUSSCHEIN	HEALTH CARE	2.36	Switzerland
SIEMENS AG-REG	INDUSTRIALS	2.19	Germany
CIE FINANCIERE RICHEMO-A REG	CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	2.16	Switzerland
BP PLC	ENERGY	1.94	United Kingdom
TOTALENERGIES SE	ENERGY	1.91	France
HSBC HOLDINGS PLC	FINANCIALS	1.83	United Kingdom
ABB LTD-REG	INDUSTRIALS	1.62	Switzerland
GSK PLC	HEALTH CARE	1.59	United Kingdom

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 81.28% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 54.01% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the

fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	MEDIA & ENTERTAINMENT	-0.18
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES	2.86
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	AUTOMOBILES & COMPONENTS	4.51
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	CONSUMER DURABLES & APPAREL	6.96
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	CONSUMER SERVICES	1.84
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	RETAILING	3.34
CONSUMER STAPLES	FOOD & STAPLES RETAILING	2.72
CONSUMER STAPLES	FOOD BEVERAGE & TOBACCO	5.94
CONSUMER STAPLES	HOUSEHOLD & PERSONAL PRODUCTS	2.34
ENERGY	ENERGY	8.05
FINANCIALS	BANKS	10.26
FINANCIALS	DIVERSIFIED FINANCIALS	4.60
FINANCIALS	INSURANCE	5.16
HEALTH CARE	HEALTH CARE EQUIPMENT & SERVICES	-0.11
HEALTH CARE	PHARMACEUTICALS	12.11

	BIOTECHNOLOGY & LIFE SCIENCES	
INDUSTRIALS	CAPITAL GOODS	13.02
INDUSTRIALS	COMMERCIAL & PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	3.29
INDUSTRIALS	TRANSPORTATION	2.14
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	SEMICONDUCTORS & SEMICONDUCTOR EQUIPMENT	4.05
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	SOFTWARE & SERVICES	2.76
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	TECHNOLOGY HARDWARE & EQUIPMENT	-0.56
MATERIALS	MATERIALS	4.77
REAL ESTATE	REAL ESTATE	-1.31
UTILITIES	UTILITIES	3.10

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 3% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.79%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 41.04% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 12.97% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 18.72% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Europe High Yield Bond Fund

Legal entity identifier: ZPC0F1K2OKZCKA99DV92

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 23.89% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 62.54% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 23.89% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded issuers that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out issuers that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant issuers for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any issuers during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such issuers were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on an issuer's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, an issuer must score in the top 80% relative to the Sub-Fund's benchmark on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 62.54% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 23.89% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure an issuer's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following or be linked to an environmental or social objective through the use of proceeds of the issue:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy; Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors and (iii) providing a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either: (i) products and services sustainability indicators, which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contribute to the relevant sustainable objective, such as an issuer producing solar panels or clean energy technology that meets the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; (ii) the use of proceeds of the issue, if such use was designated as linked to a specific environmental or social objective, or (iii) was an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to the Sub-Fund's benchmark based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to the Benchmark on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy. The test for supranational and sovereign issuers may consider the issuer's mission or contributions, as peer group leaders or improvers, to positive environmental and social objectives subject to certain criteria.

The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 23.89% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those issuers which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing issuers, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those issuers demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those issuers that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively).

Indicators 10 – 14 relate to an issuer's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions,

chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager also took into account PAI 16 in relation to Investee countries subject to social violations.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude issuers that may cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain issuers, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee issuers themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by issuers or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10, 14 and 16 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, controversial weapons and investee countries subject to social violations. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee issuers in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager currently uses greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions. In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, the Investment Manager used a third-party representative proxy rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain issuers as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. A subset of the indicators was used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee issuers in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emission of air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways requires an issuer to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This requires scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to the Benchmark.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

— *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:*

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an

exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10, 14 and 16 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact, controversial weapons and country level social violations from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee issuers based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
FAURECIA SE	Consumer Cyclical	2.72	France
RENAULT SA	Consumer Cyclical	2.56	France
TIM S.P.A	Communications	2.36	Italy
TELEFONICA EUROPE BV	Communications	2.29	Netherlands
FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY LLC	Consumer Cyclical	2.25	United States
ILIAD SA	Communications	1.99	France
DEUTSCHE LUFTHANSA AG	Transportation	1.98	Germany
GRIFOLS, S.A.	Consumer Noncyclical	1.83	Spain
ELECTRICITE DE FRANCE SA	Electric	1.81	France
ZF FINANCE GMBH	Consumer Cyclical	1.81	Germany
IQVIA INC	Consumer Noncyclical	1.77	United States
CELLNEX TELECOM SA	Communications	1.69	Spain
IHO VERWALTUNGS GMBH	Consumer Cyclical	1.48	Germany
ALTICE FRANCE	Communications	1.44	France
LORCA TELECOM BONDCO SA	Communications	1.38	Spain

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 62.54% of its assets to issuers that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 23.89% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Banking	Banking	2.11
Basic Industry	Chemicals	3.24
Basic Industry	Metals & Mining	1.18

Basic Industry	Paper	0.43
Capital Goods	Aerospace/Defense	0.64
Capital Goods	Construction Machinery	0.75
Capital Goods	Diversified Manufacturing	1.42
Capital Goods	Environmental	0.51
Capital Goods	Packaging	6.47
Communications	Cable Satellite	2.32
Communications	Media Entertainment	1.58
Communications	Wireless	8.07
Communications	Wirelines	9.88
Consumer Cyclical	Automotive	15.55
Consumer Cyclical	Consumer Cyc Services	3.71
Consumer Cyclical	Gaming	2.33
Consumer Cyclical	Leisure	1.90
Consumer Cyclical	Lodging	0.76
Consumer Cyclical	Restaurants	0.48
Consumer Cyclical	Retailers	2.91
Consumer Noncyclical	Consumer Products	1.80
Consumer Noncyclical	Food/Beverage	1.54
Consumer Noncyclical	Healthcare	3.60
Consumer Noncyclical	Pharmaceuticals	5.48
Consumer Noncyclical	Supermarkets	1.44
Developed Market Bond	Developed Market Govt Bond	0.69
Electric	Electric	2.69
Energy	Oil Field Services	1.03
Financial Other	Financial Other	1.59
Industrial Other	Industrial Other	1.83
Technology	Technology	0.85
Transportation	Airlines	2.81
Transportation	Transportation Services	3.49

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more issuers disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 2% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments - including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.08%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 17.61% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 6.28% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 37.46% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of issuers that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in issuers with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- Values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation to issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all issuers in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?***

  Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?***

  Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Europe Small Cap Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300FFQWOELSPPW053

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 43.15% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 71.90% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 43.15% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 71.90% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 43.15% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 43.15% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
JET2 PLC	INDUSTRIALS	1.84	UNITED KINGDOM
ASR NEDERLAND NV	FINANCIALS	1.46	NETHERLANDS
SPIE SA	INDUSTRIALS	1.39	FRANCE
PREMIER FOODS PLC	CONSUMER STAPLES	1.28	UNITED KINGDOM
OSB GROUP PLC	FINANCIALS	1.27	UNITED KINGDOM
Bank of Georgia Group PLC	FINANCIALS	1.23	UNITED KINGDOM
IMI PLC	INDUSTRIALS	1.21	UNITED KINGDOM
Royal Unibrew A/S	CONSUMER STAPLES	1.18	DENMARK
ALPHA FX GROUP PLC	FINANCIALS	1.15	UNITED KINGDOM
ELIS SA	INDUSTRIALS	1.11	FRANCE
GAMES WORKSHOP GROUP PLC	CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	1.11	UNITED KINGDOM
MARKS & SPENCER GROUP PLC	CONSUMER STAPLES	1.10	UNITED KINGDOM
EASYJET PLC	INDUSTRIALS	1.09	UNITED KINGDOM
ALTEN SA	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	1.03	FRANCE
Banco de Sabadell SA	FINANCIALS	1.02	SPAIN

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 71.90% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 43.15% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	MEDIA & ENTERTAINMENT	4.09
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES	0.51
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	AUTOMOBILES & COMPONENTS	2.33
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	CONSUMER DURABLES & APPAREL	6.72
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	CONSUMER SERVICES	2.50
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	RETAILING	6.35
CONSUMER STAPLES	FOOD & STAPLES RETAILING	1.81
CONSUMER STAPLES	FOOD BEVERAGE & TOBACCO	3.90
CONSUMER STAPLES	HOUSEHOLD & PERSONAL PRODUCTS	0.52
ENERGY	ENERGY	1.01
FINANCIALS	BANKS	5.80
FINANCIALS	DIVERSIFIED FINANCIALS	5.51
FINANCIALS	INSURANCE	2.82
HEALTH CARE	HEALTH CARE EQUIPMENT & SERVICES	0.22
HEALTH CARE	PHARMACEUTICALS BIOTECHNOLOGY & LIFE SCIENCES	1.67

INDUSTRIALS	CAPITAL GOODS	16.52
INDUSTRIALS	COMMERCIAL & PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	8.22
INDUSTRIALS	TRANSPORTATION	6.09
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	SEMICONDUCTORS & SEMICONDUCTOR EQUIPMENT	1.82
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	SOFTWARE & SERVICES	3.61
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	TECHNOLOGY HARDWARE & EQUIPMENT	3.17
MATERIALS	MATERIALS	4.87
REAL ESTATE	REAL ESTATE	4.91
UTILITIES	UTILITIES	1.62

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 8% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 1.67%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 21.77% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 21.37% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 28.10% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Europe Strategic Growth Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300JZUSW3P0425F55

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 64.00% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 90.12% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 64.00% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 90.12% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 64.00% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 64.00% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
ASML HOLDING NV	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	6.04	Netherlands
NOVO NORDISK A/S-B	HEALTH CARE	5.62	Denmark
LVMH MOET HENNESSY LOUIS VUI	CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	5.41	France
NESTLE SA-REG	CONSUMER STAPLES	4.93	Switzerland
ASTRAZENECA PLC	HEALTH CARE	3.29	United Kingdom
SAP SE	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	3.05	Germany
ROCHE HOLDING AG-GENUSSCHEIN	HEALTH CARE	2.78	Switzerland
L'OREAL	CONSUMER STAPLES	2.71	France
CIE FINANCIERE RICHEMO-A REG	CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	2.25	Switzerland
SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC SE	INDUSTRIALS	2.16	France
HERMES INTERNATIONAL	CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	1.91	France
AIR LIQUIDE SA	MATERIALS	1.75	France
ABB LTD-REG	INDUSTRIALS	1.66	Switzerland
AIRBUS SE	INDUSTRIALS	1.58	Netherlands
COMPASS GROUP PLC	CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	1.56	United Kingdom

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 90.12% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 64.00% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the

fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	MEDIA & ENTERTAINMENT	1.25
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES	0.70
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	AUTOMOBILES & COMPONENTS	2.08
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	CONSUMER DURABLES & APPAREL	10.94
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	CONSUMER SERVICES	4.84
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	RETAILING	3.28
CONSUMER STAPLES	FOOD & STAPLES RETAILING	1.45
CONSUMER STAPLES	FOOD BEVERAGE & TOBACCO	5.62
CONSUMER STAPLES	HOUSEHOLD & PERSONAL PRODUCTS	4.45
ENERGY	ENERGY	0.81
FINANCIALS	BANKS	2.16
FINANCIALS	DIVERSIFIED FINANCIALS	3.65
FINANCIALS	INSURANCE	1.34
HEALTH CARE	HEALTH CARE EQUIPMENT & SERVICES	0.80
HEALTH CARE	PHARMACEUTICALS	13.79

	BIOTECHNOLOGY & LIFE SCIENCES	
INDUSTRIALS	CAPITAL GOODS	17.53
INDUSTRIALS	COMMERCIAL & PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	3.96
INDUSTRIALS	TRANSPORTATION	1.83
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	SEMICONDUCTORS & SEMICONDUCTOR EQUIPMENT	7.81
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	SOFTWARE & SERVICES	4.92
MATERIALS	MATERIALS	3.97
UTILITIES	UTILITIES	0.60

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 1% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.64%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 46.07% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 17.93% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 9.88% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?***

  Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?***

  Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Europe Strategic Value Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300XQKTFPQ3RDGN42

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 51.06% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 78.56% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 51.06% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 78.56% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 51.06% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 51.06% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
NOVARTIS AG-REG	HEALTH CARE	4.53	Switzerland
SHELL PLC	ENERGY	4.30	United Kingdom
HSBC HOLDINGS PLC	FINANCIALS	3.39	United Kingdom
TOTALENERGIES SE	ENERGY	3.18	France
BP PLC	ENERGY	2.20	United Kingdom
ALLIANZ SE-REG	FINANCIALS	2.17	Germany
ZURICH INSURANCE GROUP AG	FINANCIALS	1.72	Switzerland
RIO TINTO PLC	MATERIALS	1.66	United Kingdom
BNP PARIBAS	FINANCIALS	1.63	France
UBS GROUP AG-REG	FINANCIALS	1.62	Switzerland
DEUTSCHE TELEKOM AG-REG	COMMUNICATION SERVICES	1.62	Germany
MERCEDES-BENZ GROUP AG	CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	1.53	Germany
BANCO SANTANDER SA	FINANCIALS	1.49	Spain
GLENCORE PLC	MATERIALS	1.47	United Kingdom
AXA SA	FINANCIALS	1.42	France

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 78.56% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 51.06% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	MEDIA & ENTERTAINMENT	1.35
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES	5.27
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	AUTOMOBILES & COMPONENTS	6.76
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	CONSUMER DURABLES & APPAREL	1.11
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	RETAILING	0.92
CONSUMER STAPLES	FOOD & STAPLES RETAILING	2.55
CONSUMER STAPLES	FOOD BEVERAGE & TOBACCO	0.26
ENERGY	ENERGY	14.44
FINANCIALS	BANKS	19.88
FINANCIALS	DIVERSIFIED FINANCIALS	4.75
FINANCIALS	INSURANCE	11.42
HEALTH CARE	HEALTH CARE EQUIPMENT & SERVICES	0.45
HEALTH CARE	PHARMACEUTICALS BIOTECHNOLOGY & LIFE SCIENCES	7.41
INDUSTRIALS	CAPITAL GOODS	4.19
INDUSTRIALS	COMMERCIAL & PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	2.32

INDUSTRIALS	TRANSPORTATION	1.33
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	SOFTWARE & SERVICES	0.81
MATERIALS	MATERIALS	8.55
UTILITIES	UTILITIES	3.68

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 2% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.28%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 38.25% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 12.81% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 21.44% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.

- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Europe Sustainable Equity Fund

Legal entity identifier: 2221001DXUMAQS2GZM29

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ X No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	X It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 74.07% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	X with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	X with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 67% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 40% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 94.98% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 74.07% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues. Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 67% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 94.98% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 74.07% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 40% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 74.07% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
NOVO NORDISK A/S-B	HEALTH CARE	4.38	Denmark
ASTRAZENECA PLC	HEALTH CARE	4.19	United Kingdom
ASML HOLDING NV	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	4.06	Netherlands
NESTLE SA-REG	CONSUMER STAPLES	4.05	Switzerland
LVMH MOET HENNESSY LOUIS VUI	CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	3.85	France
NOVARTIS AG-REG	HEALTH CARE	3.52	Switzerland
ROCHE HOLDING AG-GENUSSCHEIN	HEALTH CARE	3.05	Switzerland
SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC SE	INDUSTRIALS	2.69	France
L'OREAL	CONSUMER STAPLES	2.41	France
MUENCHENER RUECKVER AG-REG	FINANCIALS	2.16	Germany
SAP SE	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	2.04	Germany
RELX PLC	INDUSTRIALS	2.02	United Kingdom
3I GROUP PLC	FINANCIALS	2.00	United Kingdom
DEUTSCHE TELEKOM AG-REG	COMMUNICATION SERVICES	1.98	Germany
SIEMENS AG-REG	INDUSTRIALS	1.96	Germany

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 94.98% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 74.07% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the

fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	MEDIA & ENTERTAINMENT	1.16
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES	2.62
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	AUTOMOBILES & COMPONENTS	2.85
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	CONSUMER DURABLES & APPAREL	6.09
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	RETAILING	1.79
CONSUMER STAPLES	FOOD & STAPLES RETAILING	2.53
CONSUMER STAPLES	FOOD BEVERAGE & TOBACCO	5.37
CONSUMER STAPLES	HOUSEHOLD & PERSONAL PRODUCTS	2.41
FINANCIALS	BANKS	8.28
FINANCIALS	DIVERSIFIED FINANCIALS	6.35
FINANCIALS	INSURANCE	7.19
HEALTH CARE	HEALTH CARE EQUIPMENT & SERVICES	1.14
HEALTH CARE	PHARMACEUTICALS BIOTECHNOLOGY & LIFE SCIENCES	15.80

INDUSTRIALS	CAPITAL GOODS	13.49
INDUSTRIALS	COMMERCIAL & PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	4.54
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	SEMICONDUCTORS & SEMICONDUCTOR EQUIPMENT	5.92
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	SOFTWARE & SERVICES	3.13
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	TECHNOLOGY HARDWARE & EQUIPMENT	0.11
MATERIALS	MATERIALS	4.31
UTILITIES	UTILITIES	3.46

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 4% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.46%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 63.97% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 10.11% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 5.02% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 67% of assets in companies with positive or improving E/S characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub-Fund also committed to investing at least 40% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Europe Sustainable Small Cap Equity Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300KTJL3NZFPDLK53

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 62.37% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 67% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 40% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 93.19% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 62.37% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues. Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 67% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 93.19% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 62.37% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 40% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 62.37% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
BFF Bank SpA	FINANCIALS	2.95	Italy
SPIE SA	INDUSTRIALS	2.94	France
DUNELM GROUP PLC	CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	2.47	United Kingdom
NKT HOLDING A/S	INDUSTRIALS	2.23	Denmark
Intercos SpA	CONSUMER STAPLES	1.81	Italy
ARCADIS NV	INDUSTRIALS	1.77	Netherlands
OSB GROUP PLC	FINANCIALS	1.77	United Kingdom
COMPUTACENTER PLC	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	1.76	United Kingdom
FUCHS PETROLUB SE	MATERIALS	1.76	Germany
INTERMEDIATE CAPITAL GROUP	FINANCIALS	1.73	United Kingdom
CIE Automotive SA	CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	1.69	Spain
FAGRON	HEALTH CARE	1.68	Belgium
AAK AB	CONSUMER STAPLES	1.66	Sweden
FISCHER (GEORG)-REG	INDUSTRIALS	1.54	Switzerland
INCHCAPE PLC	CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	1.53	United Kingdom

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 93.19% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 62.37% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	MEDIA & ENTERTAINMENT	2.17
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES	0.87
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	AUTOMOBILES & COMPONENTS	3.79
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	CONSUMER DURABLES & APPAREL	4.91
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	CONSUMER SERVICES	0.46
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	RETAILING	6.53
CONSUMER STAPLES	FOOD BEVERAGE & TOBACCO	4.69
CONSUMER STAPLES	HOUSEHOLD & PERSONAL PRODUCTS	1.81
FINANCIALS	BANKS	4.48
FINANCIALS	DIVERSIFIED FINANCIALS	7.17
FINANCIALS	INSURANCE	3.73
HEALTH CARE	HEALTH CARE EQUIPMENT & SERVICES	2.03
HEALTH CARE	PHARMACEUTICALS BIOTECHNOLOGY & LIFE SCIENCES	2.55
INDUSTRIALS	CAPITAL GOODS	20.28
INDUSTRIALS	COMMERCIAL & PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	9.95

INDUSTRIALS	TRANSPORTATION	0.86
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	SEMICONDUCTORS & SEMICONDUCTOR EQUIPMENT	1.27
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	SOFTWARE & SERVICES	7.45
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	TECHNOLOGY HARDWARE & EQUIPMENT	3.42
MATERIALS	MATERIALS	4.88
REAL ESTATE	REAL ESTATE	2.95
UTILITIES	UTILITIES	1.06

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 7% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 1.62%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 34.27% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 28.10% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 6.81% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 67% of assets in companies with positive or improving E/S characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub-Fund also committed to investing at least 40% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Financials Bond Fund

Legal entity identifier: 5493004T78WR54HQUM72

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 37.37% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 81.11% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 37.37% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded issuers that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out issuers that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant issuers for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any issuers during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such issuers were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on an issuer's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, an issuer must score in the top 80% relative to the Sub-Fund's benchmark on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 81.11% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 37.37% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure an issuer's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following or be linked to an environmental or social objective through the use of proceeds of the issue:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy; Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors and (iii) providing a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either: (i) products and services sustainability indicators, which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contribute to the relevant sustainable objective, such as an issuer producing solar panels or clean energy technology that meets the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; (ii) the use of proceeds of the issue, if such use was designated as linked to a specific environmental or social objective, or (iii) was an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to the Sub-Fund's benchmark based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to the Benchmark on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy. The test for supranational and sovereign issuers may consider the issuer's mission or contributions, as peer group leaders or improvers, to positive environmental and social objectives subject to certain criteria.

The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 37.37% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those issuers which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing issuers, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those issuers demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those issuers that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively).

Indicators 10 – 14 relate to an issuer's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions,

chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager also took into account PAI 16 in relation to Investee countries subject to social violations.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude issuers that may cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain issuers, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee issuers themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by issuers or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10, 14 and 16 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, controversial weapons and investee countries subject to social violations. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee issuers in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager currently uses greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions. In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, the Investment Manager used a third-party representative proxy rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain issuers as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. A subset of the indicators was used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee issuers in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emission of air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways requires an issuer to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This requires scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to the Benchmark.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

— *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:*

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an

exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10, 14 and 16 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact, controversial weapons and country level social violations from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee issuers based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
HSBC HOLDINGS PLC.	Finance	5.95	United Kingdom
BPCE SA	Finance	4.91	France
BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION	Finance	4.46	United States
CITIGROUP INC.	Finance	3.86	United States
SOCIETE GENERALE SA	Finance	3.60	France
GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC.	Finance	3.27	United States
MORGAN STANLEY	Finance	3.15	United States
NATWEST GROUP PLC	Finance	3.00	United Kingdom
STANDARD CHARTERED PLC	Finance	2.93	United Kingdom
CREDIT AGRICOLE SA	Finance	2.74	France
WELLS FARGO & COMPANY	Finance	2.68	United States
UBS GROUP AG	Finance	2.27	Switzerland
AXA SA	Finance	2.22	France
NATIONAL AUSTRALIA BANK LIMITED	Finance	2.15	Australia
CAIXABANK, S.A.	Finance	2.01	Spain

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 81.11% of its assets to issuers that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 37.37% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Finance	Banking	73.06
Finance	Brokerage	0.68
Finance	Finance Companies	2.44

Finance	Financial Other	0.04
Finance	Insurance	20.57
Finance	REITs	1.07

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more issuers disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 0% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.00%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 16.89% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 20.48% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 18.89% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of issuers that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in issuers with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.

- Values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation to issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all issuers in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Global Aggregate Bond Fund

Legal entity identifier: NZF7S8SY314R24S4BF07

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 47.37% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 86.37% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 47.37% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded issuers that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out issuers that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant issuers for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any issuers during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such issuers were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on an issuer's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, an issuer must score in the top 80% relative to the Sub-Fund's custom universe on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 86.37% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 47.37% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure an issuer's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following or be linked to an environmental or social objective through the use of proceeds of the issue:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy;

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors and (iii) providing a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either: (i) products and services sustainability indicators, which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contribute to the relevant sustainable objective, such as an issuer producing solar panels or clean energy technology that meets the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; (ii) the use of proceeds of the issue, if such use was designated as linked to a specific environmental or social objective, or (iii) was an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to the Sub-Fund's custom universe based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to the custom universe on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy. The test for supranational and sovereign issuers may consider the issuer's mission or contributions, as peer group leaders or improvers, to positive environmental and social objectives subject to certain criteria.

The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 47.37% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those issuers which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing issuers, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those issuers demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those issuers that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively).

Indicators 10 – 14 relate to an issuer's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions,

chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude issuers that may cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain issuers, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee issuers themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by issuers or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, controversial weapons and investee countries subject to social violations. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee issuers in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager currently uses greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions. In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, the Investment Manager used a third-party representative proxy rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain issuers as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. A subset of the indicators was used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee issuers in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emission of air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways requires an issuer to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This requires scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to the benchmark.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

— *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:*

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee issuers based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION	Agency RMBS	12.99	United States
REPUBLIC OF ITALY	Developed Market Government	11.15	Italy
US DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY	US Treasury	8.48	United States
GOVERNMENT NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION	Agency RMBS	6.46	United States
EUROPEAN UNION	Non-US Govt Related Credit	6.06	International
GOVERNMENT OF JAPAN	Developed Market Government	5.16	Japan

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 86.37% of its assets to issuers that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 47.37% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
ABS	ABS - Auto	0.08
Agency RMBS	Agency CMO	0.07
Agency RMBS	Agency Pass Through	21.30
CMBS	CMBS Conduit	0.63
CMBS	Single Asset Single Borrower	0.51
Developed Market Government	Developed Market Bond	25.26
EM Local	EM Local Bond	6.40
Finance	Banking	12.82
Finance	Brokerage	0.17
Finance	Finance Companies	1.49
Finance	REITs	0.06
Industrial	Basic Industry	0.55
Industrial	Capital Goods	0.44
Industrial	Communications	0.83
Industrial	Consumer Cyclical	0.07
Industrial	Consumer Noncyclical	1.73
Industrial	Energy	2.18
Industrial	Industrial Other	0.02
Industrial	Technology	0.81
Industrial	Transportation	0.66
Non-Agency CMO	Prime	0.59
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Foreign Agencies	0.51

Non-US Govt Related Credit	Foreign Local Govt	0.89
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Sovereign	2.35
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Supranational	6.53
Taxable Muni	Revenue	0.10
US Treasury	US Treasury Bonds/Bills	8.48
Utilities	Electric	2.33
Utilities	Utility - Other	0.09

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more issuers disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 0% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.01%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 10.03% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 37.34% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 13.63% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of issuers that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.

- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in issuers with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation to issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all issuers in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Global Bond Opportunities Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300EOQRRY8Q2QVV18

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 36.34% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 80.29% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 36.34% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded issuers that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out issuers that did not score in the top 80% relative to the Sub-Fund's custom universe based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant issuers for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any issuers during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such issuers were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on an issuer's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, an issuer must score in the top 80% relative to its custom universe on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 80.29% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 36.34% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure an issuer's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following or be linked to an environmental or social objective through the use of proceeds of the issue:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy; Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors and (iii) providing a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either: (i) products and services sustainability indicators, which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contribute to the relevant sustainable objective, such as an issuer producing solar panels or clean energy technology that meets the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; (ii) the use of proceeds of the issue, if such use was designated as linked to a specific environmental or social objective, or (iii) was an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to the custom universe based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to the custom universe on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy. The test for supranational and sovereign issuers may consider the issuer's mission or contributions, as peer group leaders or improvers, to positive environmental and social objectives subject to certain criteria.

The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 36.34% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those issuers which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing issuers, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those issuers demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those issuers that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively).

Indicators 10 – 14 relate to an issuer's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions,

chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude issuers that may cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain issuers, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee issuers themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by issuers or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, controversial weapons and investee countries subject to social violations. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee issuers in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager currently uses greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions. In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, the Investment Manager used a third-party representative proxy rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain issuers as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. A subset of the indicators was used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee issuers in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emission of air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways requires an issuer to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This requires scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to the custom universe.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

— *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:*

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee issuers based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION	Agency CMO	7.71	United States
BRAZIL NOTAS DO TESOURO NACIONAL SERIE F	EM Local Bond	1.94	Brazil
REPUBLIC OF ITALY	Sovereign	1.83	Italy
MEXICAN BONOS	EM Local Bond	1.75	Mexico
UNITED MEXICAN STATES	EM Local Bond	1.58	Mexico
GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC.	Banking	1.50	United States
FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY	Developed Market Bond	1.40	Germany
MORGAN STANLEY	Banking	1.34	United States
REPUBLIC OF POLAND GOVERNMENT BOND	EM Local Bond	1.32	Poland
CITIGROUP INC.	Banking	1.27	United States
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA GOVERNMENT BOND	EM Local Bond	1.12	South Africa
BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION	Banking	1.10	United States
CZECH REPUBLIC GOVERNMENT BOND	EM Local Bond	1.05	Czech Republic
BPCE SA	Banking	1.01	France
INDONESIA TREASURY BOND	EM Local Bond	0.94	Indonesia

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 80.29% of its assets to issuers that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 36.34% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
ABS - Floorplan	Floorplan	0.01
ABS - Other	ABS - Other	0.01
ABS CLO	CLO/CDO	0.60

Agency - CMBS Conduit	Agency CMBS IO Credit	0.40
Agency - CMBS Conduit	Agency Subordinated CMBS	0.39
Agency CMO	Agency CMO IO/PO/INV	0.59
Agency Multifamily CMO	Agency Multifamily CMO IO/PO/INV	0.52
Agency Pass Through	30Y	7.46
Alt -A	ALT-A	0.20
Banking	Banking	16.28
Basic Industry	Chemicals	0.95
Basic Industry	Metals & Mining	1.73
Basic Industry	Paper	0.37
Capital Goods	Aerospace/Defense	0.14
Capital Goods	Building Materials	0.56
Capital Goods	Construction Machinery	0.25
Capital Goods	Diversified Manufacturing	0.94
Capital Goods	Environmental	0.15
Capital Goods	Packaging	0.91
CMBS Conduit	CMBS Conduit	0.68
Communications	Cable Satellite	2.73
Communications	Media Entertainment	1.99
Communications	Wireless	1.57
Communications	Wirelines	2.16
Consumer Cyclical	Automotive	3.73
Consumer Cyclical	Consumer Cyc Services	1.29
Consumer Cyclical	Gaming	0.67
Consumer Cyclical	Leisure	1.03
Consumer Cyclical	Lodging	0.04
Consumer Cyclical	Restaurants	0.09
Consumer Cyclical	Retailers	1.12
Consumer Noncyclical	Consumer Products	1.54
Consumer Noncyclical	Food/Beverage	0.90
Consumer Noncyclical	Healthcare	2.59
Consumer Noncyclical	Pharmaceuticals	1.53
Consumer Noncyclical	Supermarkets	0.19
CRT	CRT	0.10
Developed Market Bond	Developed Market Govt Bond	1.40
Electric	Electric	5.75
EM Local Bond	EM Local Govt Bond	9.98
Energy	Independent	1.16
Energy	Integrated	1.63
Energy	Midstream	1.40
Energy	Oil Field Services	0.38
Finance Companies	Finance Companies	1.47
Financial Other	Financial Other	0.24
Home Equity	Home Equity	0.39
Industrial Other	Industrial Other	0.54
Insurance	Health Insurance	0.18
Insurance	Life	0.47
Insurance	P&C	0.03
Natural Gas	Natural Gas	0.19
Non-Agency CMO Other	Non-Agency CMO Other	0.08
Option ARM	OPTION ARM	0.01
PACE	PACE	0.04
Prime	PRIME	0.02
REITs	REITS - Healthcare	0.17
REITs	REITS - Office	0.04
REITs	REITS - Other	0.31
REITs	REITS - Retail	0.12
RMBS - Timeshare	Timeshare	0.03
Single Asset Single Borrower	SASB - Single Property	0.20
Sovereign	Sovereign	8.85

Supranational	Supranational	0.57
Technology	Technology	4.71
Transportation	Airlines	0.58
Transportation	Railroads	0.21
Transportation	Transportation Services	0.94
Utility - Other	Utility - Other	0.13

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more issuers disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 2% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.13%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 18.96% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 17.39% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 19.71% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of issuers that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.

- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in issuers with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- Values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation to issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all issuers in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Global Bond Opportunities Sustainable Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300O4JDVKSUCH4329

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 45.05% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote environmental and social characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 67% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 25% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 87.86% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 45.05% of investment of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment. The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour relations and management of safety issues.

The Sub-Fund maintained an average asset-weighted MSCI ESG score above the average MSCI ESG score of the fixed income universe weighted to match the Sub-Fund's sector allocation, excluding cash holdings and currencies, throughout the reference period. Robust monitoring on these scores ensured that this condition was met throughout the reference period.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded issuers that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The Sub-Fund maintained an MSCI ESG Score above the MSCI ESG score of the fixed income universe. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any issuers during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such issuers were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

As outlined above the Sub-Fund committed to an asset allocation of 67% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 25% of investments being sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund achieved 87.86% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 45.05% of investment being sustainable investments.

The individual investments undertaken by the Sub-Fund were assessed by a combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/ or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on an issuer's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 67% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, an issuer must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined below.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out issuers that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

For further information on the applied methodology refer to the following link: https://am.jpmorgan.com/lu by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

The Sub-Fund maintained an average asset-weighted MSCI ESG score above the average MSCI ESG score of the fixed income universe weighted to match the Sub-Fund's sector allocation, excluding cash holdings and currencies, throughout the reference period.

In respect of the applied norms and values-based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure an issuer's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. The data may have been obtained from investee issuers themselves and/or supplied by third party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs self-reported by issuers or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient of poor quality or contain biased information. Third party data providers are subject to rigorous vendor selection criteria which may include analysis on data sources, coverage, timeliness, reliability and overall quality of the information, however, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data. A subset of the "Adverse sustainability indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening and the relevant metrics used to identify and screen out identified violators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following or be linked to an environmental or social objective through the use of proceeds of the issue:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors and (iii) providing a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either: (i) products and services sustainability indicators, which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contribute to the relevant sustainable objective, such as an issuer producing solar panels or clean energy technology that meets the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; (ii) the use of proceeds of the issue, if such use was designated as linked to a specific environmental or social objective, or (iii) was an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to the Sub-Fund's fixed income universe based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to the fixed income universe on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy. The test for supranational and sovereign issuers may consider the issuer's mission or contributions, as peer group leaders or improvers, to positive environmental and social objectives subject to certain criteria.

The Sub-Fund was required to invest 25% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 45.05% of its assets were sustainable investments

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those issuers which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing issuers, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those issuers demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those issuers that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to

data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively).

Indicators 10 – 14 relate to an issuer's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude issuers that may have caused significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain issuers, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee issuers themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by issuers or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons.

The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee issuers in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager currently uses greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, the Investment Manager used a third-party representative proxy rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain issuers as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. A subset of the indicators was used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee issuers in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emission of air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways requires an issuer to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This requires scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to the Benchmark.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

→ *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:*

The Sub-Fund applied an exclusion screen to ensure alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights as provided for under the Minimum Safeguards in the EU Taxonomy Regulation. This screen, is the product of (i) third-party data used to identify potential violators (ii) as applicable, a review of whether the use of proceeds of the issuance is aligned to relevant sustainable objective and/or (iii) as appropriate, an enhanced review of the issuer and the reason of the third-party alert. Subject to the outcome of these reviews, in some instances the third-party data flag was determined as no longer applicable.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions and active engagement with select investee issuers. Indicators 3,4,5,10,13 and 14 from Table 1 and indicator 2 from Table 2 and 3 from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. These indicators respectively relate to GHG intensity, fossil fuel, renewable energy, violations of the UN Global Compact, board gender diversity, controversial weapons, emissions of air pollutants and accidents/injury in the workplace. A subset of the indicators were used to identify a target list of issuers to engage with based on their performance. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee issuers based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is: 01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION	Agency CMBS	7.70	United States
KINGDOM OF SWEDEN	Developed Market Government	3.28	Sweden
CZECH REPUBLIC GOVERNMENT BOND	EM Local	2.03	Czech Republic
GOVERNMENT NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION	Agency CMBS	2.01	United States
MEXICAN BONOS	EM Local	1.83	Mexico
MORGAN STANLEY	Finance	1.76	United States
BRAZIL NOTAS DO TESOURO NACIONAL SERIE F	EM Local	1.74	Brazil
CITIGROUP INC.	Finance	1.71	United States
GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC.	Finance	1.67	United States
UNITED MEXICAN STATES	EM Local	1.48	Mexico
BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION	Finance	1.39	United States
REPUBLIC OF POLAND GOVERNMENT BOND	EM Local	1.36	Poland
HSBC HOLDINGS PLC.	Finance	1.14	United Kingdom
MEXICO GOVERNMENT INTERNATIONAL BOND	Non-US Govt Related Credit	1.08	Mexico
BPCE SA	Finance	1.06	France

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 87.86% of its assets to issuers that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 45.05% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Agency CMBS	Agency Multifamily CMO	0.39
Agency RMBS	Agency CMO	0.67
Agency RMBS	Agency Pass Through	9.26
CLO	ABS CLO	0.45
CMBS	Agency - CMBS Conduit	0.17
CMBS	CMBS Conduit	0.30
CMBS	Single Asset Single Borrower	0.13
Developed Market Government	Developed Market Bond	3.28
EM Local	EM Local Bond	11.66
Equity	Communications	0.01
Finance	Banking	18.54
Finance	Brokerage	0.03
Finance	Finance Companies	1.40
Finance	Financial Other	0.38
Finance	Insurance	0.57
Finance	REITs	1.43
Industrial	Basic Industry	1.37
Industrial	Capital Goods	3.86
Industrial	Communications	10.95
Industrial	Consumer Cyclical	6.88
Industrial	Consumer Noncyclical	8.80
Industrial	Energy	0.17
Industrial	Industrial Other	0.53
Industrial	Technology	7.13
Industrial	Transportation	1.68
Non-Agency CMO	Alt -A	0.04
Non-Agency CMO	CRT	0.02
Non-Agency CMO	Home Equity	0.16
Non-Agency CMO	Non-Agency CMO Other	0.08
Non-Agency CMO	PACE	0.01
Non-Agency CMO	Prime	0.01
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Sovereign	6.83
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Supranational	1.36
US Treasury	US Treasury Bonds/Bills	0.79
Utilities	Utility - Other	0.07

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more issuers disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 0% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.21%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 22.24% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 22.81% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 12.14% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of issuers that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- To typically maintain an average asset-weighted MSCI ESG score above the average MSCI ESG score of the custom fixed income universe weighted to match the Sub-Fund's sector allocation, excluding cash holdings and currencies.
- Excluding certain sectors, companies/issuers or practices based on specific values or norms-based criteria. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

- All issuers must follow good governance practices.

The Sub-Fund also committed to investing at least 25% of assets in sustainable investments

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Global Convertibles Fund (EUR)

Legal entity identifier: 549300JUJN4R8J5ZTZ13

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 49.43% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 81.10% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 49.43% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 81.10% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 49.43% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 49.43% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
MICROSOFT CORP	Information Technology	4.22	United States
VISA INC-CLASS A SHARES	Financials	3.84	United States
DEXCOM INC	Health Care	3.49	United States
AMADEUS IT GROUP SA	Consumer Discretionary	3.13	Spain
SAFRAN SA	Industrials	3.13	France
LVMH MOET HENNESSY LOUIS VUI	Consumer Discretionary	3.00	France
FORD MOTOR CO	Consumer Discretionary	2.98	United States
BURLINGTON STORES INC	Consumer Discretionary	2.64	United States
ANTA SPORTS PRODUCTS LTD	Consumer Discretionary	2.50	Cayman Islands
BOOKING HOLDINGS INC	Consumer Discretionary	2.50	United States
L'OREAL	Consumer Staples	2.42	France
SOUTHWEST AIRLINES CO	Industrials	2.42	United States
ON SEMICONDUCTOR	Information Technology	2.40	United States
SEA LTD-ADR	Communication Services	2.33	Cayman Islands
AIR CANADA	Industrials	2.29	Canada

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 81.10% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 49.43% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the

fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Communication Services	Media & Entertainment	5.80
Communication Services	Telecommunication Services	1.54
Consumer Discretionary	Automobiles And Components	3.64
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Discretionary Dist & Retail	8.51
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Durables And Apparel	6.96
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Services	7.53
Consumer Staples	Food Beverage And Tobacco	2.31
Consumer Staples	Household And Personal Products	2.42
Energy	Energy	0.49
Financials	Banks	0.67
Financials	Financial Services	5.47
Financials	Insurance	0.88
Health Care	Health Care Equipment And Services	5.33
Health Care	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	4.82
Industrials	Capital Goods	3.53

Industrials	Transportation	6.97
Information Technology	Semiconductors And Semiconductor Equip	6.23
Information Technology	Software And Services	18.01
Information Technology	Technology Hardware And Equipment	0.66
Materials	Materials	1.33
Real Estate	Real Estate Management & Development	2.49
Utilities	Utilities	1.31

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 1% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.60%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 26.11% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 23.33% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under “other”, what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 18.90% of assets in “other” investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, “How did the sustainability indicators perform?” to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under “other”. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including “other” investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Global Corporate Bond Duration-Hedged Fund

Legal entity identifier: 5493001C4LNT2HMJ5X19

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 45.78% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 76.83% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 45.78% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded issuers that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out issuers that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant issuers for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any issuers during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such issuers were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on an issuer's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, an issuer must score in the top 80% relative to the Sub-Fund's benchmark on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 76.83% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 45.78% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure an issuer's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following or be linked to an environmental or social objective through the use of proceeds of the issue:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy; Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors and (iii) providing a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either: (i) products and services sustainability indicators, which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contribute to the relevant sustainable objective, such as an issuer producing solar panels or clean energy technology that meets the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; (ii) the use of proceeds of the issue, if such use was designated as linked to a specific environmental or social objective, or (iii) was an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to the Sub-Fund's benchmark based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to the Benchmark on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy. The test for supranational and sovereign issuers may consider the issuer's mission or contributions, as peer group leaders or improvers, to positive environmental and social objectives subject to certain criteria.

The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 45.78% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those issuers which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing issuers, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those issuers demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those issuers that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively).

Indicators 10 – 14 relate to an issuer's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions,

chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager also took into account PAI 16 in relation to Investee countries subject to social violations.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude issuers that may cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain issuers, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee issuers themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by issuers or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10, 14 and 16 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, controversial weapons and investee countries subject to social violations. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee issuers in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager currently uses greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions. In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, the Investment Manager used a third-party representative proxy rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain issuers as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. A subset of the indicators was used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee issuers in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emission of air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways requires an issuer to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This requires scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to the Benchmark.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

— *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:*

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an

exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10, 14 and 16 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact, controversial weapons and country level social violations from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee issuers based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION	Banking	2.60	United States
BPCE SA	Banking	2.22	France
HSBC HOLDINGS PLC.	Banking	2.03	United Kingdom
MORGAN STANLEY	Banking	1.96	United States
GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC.	Banking	1.58	United States
NATWEST GROUP PLC	Banking	1.49	United Kingdom
INTESA SANPAOLO SPA	Banking	1.47	Italy
CITIGROUP INC.	Banking	1.43	United States
WELLS FARGO & COMPANY	Banking	1.38	United States
SANTANDER UK GROUP HOLDINGS PLC	Banking	1.20	United Kingdom
ABBVIE INC.	Consumer Noncyclical	1.17	United States
SOCIETE GENERALE SA	Banking	1.15	France
US DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY	US Treasury Bonds/Bills	1.13	United States
COMCAST CORPORATION	Communications	0.96	United States
MEDTRONIC GLOBAL HOLDINGS S.C.A.	Consumer Noncyclical	0.95	Luxembourg

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 76.83% of its assets to issuers that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 45.78% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Banking	Banking	29.50
Basic Industry	Chemicals	0.29
Basic Industry	Metals & Mining	2.14

Brokerage	Brokerage Assetmanagers Exchanges	0.49
Capital Goods	Building Materials	0.82
Capital Goods	Diversified Manufacturing	1.72
Communications	Cable Satellite	1.80
Communications	Media Entertainment	2.56
Communications	Wireless	1.52
Communications	Wirelines	2.26
Consumer Cyclical	Automotive	1.80
Consumer Cyclical	Consumer Cyc Services	0.22
Consumer Cyclical	Lodging	0.20
Consumer Cyclical	Restaurants	0.62
Consumer Cyclical	Retailers	1.16
Consumer Noncyclical	Consumer Products	1.00
Consumer Noncyclical	Food/Beverage	2.27
Consumer Noncyclical	Healthcare	4.60
Consumer Noncyclical	Pharmaceuticals	4.09
Consumer Noncyclical	Supermarkets	0.23
Electric	Electric	11.39
Energy	Independent	1.40
Energy	Integrated	1.31
Energy	Midstream	3.58
Energy	Refining	0.11
Finance Companies	Finance Companies	1.39
Financial Other	Financial Other	0.20
Industrial Other	Industrial Other	0.10
Insurance	Health Insurance	0.75
Insurance	Life	1.16
Insurance	P&C	0.20
Natural Gas	Natural Gas	1.00
REITs	REITS - Apartment	0.26
REITs	REITS - Healthcare	0.76
REITs	REITS - Office	0.08
REITs	REITS - Other	0.97
REITs	REITS - Retail	0.13
Technology	Technology	4.89
Transportation	Airlines	0.79
Transportation	Railroads	0.93
Transportation	Transportation Services	2.57
US Treasury Bonds/Bills	Bonds	1.13
Utility - Other	Utility - Other	0.82

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more issuers disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 2% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.23%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 31.79% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 13.99% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 23.17% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of issuers that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in issuers with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- Values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation to issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

- The requirement for all issuers in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Global Corporate Bond Fund

Legal entity identifier: EO1ZF4VK19CZVPQNRJ56

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 44.65% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 76.25% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 44.65% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded issuers that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out issuers that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant issuers for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any issuers during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such issuers were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on an issuer's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, an issuer must score in the top 80% relative to the Sub-Fund's benchmark on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 76.25% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 44.65% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure an issuer's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following or be linked to an environmental or social objective through the use of proceeds of the issue:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy; Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors and (iii) providing a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either: (i) products and services sustainability indicators, which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contribute to the relevant sustainable objective, such as an issuer producing solar panels or clean energy technology that meets the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; (ii) the use of proceeds of the issue, if such use was designated as linked to a specific environmental or social objective, or (iii) was an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to the Sub-Fund's benchmark based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to the Benchmark on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy. The test for supranational and sovereign issuers may consider the issuer's mission or contributions, as peer group leaders or improvers, to positive environmental and social objectives subject to certain criteria.

The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 44.65% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those issuers which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing issuers, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those issuers demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those issuers that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively).

Indicators 10 – 14 relate to an issuer's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions,

chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager also took into account PAI 16 in relation to Investee countries subject to social violations.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude issuers that may cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain issuers, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee issuers themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by issuers or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10, 14 and 16 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, controversial weapons and investee countries subject to social violations. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee issuers in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager currently uses greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions. In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, the Investment Manager used a third-party representative proxy rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain issuers as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. A subset of the indicators was used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee issuers in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emission of air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways requires an issuer to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This requires scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to the Benchmark.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

— *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:*

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an

exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10, 14 and 16 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact, controversial weapons and country level social violations from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee issuers based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION	Banking	2.60	United States
US DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY	US Treasury Bonds/Bills	1.79	United States
GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC.	Banking	1.79	United States
MORGAN STANLEY	Banking	1.76	United States
CITIGROUP INC.	Banking	1.66	United States
BPCE SA	Banking	1.50	France
DANSKE BANK A/S	Banking	1.41	Denmark
WELLS FARGO & COMPANY	Banking	1.35	United States
HSBC HOLDINGS PLC.	Banking	1.31	United Kingdom
ABBVIE INC.	Consumer Noncyclical	1.23	United States
INTESA SANPAOLO SPA	Banking	1.22	Italy
UBS GROUP AG	Banking	1.17	Switzerland
NATWEST GROUP PLC	Banking	1.16	United Kingdom
COMCAST CORPORATION	Communications	1.10	United States
SOCIETE GENERALE SA	Banking	0.99	France

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 76.25% of its assets to issuers that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 44.65% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Banking	Banking	29.92
Basic Industry	Chemicals	0.32
Basic Industry	Metals & Mining	1.97

Brokerage	Brokerage Assetmanagers Exchanges	0.41
Capital Goods	Building Materials	0.74
Capital Goods	Diversified Manufacturing	1.05
Communications	Cable Satellite	1.88
Communications	Media Entertainment	2.63
Communications	Wireless	1.78
Communications	Wirelines	1.73
Consumer Cyclical	Automotive	1.99
Consumer Cyclical	Consumer Cyc Services	0.08
Consumer Cyclical	Home Construction	0.07
Consumer Cyclical	Lodging	0.16
Consumer Cyclical	Restaurants	0.54
Consumer Cyclical	Retailers	1.16
Consumer Noncyclical	Consumer Products	1.07
Consumer Noncyclical	Food/Beverage	2.33
Consumer Noncyclical	Healthcare	3.62
Consumer Noncyclical	Pharmaceuticals	4.40
Consumer Noncyclical	Supermarkets	0.10
Electric	Electric	11.92
Energy	Independent	1.67
Energy	Integrated	1.58
Energy	Midstream	3.54
Energy	Refining	0.06
Finance Companies	Finance Companies	1.54
Financial Other	Financial Other	0.12
Industrial Other	Industrial Other	0.13
Insurance	Health Insurance	0.66
Insurance	Life	1.40
Insurance	P&C	0.44
Natural Gas	Natural Gas	1.45
REITs	REITS - Apartment	0.33
REITs	REITS - Healthcare	0.49
REITs	REITS - Office	0.05
REITs	REITS - Other	0.83
REITs	REITS - Retail	0.15
Technology	Technology	5.62
Transportation	Airlines	0.72
Transportation	Railroads	1.09
Transportation	Transportation Services	2.43
US Treasury Bonds/Bills	Bonds	1.79
Utility - Other	Utility - Other	0.74

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more issuers disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 2% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.15%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 30.35% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 14.31% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 23.75% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of issuers that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in issuers with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- Values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation to issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

- The requirement for all issuers in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Global Focus Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300G40HDN6XFG8M37

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 75.77% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 88.50% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 75.77% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 88.50% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 75.77% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 75.77% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
MICROSOFT CORP	Technology - Software	8.01	United States
AMAZON.COM INC	Media	5.89	United States
UNITEDHEALTH GROUP INC	Health Services & Systems	3.37	United States
TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR-SP ADR	Technology - Semi & Hardware	3.11	Taiwan
NVIDIA CORP	Technology - Semi & Hardware	2.99	United States
COCA-COLA CO/THE	Consumer Staples	2.85	United States
VINCI SA	Industrial Cyclical	2.80	France
MASTERCARD INC - A	Financial Services	2.74	United States
META PLATFORMS INC-CLASS A	Media	2.72	United States
CME GROUP INC	Financial Services	2.66	United States
DEERE & CO	Industrial Cyclical	2.54	United States
UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC	Media	2.46	United States
ABBVIE INC	Pharm/MedTech	2.39	United States
S&P GLOBAL INC	Financial Services	2.37	United States
BANK OF AMERICA CORP	Banks	2.04	United States

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 88.50% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 75.77% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the

fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Automobiles & Auto Part	Auto OEM	1.00
Banks	Banks - Asia/Pacific Ex Japan	1.76
Banks	Banks - Europe	0.49
Banks	Banks - North America	4.64
Basic Industries	Bulk Commodities - Iron Ore	1.03
Basic Industries	Chemicals - Commodity	0.88
Basic Industries	Chemicals - Specialty	1.16
Consumer Cyclical & Services	Hotels	1.57
Consumer Staples	Beverages	2.85
Energy	E&P	2.31
Energy	Integrated Oil	1.64
Energy	Services	0.65
Financial Services	Exchanges	2.66
Financial Services	Financial Tech	2.37
Financial Services	Payments	2.74
Health Services & Systems	HMOs	3.37
Industrial Cyclical	Aerospace	1.46
Industrial Cyclical	Contractors	2.80
Industrial Cyclical	Machinery	2.54

Insurance	Life Insurance	0.78
Insurance	Multi-line Insurance	1.33
Insurance	P&C Insurance	1.86
Media	Ecommerce	5.89
Media	Internet services - advertising	2.72
Media	Internet services - delivery	2.46
Pharm/MedTech	Biotech	1.18
Pharm/MedTech	Pharma	8.25
Property	Industrial REITs	1.97
Retail	Apparel	1.44
Retail	Discounters	1.45
Retail	Luxury Goods	1.88
Retail	Restaurants	1.83
Technology - Semi & Hardware	Broad-based Semis	3.75
Technology - Semi & Hardware	Large Digital Chips	7.01
Technology - Semi & Hardware	Memory	1.37
Technology - Semi & Hardware	Semi Cap Equipment	2.25
Technology - Software	Software	9.13
Transportation	Package Delivery	1.20
Utilities	Integrated Utilities	1.35
Utilities	Regulated Utilities	1.07
Utilities	Unregulated Utilities	1.94

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 6% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments - including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.10%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 59.07% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 16.70% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 11.50% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Global Government Bond Fund

Legal entity identifier: N7LLGHV7O4SUHQTHVG12

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 62.55% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 99.57% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 62.55% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded issuers that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out issuers that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant issuers for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any issuers during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such issuers were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on an issuer's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, an issuer must score in the top 80% relative to the Sub-Fund's benchmark on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 99.57% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 62.55% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure an issuer's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following or be linked to an environmental or social objective through the use of proceeds of the issue:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy; Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors and (iii) providing a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either: (i) products and services sustainability indicators, which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contribute to the relevant sustainable objective, such as an issuer producing solar panels or clean energy technology that meets the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; (ii) the use of proceeds of the issue, if such use was designated as linked to a specific environmental or social objective, or (iii) was an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to the Sub-Fund's benchmark based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to the Benchmark on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy. The test for supranational and sovereign issuers may consider the issuer's mission or contributions, as peer group leaders or improvers, to positive environmental and social objectives subject to certain criteria.

The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 62.55% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those issuers which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing issuers, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those issuers demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those issuers that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively).

Indicators 10 – 14 relate to an issuer's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions,

chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager also took into account PAI 16 in relation to Investee countries subject to social violations.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude issuers that may cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain issuers, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee issuers themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by issuers or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10, 14 and 16 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, controversial weapons and investee countries subject to social violations. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee issuers in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager currently uses greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions. In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, the Investment Manager used a third-party representative proxy rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain issuers as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. A subset of the indicators was used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee issuers in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emission of air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways requires an issuer to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This requires scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to the Benchmark.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

— *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:*

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an

exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10, 14 and 16 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact, controversial weapons and country level social violations from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee issuers based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
US DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY	US Treasury	36.72	United States
GOVERNMENT OF JAPAN	Developed Market Government	16.48	Japan

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 99.57% of its assets to issuers that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 62.55% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Developed Market Government	Developed Market Bond	44.53
EM Local	EM Local Bond	1.96
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Foreign Agencies	9.59
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Sovereign	2.69
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Supranational	3.70
US Treasury	US Treasury Bonds/Bills	36.72

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more issuers disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 0% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.00%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 4.66% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 57.89% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 0.43% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of issuers that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in issuers with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- Values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation to issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

- The requirement for all issuers in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Global Government Short Duration Bond Fund

Legal entity identifier: ODDMYSTQ8OKR7XSSEZ68

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 63.30% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 99.52% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 63.30% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded issuers that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out issuers that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant issuers for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any issuers during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such issuers were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on an issuer's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, an issuer must score in the top 80% relative to the Sub-Fund's benchmark on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 99.52% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 63.30% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure an issuer's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following or be linked to an environmental or social objective through the use of proceeds of the issue:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy; Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors and (iii) providing a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either: (i) products and services sustainability indicators, which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contribute to the relevant sustainable objective, such as an issuer producing solar panels or clean energy technology that meets the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; (ii) the use of proceeds of the issue, if such use was designated as linked to a specific environmental or social objective, or (iii) was an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to the Sub-Fund's benchmark based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to the Benchmark on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy. The test for supranational and sovereign issuers may consider the issuer's mission or contributions, as peer group leaders or improvers, to positive environmental and social objectives subject to certain criteria.

The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 63.30% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those issuers which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing issuers, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those issuers demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those issuers that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively).

Indicators 10 – 14 relate to an issuer's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions,

chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager also took into account PAI 16 in relation to Investee countries subject to social violations.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude issuers that may cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain issuers, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee issuers themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by issuers or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10, 14 and 16 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, controversial weapons and investee countries subject to social violations. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee issuers in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager currently uses greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions. In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, the Investment Manager used a third-party representative proxy rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain issuers as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. A subset of the indicators was used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee issuers in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emission of air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways requires an issuer to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This requires scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to the Benchmark.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

— *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:*

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an

exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10, 14 and 16 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact, controversial weapons and country level social violations from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee issuers based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
US DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY	US Treasury	35.20	United States
GOVERNMENT OF JAPAN	Developed Market Government	13.93	Japan
REPUBLIC OF ITALY	Developed Market Government	12.00	Italy

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 99.52% of its assets to issuers that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 63.30% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Developed Market Government	Developed Market Bond	28.61
EM Local	EM Local Bond	0.59
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Foreign Agencies	18.96
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Sovereign	8.02
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Supranational	5.81
US Treasury	US Treasury Bonds/Bills	35.20

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more issuers disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 0% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.00%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 2.75% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 60.55% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 0.48% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of issuers that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in issuers with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- Values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation to issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

- The requirement for all issuers in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Global Growth Fund

Legal entity identifier: 5493003Q6KH0HKO9FY48

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 78.50% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 87.66% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 78.50% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 87.66% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 78.50% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 78.50% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
MICROSOFT CORP	Information Technology	9.27	United States
AMAZON.COM INC	Consumer Discretionary	5.67	United States
META PLATFORMS INC-CLASS A	Communication Services	4.44	United States
APPLE INC	Information Technology	4.25	United States
NVIDIA CORP	Information Technology	4.09	United States
MASTERCARD INC - A	Financials	3.43	United States
ALPHABET INC-CL A	Communication Services	2.99	United States
UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC	Industrials	2.89	United States
CADENCE DESIGN SYS INC	Information Technology	2.68	United States
TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFAC	Information Technology	2.53	Taiwan
NOVO NORDISK A/S-B	Health Care	2.51	Denmark
LVMH MOET HENNESSY LOUIS VUI	Consumer Discretionary	2.49	France
RELX PLC	Industrials	2.33	United Kingdom
NESTLE SA-REG	Consumer Staples	2.23	Switzerland

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 87.66% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 78.50% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Communication Services	Media & Entertainment	7.43
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Discretionary Dist & Retail	6.20
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Durables And Apparel	4.62
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Services	1.50
Consumer Staples	Food Beverage And Tobacco	3.97
Consumer Staples	Household And Personal Products	1.41
Energy	Energy	1.59
Financials	Banks	2.57
Financials	Financial Services	4.73
Financials	Insurance	0.93
Health Care	Health Care Equipment And Services	5.97
Health Care	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	5.28
Industrials	Capital Goods	5.72
Industrials	Commercial & Professional Services	2.33
Industrials	Transportation	3.43
Information Technology	Semiconductors And	11.77

	Semiconductor Equip	
Information Technology	Software And Services	16.63
Information Technology	Technology Hardware And Equipment	10.79
Materials	Materials	2.31

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 2% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 2.36%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 62.90% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 15.60% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 12.34% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.

- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Global Healthcare Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300XO381CZ2MLJO82

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 66.08% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 85.17% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 66.08% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 85.17% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 66.08% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 66.08% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
UNITEDHEALTH GROUP INC	Health Care Equipment And Services	8.58	UNITED STATES
ELI LILLY & CO	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	5.12	UNITED STATES
ASTRAZENECA PLC	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	4.90	UNITED KINGDOM
JOHNSON & JOHNSON	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	4.54	UNITED STATES
NOVO NORDISK A/S-B	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	4.11	DENMARK
THERMO FISHER SCIENTIFIC INC	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	3.93	UNITED STATES
REGENERON PHARMACEUTICALS	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	3.72	UNITED STATES
INTUITIVE SURGICAL INC	Health Care Equipment And Services	3.28	UNITED STATES
MERCK & CO. INC.	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	3.01	UNITED STATES
AMGEN INC	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	2.97	UNITED STATES
ROCHE HOLDING AG-GENUSSCHEIN	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	2.52	SWITZERLAND
CENTENE CORP	Health Care Equipment And Services	2.35	UNITED STATES
ABBVIE INC	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	2.33	UNITED STATES

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 85.17% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 66.08% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Health Care Equipment And Services	Health Care Equipment & Supplies	13.91
Health Care Equipment And Services	Health Care Providers &	17.00

	Services	
Health Care Equipment And Services	Health Care Technology	1.24
Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	Biotechnology	24.23
Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	Life Sciences Tools & Services	9.44
Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	Pharmaceuticals	32.45

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 0% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.00%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 45.15% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 20.93% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 14.83% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.

- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Global Real Estate Securities Fund (USD)

Legal entity identifier: 549300354 4OPIWYCW253

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ X No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	X It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 64.69% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	X with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	X with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 82.97% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 64.69% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 82.97% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 64.69% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 64.69% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
PROLOGIS INC	Equity Real Estate Invest Trusts (REITS)	7.95	UNITED STATES
EQUINIX INC	Equity Real Estate Invest Trusts (REITS)	5.99	UNITED STATES
PUBLIC STORAGE	Equity Real Estate Invest Trusts (REITS)	4.20	UNITED STATES
WELLTOWER INC	Equity Real Estate Invest Trusts (REITS)	4.14	UNITED STATES
INVITATION HOMES INC	Equity Real Estate Invest Trusts (REITS)	3.89	UNITED STATES
SUN COMMUNITIES INC	Equity Real Estate Invest Trusts (REITS)	3.74	UNITED STATES
UDR INC	Equity Real Estate Invest Trusts (REITS)	3.55	UNITED STATES
DIGITAL REALTY TRUST INC	Equity Real Estate Invest Trusts (REITS)	3.36	UNITED STATES
VICI PROPERTIES INC	Equity Real Estate Invest Trusts (REITS)	2.64	UNITED STATES
REXFORD INDUSTRIAL REALTY IN	Equity Real Estate Invest Trusts (REITS)	2.52	UNITED STATES
FEDERAL REALTY INVS TRUST	Equity Real Estate Invest Trusts (REITS)	2.47	UNITED STATES
KIMCO REALTY CORP	Equity Real Estate Invest Trusts (REITS)	2.42	UNITED STATES
EQUITY LIFESTYLE PROPERTIES	Equity Real Estate Invest Trusts (REITS)	2.40	UNITED STATES
MITSUI FUDOSAN CO LTD	Real Estate Management & Development	2.36	JAPAN

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 82.97% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 64.69% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Consumer Durables And Apparel	Household Durables	0.99
Equity Real Estate Invest Trusts (REITS)	Diversified REITs	5.04
Equity Real Estate Invest Trusts (REITS)	Health Care REITs	6.39

Equity Real Estate Invest Trusts (REITS)	Hotel & Resort REITs	2.16
Equity Real Estate Invest Trusts (REITS)	Industrial REITs	18.64
Equity Real Estate Invest Trusts (REITS)	Office REITs	6.48
Equity Real Estate Invest Trusts (REITS)	Residential REITs	17.08
Equity Real Estate Invest Trusts (REITS)	Retail REITs	9.03
Equity Real Estate Invest Trusts (REITS)	Specialized REITs	20.21
Real Estate Management & Development	Real Estate Management & Development	10.47
Telecommunication Services	Diversified Telecom Services	1.83

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.





This graph represents 48% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.00%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 46.45% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 18.23% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 17.03% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Global Short Duration Bond Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300MXVQXVPNYE3W04

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 54.88% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 88.35% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 54.88% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded issuers that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out issuers that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant issuers for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any issuers during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such issuers were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on an issuer's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, an issuer must score in the top 80% relative to the Sub-Fund's custom universe on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 88.35% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 54.88% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure an issuer's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following or be linked to an environmental or social objective through the use of proceeds of the issue:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy;

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors and (iii) providing a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either: (i) products and services sustainability indicators, which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contribute to the relevant sustainable objective, such as an issuer producing solar panels or clean energy technology that meets the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; (ii) the use of proceeds of the issue, if such use was designated as linked to a specific environmental or social objective, or (iii) was an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to the Sub-Fund's custom universe based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to the custom universe on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy. The test for supranational and sovereign issuers may consider the issuer's mission or contributions, as peer group leaders or improvers, to positive environmental and social objectives subject to certain criteria.

The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 54.88% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those issuers which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing issuers, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those issuers demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those issuers that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively).

Indicators 10 – 14 relate to an issuer's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions,

chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude issuers that may cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain issuers, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee issuers themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by issuers or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, controversial weapons and investee countries subject to social violations. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee issuers in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager currently uses greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions. In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, the Investment Manager used a third-party representative proxy rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain issuers as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. A subset of the indicators was used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee issuers in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emission of air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways requires an issuer to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This requires scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to the benchmark.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

— *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:*

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee issuers based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
REPUBLIC OF ITALY	Developed Market Bond	11.97	Italy
GOVERNMENT OF JAPAN	Developed Market Bond	8.78	Japan
BUNDESSCHATZANWEISUNGEN	Developed Market Bond	8.26	Germany
US DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY	US Treasury Bonds/Bills	6.21	United States
EUROPEAN UNION	Supranational	4.03	International
CHINA DEVELOPMENT BANK	EM Local Bond	3.37	China
FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY	Developed Market Bond	3.17	Germany
FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION	Agency CMO	2.93	United States
FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE CORPORATION	Agency CMO	2.53	United States

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 88.35% of its assets to issuers that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 54.88% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Agency RMBS	Agency CMO	0.69
Agency RMBS	Agency Pass Through	4.77
CMBS	Single Family Rental	0.15
Developed Market Government	Developed Market Bond	33.87
EM Local	EM Local Bond	5.44
Finance	Banking	25.42
Finance	Finance Companies	1.48
Finance	Insurance	0.25
Industrial	Communications	0.54
Industrial	Consumer Cyclical	0.52
Industrial	Consumer Noncyclical	0.52
Industrial	Energy	0.15
Industrial	Technology	0.99
Industrial	Transportation	0.65
Non-Agency CMO	Home Equity	0.24
Non-Agency CMO	Prime	0.17
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Foreign Agencies	1.63
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Foreign Local Govt	3.92
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Sovereign	1.96
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Supranational	5.23
US Agency	US Agency Debt	0.70
US Treasury	US Treasury Bonds/Bills	6.21

Utilities	Electric	1.48

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more issuers disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 0% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.00%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 13.82% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 41.07% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 11.65% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of issuers that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in issuers with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.

- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation to issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all issuers in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Global Strategic Bond Fund

Legal entity identifier: 0PRXP6HO2GP26R2IK085

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 25.20% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 83.92% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 25.20% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded issuers that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out issuers that did not score in the top 80% relative to the Sub-Fund's custom universe based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant issuers for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any issuers during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such issuers were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on an issuer's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, an issuer must score in the top 80% relative to its custom universe on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 83.92% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 25.20% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure an issuer's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following or be linked to an environmental or social objective through the use of proceeds of the issue:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy; Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors and (iii) providing a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either: (i) products and services sustainability indicators, which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contribute to the relevant sustainable objective, such as an issuer producing solar panels or clean energy technology that meets the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; (ii) the use of proceeds of the issue, if such use was designated as linked to a specific environmental or social objective, or (iii) was an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to the custom universe based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to the custom universe on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy. The test for supranational and sovereign issuers may consider the issuer's mission or contributions, as peer group leaders or improvers, to positive environmental and social objectives subject to certain criteria.

The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 25.20% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those issuers which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing issuers, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those issuers demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those issuers that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively).

Indicators 10 – 14 relate to an issuer's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions,

chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude issuers that may cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain issuers, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee issuers themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by issuers or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, controversial weapons and investee countries subject to social violations. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee issuers in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager currently uses greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions. In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, the Investment Manager used a third-party representative proxy rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain issuers as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. A subset of the indicators was used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee issuers in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emission of air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways requires an issuer to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This requires scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to the custom universe.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

— *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:*

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee issuers based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION	Agency CMO	8.46	United States
GOVERNMENT NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION	Agency CMO	6.37	United States
FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE CORPORATION	Agency - CMBS Conduit	4.44	United States
GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC.	Banking	1.37	United States
HSBC HOLDINGS PLC.	Banking	1.37	United Kingdom
CITIGROUP INC.	Banking	1.35	United States
BRAZIL NOTAS DO TESOURO NACIONAL SERIE F	EM Local Bond	1.14	Brazil
MORGAN STANLEY	Banking	1.05	United States
UNITED MEXICAN STATES	EM Local Bond	1.05	Mexico
HCA INC.	Consumer Noncyclical	1.00	United States
SANTANDER UK GROUP HOLDINGS PLC	Banking	0.88	United Kingdom
BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION	Banking	0.74	United States
REPUBLIC OF POLAND GOVERNMENT BOND	EM Local Bond	0.73	Poland
ONEMAIN FINANCIAL ISSUANCE TRUST 2018-2A	ABS - Consumer Loan	0.68	United States
MEXICAN BONOS	EM Local Bond	0.66	Mexico

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 83.92% of its assets to issuers that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 25.20% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
ABS - Auto	Auto Loan - Not Prime	0.09
ABS - Auto	Auto Loan - Prime	0.21
ABS - Consumer Loan	Consumer Loan	0.68

ABS - Other	ABS - Other	0.56
ABS - Student Loans	Private Student Loans	0.02
ABS - Whole Business	Whole Business	1.78
ABS CLO	CLO/CDO	4.02
Agency - CMBS Conduit	Agency CMBS IO Credit	0.54
Agency - CMBS Conduit	Agency Subordinated CMBS	1.34
Agency CMO	Agency CMO Fixed	1.22
Agency CMO	Agency CMO IO/PO/INV	2.05
Agency Multifamily CMO	Agency Multifamily CMO Fixed	1.00
Agency Multifamily CMO	Agency Multifamily CMO IO/PO/INV	5.00
Agency Multifamily Pass Through	Agency Multifamily Fixed	0.30
Agency Pass Through	10Y	0.26
Agency Pass Through	30Y	8.87
Agency Pass Through	Other Pass Through	0.48
Alt -A	ALT-A	0.33
Banking	Banking	15.09
Basic Industry	Chemicals	0.78
Basic Industry	Metals & Mining	0.82
Basic Industry	Paper	0.15
Brokerage	Brokerage Assetmanagers Exchanges	0.02
Capital Goods	Aerospace/Defense	0.12
Capital Goods	Building Materials	0.46
Capital Goods	Construction Machinery	0.14
Capital Goods	Diversified Manufacturing	1.00
Capital Goods	Environmental	0.15
Capital Goods	Packaging	0.63
CMBS Conduit	CMBS Conduit	5.00
CMBS Other	Small Balance Commercial Mortgage	0.05
Communications	Cable Satellite	1.72
Communications	Media Entertainment	1.56
Communications	Wireless	1.57
Communications	Wirelines	1.37
Consumer Cyclical	Automotive	2.45
Consumer Cyclical	Consumer Cyc Services	1.05
Consumer Cyclical	Gaming	0.35
Consumer Cyclical	Home Construction	0.15
Consumer Cyclical	Leisure	0.71
Consumer Cyclical	Lodging	0.03
Consumer Cyclical	Restaurants	0.07
Consumer Cyclical	Retailers	0.77
Consumer Noncyclical	Consumer Products	0.95
Consumer Noncyclical	Food/Beverage	0.80
Consumer Noncyclical	Healthcare	2.69
Consumer Noncyclical	Pharmaceuticals	1.64
Consumer Noncyclical	Supermarkets	0.14
CRT	CRT	0.38
Electric	Electric	5.55
EM Local Bond	EM Local Govt Bond	5.30
Energy	Independent	0.86
Energy	Integrated	1.56
Energy	Midstream	1.07
Energy	Oil Field Services	0.12
Finance Companies	Finance Companies	1.47
Financial Other	Financial Other	0.21
Home Equity	Home Equity	1.00
Industrial Other	Industrial Other	0.49
Insurance	Health Insurance	0.15
Insurance	Life	0.63
Insurance	P&C	0.04

Natural Gas	Natural Gas	0.06
Non-Agency CMO Other	Non-Agency CMO Other	0.64
Option ARM	OPTION ARM	0.15
PACE	PACE	0.03
Prime	PRIME	0.17
REITs	REITS - Healthcare	0.20
REITs	REITS - Office	0.04
REITs	REITS - Other	0.27
REITs	REITS - Retail	0.13
RPL	RPL	0.01
Single Asset Single Borrower	SASB - Multiple Properties	0.07
Single Asset Single Borrower	SASB - Single Property	1.21
Sovereign	Sovereign	3.16
Supranational	Supranational	0.66
Technology	Technology	4.90
Transportation	Airlines	0.65
Transportation	Railroads	0.08
Transportation	Transportation Services	0.78
Utility - Other	Utility - Other	0.12

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more issuers disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.

- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.





This graph represents 2% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.12%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 16.11% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 9.08% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 16.08% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of issuers that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in issuers with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- Values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation to issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all issuers in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Global Sustainable Equity Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300H4IXRBJR8X6H26

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 88.38% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 67% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 40% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 96.00% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 88.38% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues. Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 67% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 96.00% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 88.38% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 40% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 88.38% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
MICROSOFT CORP	Technology - Software	6.52	UNITED STATES
AMAZON.COM INC	Media	3.72	UNITED STATES
UNITEDHEALTH GROUP INC	Health Services & Systems	3.50	UNITED STATES
MASTERCARD INC - A	Financial Services	3.45	UNITED STATES
PROGRESSIVE CORP	Insurance	2.83	UNITED STATES
NEXTERA ENERGY INC	Utilities	2.64	UNITED STATES
TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFAC	Technology - Semi & Hardware	2.61	TAIWAN
CME GROUP INC	Financial Services	2.48	UNITED STATES
WALMART DE MEXICO SAB DE CV	Retail	2.31	MEXICO
NVIDIA CORP	Technology - Semi & Hardware	2.30	UNITED STATES
ASML HOLDING NV	Technology - Semi & Hardware	2.24	NETHERLANDS
LVMH MOET HENNESSY LOUIS VUI	Retail	2.18	FRANCE
VERTEX PHARMACEUTICALS INC	Pharm/MedTech	2.16	UNITED STATES
THERMO FISHER SCIENTIFIC INC	Pharm/MedTech	2.05	UNITED STATES
HOUSING DEVELOPMENT FINANCE	Banks	2.03	INDIA

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 96.00% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 88.38% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Automobiles & Auto Part	Auto OEM	1.73
Automobiles & Auto Part	Auto Parts & Suppliers	1.48
Banks	Banks - Asia/Pacific Ex Japan	2.03
Banks	Banks - Europe	2.39
Banks	Banks - Latin America	1.32
Banks	Banks - North America	1.02
Banks	Unsecured Consumer & Micro	1.38
Basic Industries	Base Metals - Copper	0.56
Basic Industries	Building Products - Industrial	1.20
Basic Industries	Chemicals - Industrial Gases	1.50
Basic Industries	Chemicals - Specialty	1.45
Basic Industries	Containerboard & Paper	1.10
Consumer Staples	Household & Personal Care	1.73
Financial Services	Data & Transaction Processors	1.96
Financial Services	Exchanges	4.09
Financial Services	Payments	3.45
Health Services & Systems	HMOs	3.50

Industrial Cyclical	Building Systems	2.77
Industrial Cyclical	Machinery	1.55
Insurance	Life Insurance	1.18
Insurance	P&C Insurance	4.40
Insurance	Reinsurance	0.87
Media	Ecommerce	3.72
Media	Internet services - video	1.66
Pharm/MedTech	Biotech	3.58
Pharm/MedTech	Life Science Tools & Diag	2.05
Pharm/MedTech	Medical Implants	1.42
Pharm/MedTech	Pharma	4.95
Property	Developers	1.19
Property	Industrial REITs	1.89
Retail	Apparel	2.85
Retail	Athletic	1.27
Retail	Discounters	3.80
Retail	Luxury Goods	2.18
Retail	Restaurants	1.04
Technology - Semi & Hardware	Broad-based Semis	3.34
Technology - Semi & Hardware	Large Digital Chips	5.58
Technology - Semi & Hardware	Semi Cap Equipment	3.02
Technology - Software	Software	6.52
Telecommunications	Cable	1.51
Utilities	Integrated Utilities	4.63

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 8% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments - including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 1.93%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 72.48% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 15.90% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 4.00% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 67% of assets in companies with positive or improving E/S characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub-Fund also committed to investing at least 40% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?***

  Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?***

  Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Global Value Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300YPJO4RPG0B4V87

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 46.93% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 70.00% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 46.93% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 70.00% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 46.93% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 46.93% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
JOHNSON & JOHNSON	HEALTH CARE	2.00	United States
UNITEDHEALTH GROUP INC	HEALTH CARE	1.84	United States
BERKSHIRE HATHAWAY INC-CL B	FINANCIALS	1.67	United States
BROADCOM INC	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	1.56	United States
MERCK & CO. INC.	HEALTH CARE	1.43	United States
EXXON MOBIL CORP	ENERGY	1.39	United States
CHEVRON CORP	ENERGY	1.29	United States
ABBVIE INC	HEALTH CARE	1.13	United States
CISCO SYSTEMS INC	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	1.07	United States
COMCAST CORP-CLASS A	COMMUNICATION SERVICES	1.03	United States
BANK OF AMERICA CORP	FINANCIALS	1.01	United States
NOVARTIS AG-REG	HEALTH CARE	0.98	Switzerland
PFIZER INC	HEALTH CARE	0.96	United States
SHELL PLC	ENERGY	0.94	United Kingdom
WELLS FARGO & CO	FINANCIALS	0.84	United States

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 70.00% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 46.93% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	MEDIA & ENTERTAINMENT	3.13
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES	3.91
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	AUTOMOBILES & COMPONENTS	3.54
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	CONSUMER DURABLES & APPAREL	3.12
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	CONSUMER SERVICES	1.24
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	RETAILING	4.28
CONSUMER STAPLES	FOOD & STAPLES RETAILING	0.77
CONSUMER STAPLES	FOOD BEVERAGE & TOBACCO	2.77
ENERGY	ENERGY	11.05
FINANCIALS	BANKS	11.29
FINANCIALS	DIVERSIFIED FINANCIALS	8.05
FINANCIALS	INSURANCE	5.37
HEALTH CARE	HEALTH CARE EQUIPMENT & SERVICES	5.30
HEALTH CARE	PHARMACEUTICALS BIOTECHNOLOGY & LIFE SCIENCES	10.45
INDUSTRIALS	CAPITAL GOODS	6.35
INDUSTRIALS	COMMERCIAL &	0.56

	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	
INDUSTRIALS	TRANSPORTATION	1.33
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	SEMICONDUCTORS & SEMICONDUCTOR EQUIPMENT	2.45
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	SOFTWARE & SERVICES	0.56
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	TECHNOLOGY HARDWARE & EQUIPMENT	1.92
MATERIALS	MATERIALS	4.99
REAL ESTATE	REAL ESTATE	1.35
UTILITIES	UTILITIES	1.70

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 2% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.31%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 29.62% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 17.31% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 30.00% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Greater China Fund

Legal entity identifier: N7JU0O8IYXVVDVQIBX41

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 66.56% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 98.74% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 66.56% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 98.74% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 66.56% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 66.56% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFAC	Information Technology	9.95	Taiwan
TENCENT HOLDINGS LTD	Communication Services	9.29	Cayman Islands
AIA GROUP LTD	Financials	4.56	Hong Kong
MEITUAN	Consumer Discretionary	3.63	Cayman Islands
NETEASE INC	Communication Services	2.80	Cayman Islands
TRIP.COM GROUP LTD	Consumer Discretionary	2.30	Cayman Islands
HONG KONG EXCHANGES & CLEAR	Financials	2.19	Hong Kong
JD.COM INC - CL A	Consumer Discretionary	2.18	Cayman Islands
BAIDU INC-CLASS A	Communication Services	2.09	Cayman Islands
GLOBAL UNICHIP CORP	Information Technology	1.99	Taiwan
CHINA RESOURCES MIXC LIFESTY	Real Estate	1.72	Cayman Islands
DELTA ELECTRONICS INC	Information Technology	1.71	Taiwan
BEIJING KINGSOFT OFFICE SO-A	Information Technology	1.69	China
JD HEALTH INTERNATIONAL INC	Consumer Staples	1.63	Cayman Islands
REALTEK SEMICONDUCTOR CORP	Information Technology	1.57	Taiwan

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 98.74% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 66.56% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Communication Services	Media & Entertainment	16.24
Consumer Discretionary	Automobiles And Components	2.11
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Discretionary Dist & Retail	3.73
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Durables And Apparel	3.67
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Services	7.47
Consumer Staples	Consumer Staples Distribution & Retail	1.63
Consumer Staples	Food Beverage And Tobacco	5.70
Financials	Banks	3.93
Financials	Financial Services	3.40
Financials	Insurance	6.12
Health Care	Health Care Equipment And Services	1.76
Health Care	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	1.32
Industrials	Capital Goods	3.88

Information Technology	Semiconductors And Semiconductor Equip	22.83
Information Technology	Software And Services	3.98
Information Technology	Technology Hardware And Equipment	3.87
Materials	Materials	1.56
Real Estate	Real Estate Management & Development	4.22
Utilities	Utilities	2.23

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 6% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 1.89%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 42.12% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 24.44% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 1.26% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Income Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300CCWIOBKSXO3I51

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 13.73% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 5% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 86.02% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 13.73% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded issuers that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out issuers that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant issuers for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any issuers during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such issuers were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on an issuer's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, an issuer must score in the top 80% relative to its custom universe on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 86.02% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 13.73% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure an issuer's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following or be linked to an environmental or social objective through the use of proceeds of the issue:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy; Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors and (iii) providing a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either: (i) products and services sustainability indicators, which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contribute to the relevant sustainable objective, such as an issuer producing solar panels or clean energy technology that meets the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; (ii) the use of proceeds of the issue, if such use was designated as linked to a specific environmental or social objective, or (iii) was an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to the custom universe based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to the custom universe on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy. The test for supranational and sovereign issuers may consider the issuer's mission or contributions, as peer group leaders or improvers, to positive environmental and social objectives subject to certain criteria.

The Sub-Fund was required to invest 5% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 13.73% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those issuers which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing issuers, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those issuers demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those issuers that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively).

Indicators 10 – 14 relate to an issuer's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions,

chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude issuers that may cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain issuers, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee issuers themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by issuers or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, controversial weapons and investee countries subject to social violations. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee issuers in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager currently uses greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions. In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, the Investment Manager used a third-party representative proxy rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain issuers as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. A subset of the indicators was used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee issuers in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emission of air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways requires an issuer to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This requires scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to the custom universe.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

— *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:*

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee issuers based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
GOVERNMENT NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION	Agency CMO	24.91	United States
FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE CORPORATION DBA FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE CORPORATIO	Agency - CMBS Conduit	5.28	United States
CCO HOLDINGS LLC / CCO HOLDINGS CAPITAL CORP	Communications	1.43	United States
FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY LLC	Consumer Cyclical	1.27	United States
TENET HEALTHCARE CORPORATION	Consumer Noncyclical	0.86	United States
DISH DBS CORPORATION	Communications	0.85	United States
CONNECTICUT AVENUE SECURITIES 2017-C01	CRT	0.82	United States
FANNIE MAE CONNECTICUT AVENUE SECURITIES SERIES 2017-C02	CRT	0.78	United States
BAUSCH HEALTH COMPANIES INC.	Consumer Noncyclical	0.56	Canada
FREDDIE MAC STRUCTURED AGENCY CREDIT RISK DEBT NOTES 2018-HQA1	CRT	0.53	United States
SPRINT LLC	Communications	0.50	United States
SIRIUS XM RADIO INC	Communications	0.47	United States
PETROLEOS MEXICANOS	Energy	0.39	Mexico
NIGERIA GOVERNMENT INTERNATIONAL BOND	Sovereign	0.39	Nigeria
FANNIE MAE CONNECTICUT AVENUE SECURITIES SERIES 2017-C06	CRT	0.38	United States

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 86.02% of its assets to issuers that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 13.73% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
ABS - Auto	Auto Loan - Prime	0.15
ABS - Consumer Loan	Consumer Loan	1.24
ABS - Floorplan	Floorplan	0.16

ABS - Other	ABS - Other	0.39
ABS - Whole Business	Whole Business	1.81
ABS CLO	CLO/CDO	1.22
Agency - CMBS Conduit	Agency CMBS IO Credit	0.57
Agency - CMBS Conduit	Agency Subordinated CMBS	2.90
Agency CMO	Agency CMO Fixed	1.90
Agency CMO	Agency CMO IO/PO/INV	1.60
Agency Multifamily CMO	Agency Multifamily CMO Fixed	0.27
Agency Multifamily CMO	Agency Multifamily CMO IO/PO/INV	10.35
Agency Multifamily Pass Through	Agency Multifamily Fixed	0.35
Agency Pass Through	10Y	0.68
Agency Pass Through	20Y	0.04
Agency Pass Through	30Y	13.78
Agency Pass Through	Other Pass Through	0.09
Agency PT Other	Agency PT Other	0.08
Alt -A	ALT-A	0.15
Banking	Banking	2.01
Basic Industry	Chemicals	1.13
Basic Industry	Metals & Mining	0.76
Basic Industry	Paper	0.08
Brokerage	Brokerage Assetmanagers Exchanges	0.02
Capital Goods	Aerospace/Defense	0.19
Capital Goods	Building Materials	0.65
Capital Goods	Construction Machinery	0.45
Capital Goods	Diversified Manufacturing	0.86
Capital Goods	Environmental	0.25
Capital Goods	Packaging	1.08
CMBS Conduit	CMBS Conduit	8.11
CMBS Other	Small Balance Commercial Mortgage	0.03
Communications	Cable Satellite	4.04
Communications	Media Entertainment	1.76
Communications	Wireless	1.24
Communications	Wirelines	0.86
Consumer Cyclical	Automotive	2.39
Consumer Cyclical	Consumer Cyc Services	0.98
Consumer Cyclical	Gaming	1.09
Consumer Cyclical	Leisure	1.25
Consumer Cyclical	Lodging	0.23
Consumer Cyclical	Restaurants	0.25
Consumer Cyclical	Retailers	1.19
Consumer Noncyclical	Consumer Products	1.73
Consumer Noncyclical	Food/Beverage	0.90
Consumer Noncyclical	Healthcare	2.76
Consumer Noncyclical	Pharmaceuticals	1.67
Consumer Noncyclical	Supermarkets	0.35
CRT	CRT	5.57
Electric	Electric	1.38
Energy	Independent	1.61
Energy	Integrated	0.77
Energy	Midstream	2.44
Energy	Oil Field Services	0.29
Finance Companies	Finance Companies	0.51
Financial Other	Financial Other	0.13
Foreign Agencies	Foreign Agencies	0.06
Home Equity	Home Equity	0.79
Industrial Other	Industrial Other	0.24
Insurance	Health Insurance	0.26
Insurance	Life	0.00
Non-Agency CMO Other	Non-Agency CMO Other	0.46

Option ARM	OPTION ARM	0.17
Prime	PRIME	0.04
REITs	REITS - Other	0.21
Revenue	Special Tax	0.01
Single Asset Single Borrower	SASB - Single Property	0.31
Single Family Rental	Single Borrower SFR	0.22
Sovereign	Sovereign	3.52
Technology	Technology	2.44
Transportation	Airlines	0.52
Transportation	Transportation Services	0.66

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more issuers disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.





This graph represents 0% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.07%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 5.97% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 7.76% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 13.98% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of issuers that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in issuers with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- Values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation to issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all issuers in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 5% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?***

  Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?***

  Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Japan Equity Fund

Legal entity identifier: 5493003R970EFSYXK249

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 66.49% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 95.37% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 66.49% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 95.37% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 66.49% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 66.49% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
SONY GROUP CORP	Consumer Discretionary	7.17	Japan
KEYENCE CORP	Information Technology	7.13	Japan
TOKIO MARINE HOLDINGS INC	Financials	5.44	Japan
SHIN-ETSU CHEMICAL CO LTD	Materials	4.65	Japan
ITOCHU CORP	Industrials	4.62	Japan
TOKYO ELECTRON LTD	Information Technology	4.11	Japan
DAIKIN INDUSTRIES LTD	Industrials	4.00	Japan
HOYA CORP	Health Care	3.86	Japan
ASICS CORP	Consumer Discretionary	3.83	Japan
NIPPON TELEGRAPH & TELEPHONE	Communication Services	3.41	Japan
NINTENDO CO LTD	Communication Services	3.38	Japan

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 95.37% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 66.49% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Communication Services	Media & Entertainment	4.77
Communication Services	Telecommunication Services	3.41
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Discretionary Dist & Retail	1.90
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Durables And Apparel	12.58
Consumer Staples	Consumer Staples Distribution & Retail	3.67
Consumer Staples	Household And Personal Products	2.50
Financials	Financial Services	3.60
Financials	Insurance	7.25
Health Care	Health Care Equipment And Services	8.45
Industrials	Capital Goods	17.11
Industrials	Commercial & Professional Services	3.87
Information Technology	Semiconductors And Semiconductor Equip	4.11
Information Technology	Software And Services	6.58
Information Technology	Technology Hardware And Equipment	9.97
Materials	Materials	8.36

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 0% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.27%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 42.29% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 24.20% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 4.63% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the

exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: **JPMorgan Funds - Middle East, Africa and Emerging Europe Opportunities Fund**

Legal entity identifier: **549300EKWBOF3BJNMD27**

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 56.80% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (31 January 2023 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 81.37% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 56.80% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 81.37% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 56.80% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 56.80% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
31/01/2023 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
Naspers Ltd	Consumer Discretionary	4.67	South Africa
THE SAUDI NATIONAL BANK	Financials	4.34	Saudi Arabia
AL RAJHI BANK	Financials	4.12	Saudi Arabia
SAUDI ARABIAN OIL CO	Energy	3.14	Saudi Arabia
FIRSTRAND LTD	Financials	2.92	South Africa
National Bank of Kuwait SAKP	Financials	2.84	Kuwait
Qatar National Bank QPSC	Financials	2.52	Qatar
SAUDI TELECOM CO	Communication Services	2.46	Saudi Arabia
SAUDI BASIC INDUSTRIES CORP	Materials	2.12	Saudi Arabia
STANDARD BANK GROUP LTD	Financials	2.11	South Africa
GOLD FIELDS LTD	Materials	2.05	South Africa
Riyad Bank	Financials	1.95	Saudi Arabia
First Abu Dhabi Bank PJSC	Financials	1.93	United Arab Emirates
EMAAR PROPERTIES PJSC	Real Estate	1.75	United Arab Emirates
ANGLOGOLD ASHANTI LTD	Materials	1.58	South Africa

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 81.37% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 56.80% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Communication Services	Telecommunication Services	6.48
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Discretionary Dist & Retail	6.28
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Services	2.74
Consumer Staples	Consumer Staples Distribution & Retail	5.12
Consumer Staples	Food Beverage And Tobacco	1.57
Energy	Energy	6.64
Financials	Banks	32.63
Financials	Financial Services	4.75
Financials	Insurance	1.24
Health Care	Health Care Equipment And Services	1.10
Health Care	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	1.17
Industrials	Capital Goods	2.29
Industrials	Commercial & Professional Services	0.65
Industrials	Transportation	1.14
Materials	Materials	10.54
Real Estate	Real Estate Management & Development	2.95

Utilities	Utilities	1.00

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 0% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.00%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 43.71% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 13.09% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 18.63% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.

- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Multi-Manager Alternatives Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300EJ62LIO6S2D472

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ X No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	X It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 17.15% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	X with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	X with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Strategy set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of long positions having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of long positions being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Strategy held 80.18% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 17.15% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which are applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG scoring methodology to identify those investments that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investments.

The methodology is based on an issuer's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues. The Investment Manager uses a scoring

methodology that sets a defined scoring threshold or minimum qualifying criteria necessary to qualify in the 51% of long positions with positive environmental and/or social characteristics that follow good governance practices.

Sustainable investments are those determined to contribute to specified social or environmental objectives by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may include the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contribute to the relevant sustainable objective or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporates a good governance threshold or minimum qualifying criteria, based on the security type, necessary to qualify as demonstrating good governance practices.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of long positions allocated to the relevant securities for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusions policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any securities during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusions policy. The Investment Manager continuously monitored the portfolio to ensure conformance with the exclusions policy. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's ESG scoring methodology, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on an issuer's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of long positions considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a security must meet a defined scoring threshold or minimum qualifying criteria and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Strategy held 80.18% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 17.15% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure an issuer's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, the Investment Manager continuously monitored the portfolio to ensure conformance with the exclusions policy. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Strategy had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Strategy partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of long positions allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Strategy was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Strategy hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 17.15% of its long positions were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD

Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may cause significant harm. It used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10, 14 and 16 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons.

The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Strategy prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Strategy considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10, 14 and 16 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact, controversial weapons and country level social violations from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is: 01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	Government	41.56	UNITED STATES
RB GLOBAL INC	Industrials	1.99	CANADA
CH ROBINSON WORLDWIDE INC	Industrials	1.55	UNITED STATES
FLEX LTD	Information Technology	1.47	UNITED STATES
GREEN PLAINS INC	Energy	1.22	UNITED STATES
BERRY GLOBAL GROUP INC	Materials	1.09	UNITED STATES
MUELLER WATER PRODUCTS INC	Industrials	0.93	UNITED STATES
TRAVEL + LEISURE CO	Consumer Discretionary	0.85	UNITED STATES

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Strategy allocated 80.18% of its long positions to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 17.15% of long positions to sustainable investments. The Strategy did not commit to investing any proportion of long positions specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of long positions set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Strategy promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Consumer Discretionary	Automobiles & Components	0.56
Financials	Banks	0.24
Industrials	Capital Goods	3.83
Industrials	Commercial & Professional Services	3.71
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Discretionary Distribution & Retail	0.53
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Durables & Apparel	0.61
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Services	2.74
Consumer Staples	Consumer Staples Distribution & Retail	-0.32
Credit Index	Credit Index	-1.33
Energy	Energy	1.38
Equity Index	Equity Index	-0.02
Equity Mixed	Equity Mixed	0.34
Real Estate	Equity Real Estate Investment Trusts (REITs)	0.43
Financials	Financial Services	4.16
Consumer Staples	Food, Beverage & Tobacco	0.36
FX	FX	0.06
Government	US Treasury	51.49
Health Care	Health Care Equipment & Services	1.40
Consumer Staples	Household & Personal Products	0.66
Financials	Insurance	0.30
Interest Rate Derivatives	Interest Rate Derivatives	0.00

Materials	Materials	3.63
Communication Services	Media & Entertainment	3.74
Mortgage Securities	Mortgage Securities	-0.14
Health Care	Pharmaceuticals, Biotechnology & Life Sciences	3.37
Real Estate	Real Estate Management & Development	-0.11
Information Technology	Semiconductors & Semiconductor Equipment	0.79
Information Technology	Software & Services	9.09
Information Technology	Technology Hardware & Equipment	2.99
Communication Services	Telecommunication Services	0.70
Industrials	Transportation	3.46
Utilities	Utilities	1.33
Volatility	Volatility	0.00

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes available.

In the interim the Strategy has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Strategy's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.

operational activities of investee companies.





This graph represents 1% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Strategy has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Strategy's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.13%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 9.31% of long positions at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 7.84% of long positions at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 19.82% of long positions in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of long positions included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of long positions in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms based screening to implement full exclusions in relation to companies that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Strategy also committed to investing at least 10% of long positions in sustainable investments.

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Pacific Equity Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300N6FJS1O9RJBU80

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 67.17% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 91.67% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 67.17% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 91.67% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 67.17% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 67.17% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFAC	Information Technology	7.17	Taiwan
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO LTD	Information Technology	5.24	South Korea
TENCENT HOLDINGS LTD	Communication Services	4.48	Cayman Islands
SONY GROUP CORP	Consumer Discretionary	3.40	Japan
AIA GROUP LTD	Financials	3.00	Hong Kong
HDFC BANK LIMITED	Financials	2.96	India
CSL LTD	Health Care	2.78	Australia
HONG KONG EXCHANGES & CLEAR	Financials	2.56	Hong Kong
KEYENCE CORP	Information Technology	2.56	Japan
BANK CENTRAL ASIA TBK PT	Financials	2.40	Indonesia
DAIICHI SANKYO CO LTD	Health Care	2.06	Japan
MACQUARIE GROUP LTD	Financials	2.05	Australia
DAIKIN INDUSTRIES LTD	Industrials	2.00	Japan
MURATA MANUFACTURING CO LTD	Information Technology	2.00	Japan
T&D HOLDINGS INC	Financials	1.88	Japan

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 91.67% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 67.17% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the

fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Communication Services	Media & Entertainment	7.83
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Discretionary Dist & Retail	1.42
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Durables And Apparel	7.54
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Services	3.87
Consumer Staples	Consumer Staples Distribution & Retail	1.06
Consumer Staples	Food Beverage And Tobacco	0.94
Consumer Staples	Household And Personal Products	2.19
Financials	Banks	8.04
Financials	Financial Services	5.78
Financials	Insurance	5.61
Health Care	Health Care Equipment And Services	2.33
Health Care	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	7.52
Industrials	Capital Goods	7.12
Industrials	Commercial & Professional	2.91

	Services	
Industrials	Transportation	1.02
Information Technology	Semiconductors And Semiconductor Equip	10.02
Information Technology	Software And Services	2.32
Information Technology	Technology Hardware And Equipment	13.46
Materials	Materials	5.47
Real Estate	Real Estate Management & Development	1.68

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 2% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 1.63%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 49.16% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 18.00% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under “other”, what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 8.33% of assets in “other” investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, “How did the sustainability indicators perform?” to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under “other”. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including “other” investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?***

  Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?***

  Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Sterling Bond Fund

Legal entity identifier: ED1VOOEOTSL6XSH5VB56

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 37.49% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 73.57% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 37.49% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded issuers that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out issuers that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant issuers for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any issuers during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such issuers were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on an issuer's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, an issuer must score in the top 80% relative to the Sub-Fund's custom universe on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 73.57% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 37.49% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure an issuer's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following or be linked to an environmental or social objective through the use of proceeds of the issue:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy;

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors and (iii) providing a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either: (i) products and services sustainability indicators, which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contribute to the relevant sustainable objective, such as an issuer producing solar panels or clean energy technology that meets the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; (ii) the use of proceeds of the issue, if such use was designated as linked to a specific environmental or social objective, or (iii) was an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to the Sub-Fund's custom universe based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to the custom universe on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy. The test for supranational and sovereign issuers may consider the issuer's mission or contributions, as peer group leaders or improvers, to positive environmental and social objectives subject to certain criteria.

The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 37.49% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those issuers which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing issuers, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those issuers demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those issuers that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively).

Indicators 10 – 14 relate to an issuer's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions,

chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude issuers that may cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain issuers, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee issuers themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by issuers or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, controversial weapons and investee countries subject to social violations. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee issuers in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager currently uses greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions. In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, the Investment Manager used a third-party representative proxy rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain issuers as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. A subset of the indicators was used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee issuers in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emission of air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways requires an issuer to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This requires scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to the benchmark.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

— *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:*

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee issuers based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
ELECTRICITE DE FRANCE SA	Utilities	6.03	France
THAMES WATER UTILITIES FINANCE PLC	Utilities	3.89	United Kingdom
EVERSHOLT FUNDING PLC	Industrial	2.79	United Kingdom
HEATHROW FUNDING LIMITED	Industrial	2.66	Jersey
EUROPEAN UNION	Non-US Govt Related Credit	2.60	International
SOUTHERN GAS NETWORKS PLC	Utilities	2.55	United Kingdom
ORANGE SA	Industrial	2.36	France
REPUBLIC OF ITALY	Developed Market Government	2.30	Italy
AT&T INC	Industrial	2.24	United States
CADENT FINANCE PLC	Utilities	2.12	United Kingdom
WELLTOWER OP LLC	Finance	1.93	United States
CENTRICA PLC	Utilities	1.89	United Kingdom
ENEL FINANCE INTERNATIONAL N.V.	Utilities	1.82	Netherlands
SOUTH EASTERN POWER NETWORKS PLC	Utilities	1.79	United Kingdom
GREAT ROLLING STOCK CO PLC/THE	Industrial	1.72	United Kingdom

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 73.57% of its assets to issuers that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 37.49% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
ABS	ABS - Auto	0.15
CMBS	CMBS Other	1.41
Developed Market Government	Developed Market Bond	2.30
Finance	Banking	11.13
Finance	Finance Companies	1.55
Finance	Financial Other	10.94
Finance	Insurance	1.29
Finance	REITs	1.93
Industrial	Basic Industry	0.26
Industrial	Capital Goods	2.92
Industrial	Communications	11.03
Industrial	Consumer Cyclical	1.59
Industrial	Consumer Noncyclical	1.76
Industrial	Technology	0.46
Industrial	Transportation	8.07
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Sovereign	0.79
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Supranational	3.46

Utilities	Electric	18.24
Utilities	Natural Gas	9.58
Utilities	Utility - Other	6.59

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more issuers disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 1% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.24%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 20.52% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 16.98% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 26.43% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of issuers that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in issuers with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.

- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation to issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all issuers in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Thematics - Genetic Therapies

Legal entity identifier: 549300HCKFQUEXP2QU08

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ X No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	X It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 28.70% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	X with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	X with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote its exposure to the theme of genetic therapies by investing in companies that were identified by the Investment Manager as being involved in research, development, production or marketing of genetic therapies and their associated businesses. The theme of genetic therapies, which is the thematic focus of the Sub-Fund, aligns with the pursuit of global principles aiming to improve sustainable and socially responsible finance in relation to the promotion of good health and well-being. The Sub-Fund had a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 81.59% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 28.70% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that

meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote investment in companies that were identified by the Investment Manager as being involved in research, development, production or marketing of genetic therapies and their associated businesses. To identify these companies, the Investment Manager used the ThemeBot which is a proprietary natural language processing tool that:

- Identified and determined the relevance of key words and concepts related to the theme, and

- Evaluated public documentation such as regulatory filings, broker reports, news reports or company profiles to identify those companies providing the highest exposure to the theme.

The Investment Manager supervised and monitored the theme identification and portfolio construction process and actively selected securities for the Sub-Fund's portfolio.

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 81.59% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 28.70% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 28.70% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to

data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively).

Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on

sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

— *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:*

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
VERTEX PHARMACEUTICALS INCORPORATED	Consumer Noncyclical	3.44	United States
NOVARTIS AG	Consumer Noncyclical	3.34	Switzerland
GILEAD SCIENCES INC	Consumer Noncyclical	3.27	United States
BIOGEN INC	Consumer Noncyclical	3.24	United States
IONIS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.	Consumer Noncyclical	3.20	United States
ALNYLAM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.	Consumer Noncyclical	3.13	United States
ASTELLAS PHARMA INC	Consumer Noncyclical	3.10	Japan
ROCHE HOLDING AG	Consumer Noncyclical	3.06	Switzerland
BIO-TECHNE CORPORATION	Consumer Noncyclical	3.03	United States
TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANY LIMITED	Consumer Noncyclical	2.98	Japan
BIOMARIN PHARMACEUTICAL INC	Consumer Noncyclical	2.96	United States
AMGEN INC.	Consumer Noncyclical	2.92	United States
LEGEND BIOTECH CORPORATION	Consumer Noncyclical	2.85	Cayman Islands
BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY	Consumer Noncyclical	2.81	United States
SAREPTA THERAPEUTICS, INC.	Consumer Noncyclical	2.79	United States

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 81.59% of portfolio assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 28.70% of portfolio assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Consumer Cyclical	Consumer Cyc Services	0.36
Consumer Noncyclical	Healthcare	75.92
Consumer Noncyclical	Pharmaceuticals	23.60

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 0% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.00%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 6.25% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 22.46% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 18.41% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the

exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - Total Emerging Markets Income Fund

Legal entity identifier: 5493002K5UKF26HBB985

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 53.95% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 76.38% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 53.95% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 76.38% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 53.95% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 53.95% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
MEXICAN BONOS	EM Local Bond	3.38	Mexico
INDONESIA TREASURY BOND	EM Local Bond	3.37	Indonesia
TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING COMPANY LIMITED	Electric	3.36	Taiwan
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.	Electric	3.17	Korea (Republic of)
BRAZIL NOTAS DO TESOURO NACIONAL SERIE F	EM Local Bond	3.08	Brazil
THAILAND GOVERNMENT BOND	EM Local Bond	2.91	Thailand
GOVERNMENT OF MALAYSIA	EM Local Bond	2.56	Malaysia
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA GOVERNMENT BOND	EM Local Bond	2.50	South Africa
REPUBLIC OF POLAND GOVERNMENT BOND	EM Local Bond	2.22	Poland
CZECH REPUBLIC GOVERNMENT BOND	EM Local Bond	1.82	Czech Republic
NETEASE, INC.	Technology	1.63	Cayman Islands
COLOMBIAN TES	EM Local Bond	1.57	Colombia
PT BANK RAKYAT INDONESIA (PERSERO) TBK	Banking	1.37	Indonesia
INNER MONGOLIA YILI INDUSTRIAL GROUP CO LTD	Consumer Noncyclical	1.27	China
HAIER SMART HOME CO LTD	Consumer Noncyclical	1.18	China

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 76.38% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 53.95% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Banking	Banking	9.14
Basic Industry	Chemicals	0.53
Basic Industry	Metals & Mining	1.04

Basic Industry	Paper	0.12
Capital Goods	Building Materials	0.38
Capital Goods	Diversified Manufacturing	0.30
Communications	Media Entertainment	0.03
Communications	Wireless	2.03
Communications	Wirelines	1.17
Consumer Cyclical	Automotive	2.10
Consumer Cyclical	Consumer Cyc Services	0.49
Consumer Cyclical	Gaming	0.21
Consumer Cyclical	Home Construction	0.80
Consumer Cyclical	Lodging	0.08
Consumer Cyclical	Retailers	1.14
Consumer Cyclical	Textiles	0.97
Consumer Noncyclical	Consumer Products	2.76
Consumer Noncyclical	Food/Beverage	3.49
Consumer Noncyclical	Pharmaceuticals	0.12
Consumer Noncyclical	Supermarkets	0.89
Electric	Electric	11.25
EM Local Bond	EM Local Govt Bond	29.65
Energy	Independent	0.64
Energy	Integrated	3.10
Energy	Midstream	0.64
Energy	Oil Field Services	0.18
Energy	Refining	0.28
Finance Companies	Finance Companies	0.53
Financial Other	Financial Other	3.16
Foreign Agencies	Foreign Agencies	0.30
Industrial Other	Industrial Other	1.84
Insurance	Health Insurance	0.95
Insurance	Life	0.28
Insurance	P&C	0.70
Natural Gas	Natural Gas	0.92
Natural Gas	Pipelines	0.06
REITs	REITS - Other	0.03
Sovereign	Sovereign	10.54
Supranational	Supranational	0.16
Technology	Technology	4.91
Transportation	Railroads	0.18
Transportation	Transportation Services	0.58
US Treasury Bonds/Bills	Bonds	0.50
Utility - Other	Utility - Other	0.30

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 3% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.18%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 27.81% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 26.13% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 23.62% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the

exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - US Aggregate Bond Fund

Legal entity identifier: 821Q18AJUQEF2EHHKB05

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 9.40% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 5% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 84.92% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 9.40% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded issuers that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out issuers that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant issuers for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any issuers during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such issuers were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on an issuer's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, an issuer must score in the top 80% relative to the Sub-Fund's benchmark on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 84.92% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 9.40% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure an issuer's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following or be linked to an environmental or social objective through the use of proceeds of the issue:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy; Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors and (iii) providing a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either: (i) products and services sustainability indicators, which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contribute to the relevant sustainable objective, such as an issuer producing solar panels or clean energy technology that meets the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; (ii) the use of proceeds of the issue, if such use was designated as linked to a specific environmental or social objective, or (iii) was an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to the Sub-Fund's benchmark based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to the Benchmark on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy. The test for supranational and sovereign issuers may consider the issuer's mission or contributions, as peer group leaders or improvers, to positive environmental and social objectives subject to certain criteria.

The Sub-Fund was required to invest 5% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 9.40% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those issuers which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing issuers, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those issuers demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those issuers that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively).

Indicators 10 – 14 relate to an issuer's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions,

chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager also took into account PAI 16 in relation to Investee countries subject to social violations.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude issuers that may cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain issuers, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee issuers themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by issuers or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10, 14 and 16 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, controversial weapons and investee countries subject to social violations. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee issuers in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager currently uses greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions. In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, the Investment Manager used a third-party representative proxy rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain issuers as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. A subset of the indicators was used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee issuers in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emission of air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways requires an issuer to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This requires scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to the Benchmark.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an

exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10, 14 and 16 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact, controversial weapons and country level social violations from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee issuers based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
US DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY	US Treasury	30.13	United States
FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION	Agency ABS	17.25	United States
GOVERNMENT NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION	Agency CMBS	7.18	United States

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 84.92% of its assets to issuers that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 9.40% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
ABS	ABS - Floorplan	0.14
ABS	ABS - Other	0.10
ABS	ABS - Receivables	0.07
Agency ABS	Agency ABS	0.00
Agency CMBS	Agency Multifamily CMO	2.55
Agency CMBS	Agency Multifamily Pass Through	9.14
Agency RMBS	Agency CMO	2.90
Agency RMBS	Agency Pass Through	14.31
CMBS	Agency - CMBS Conduit	5.83
CMBS	CMBS Conduit	0.02
CMBS	CMBS Other	0.07
CMBS	Single Asset Single Borrower	1.36
CMBS	Single Family Rental	1.55
Finance	Banking	8.66
Finance	Brokerage	0.31
Finance	Finance Companies	1.28
Finance	Financial Other	0.03
Finance	Insurance	1.40
Finance	REITs	1.32
Industrial	Basic Industry	0.86
Industrial	Capital Goods	0.31

Industrial	Communications	2.15
Industrial	Consumer Cyclical	1.46
Industrial	Consumer Noncyclical	3.23
Industrial	Energy	2.05
Industrial	Industrial Other	0.10
Industrial	Technology	1.68
Industrial	Transportation	1.65
Non-Agency CMO	Alt -A	0.02
Non-Agency CMO	Home Equity	0.00
Non-Agency CMO	Non-Agency CMO Other	0.09
Non-Agency CMO	Option ARM	0.00
Non-Agency CMO	PACE	0.11
Non-Agency CMO	Prime	0.06
Non-Agency CMO	RMBS - Timeshare	0.06
Non-Agency CMO	RPL	0.01
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Foreign Local Govt	0.01
Non-US Govt Related Credit	Sovereign	0.29
Taxable Muni	Revenue	0.13
US Agency	US Agency Debt	0.24
US Treasury	US Treasury Bonds/Bills	30.06
US Treasury	US Treasury Inflation Protected	0.07
Utilities	Electric	2.27
Utilities	Natural Gas	0.32
Utilities	Utility - Other	0.05

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more issuers disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 0% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments - including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.01%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 4.88% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 4.53% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 15.08% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of issuers that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in issuers with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- Values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation to issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all issuers in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 5% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - US Equity All Cap Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300ZLQH1YQKFE5F22

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 59.78% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 76.32% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 59.78% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 76.32% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 59.78% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 59.78% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
MICROSOFT CORP	Information Technology	5.08	United States
APPLE INC	Information Technology	4.44	United States
NVIDIA CORP	Information Technology	2.69	United States
ALPHABET INC-CL A	Communication Services	2.67	United States
AMAZON.COM INC	Consumer Discretionary	2.48	United States
META PLATFORMS INC-CLASS A	Communication Services	2.34	United States
MASTERCARD INC - A	Financials	1.65	United States
TESLA INC	Consumer Discretionary	1.62	United States
BERKSHIRE HATHAWAY INC-CL B	Financials	1.55	United States
CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORP	Financials	1.19	United States
REGENERON PHARMACEUTICALS	Health Care	1.14	United States
WELLS FARGO & CO	Financials	1.08	United States
UNITEDHEALTH GROUP INC	Health Care	1.04	United States
CHEVRON CORP	Energy	1.03	United States
LOWE'S COS INC	Consumer Discretionary	0.99	United States

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 76.32% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 59.78% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Communication Services	Media & Entertainment	7.43
Communication Services	Telecommunication Services	0.50
Consumer Discretionary	Automobiles And Components	1.62
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Discretionary Dist & Retail	6.06
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Durables And Apparel	1.63
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Services	1.67
Consumer Staples	Consumer Staples Distribution & Retail	0.46
Consumer Staples	Food Beverage And Tobacco	1.47
Consumer Staples	Household And Personal Products	1.06
Energy	Energy	5.09
Financials	Banks	4.67
Financials	Financial Services	8.30
Financials	Insurance	3.40
Health Care	Health Care Equipment And Services	6.15
Health Care	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	6.73
Industrials	Capital Goods	5.98

Industrials	Commercial & Professional Services	1.03
Industrials	Transportation	1.78
Information Technology	Semiconductors And Semiconductor Equip	5.99
Information Technology	Software And Services	10.64
Information Technology	Technology Hardware And Equipment	5.94
Materials	Materials	2.30
Real Estate	Equity Real Estate Invest Trusts (REITS)	4.35
Real Estate	Real Estate Management & Development	0.50
Utilities	Utilities	2.11

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 4% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 2.13%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 42.86% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 16.92% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 23.68% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - US Growth Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300NHETJ8TZESYV60

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 68.83% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 80.94% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 68.83% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 80.94% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 68.83% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 68.83% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
APPLE INC	Technology	9.87	United States
MICROSOFT CORP	Technology	9.73	United States
NVIDIA CORP	Technology	5.51	United States
AMAZON.COM INC	Consumer Discretionary	5.13	United States
ALPHABET INC-CL A	Technology	4.87	United States
META PLATFORMS INC-CLASS A	Technology	4.12	United States
TESLA INC	Consumer Discretionary	2.72	United States
NETFLIX INC	Consumer Discretionary	2.72	United States
ORACLE CORP	Technology	2.13	United States
LOWE'S COS INC	Consumer Discretionary	2.05	United States
ELI LILLY & CO	Health Care	1.92	United States

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 80.94% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 68.83% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Basic Materials	Basic Resources	0.72
Consumer Discretionary	Automobiles & Parts	2.72
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Products & Services	3.82
Consumer Discretionary	Media	3.55
Consumer Discretionary	Retail	8.66
Consumer Discretionary	Travel & Leisure	3.49
Consumer Staples	Food, Beverage & Tobacco	1.27
Consumer Staples	Personal Care, Drug & Grocery Stores	1.42
Energy	Energy	2.55
Financials	Financial Services	1.76
Health Care	Health Care	11.16
Industrials	Construction & Materials	0.85
Industrials	Industrial Goods & Services	4.42
Technology	Technology	50.08

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 9% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 4.41%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 46.23% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 22.60% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 19.06% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the

exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - US High Yield Plus Bond Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300XQG9F85X9B3D31

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 34.39% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 74.65% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 34.39% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded issuers that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out issuers that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant issuers for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any issuers during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such issuers were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on an issuer's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, an issuer must score in the top 80% relative to the Sub-Fund's benchmark on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 74.65% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 34.39% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure an issuer's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following or be linked to an environmental or social objective through the use of proceeds of the issue:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy; Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors and (iii) providing a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either: (i) products and services sustainability indicators, which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contribute to the relevant sustainable objective, such as an issuer producing solar panels or clean energy technology that meets the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; (ii) the use of proceeds of the issue, if such use was designated as linked to a specific environmental or social objective, or (iii) was an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to the Sub-Fund's benchmark based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to the Benchmark on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy. The test for supranational and sovereign issuers may consider the issuer's mission or contributions, as peer group leaders or improvers, to positive environmental and social objectives subject to certain criteria.

The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 34.39% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those issuers which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing issuers, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those issuers demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those issuers that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively).

Indicators 10 – 14 relate to an issuer's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions,

chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager also took into account PAI 16 in relation to Investee countries subject to social violations.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude issuers that may cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain issuers, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee issuers themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by issuers or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10, 14 and 16 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, controversial weapons and investee countries subject to social violations. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee issuers in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager currently uses greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions. In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, the Investment Manager used a third-party representative proxy rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain issuers as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. A subset of the indicators was used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee issuers in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emission of air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways requires an issuer to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This requires scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to the Benchmark.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

— *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:*

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an

exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10, 14 and 16 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact, controversial weapons and country level social violations from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee issuers based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
CCO HOLDINGS LLC / CCO HOLDINGS CAPITAL CORP	Communications	3.64	United States
FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY LLC	Consumer Cyclical	3.02	United States
DISH DBS CORPORATION	Communications	2.57	United States
BAUSCH HEALTH COMPANIES INC.	Consumer Noncyclical	2.49	Canada
TENET HEALTHCARE CORPORATION	Consumer Noncyclical	1.88	United States
COOPER-STANDARD AUTOMOTIVE INC.	Consumer Cyclical	1.76	United States
VICI PROPERTIES LP / VICI NOTE CO INC	Consumer Cyclical	1.38	United States
LIVE NATION ENTERTAINMENT INC	Consumer Cyclical	1.19	United States
SIRIUS XM RADIO INC	Communications	1.09	United States
ALBERTSONS COS INC / SAFEWAY INC / NEW ALBERTSONS LP / ALBERTSONS LLC	Consumer Noncyclical	0.99	United States
CLAIRE'S STORES, INC. DBA CLAIRE'S STORES, INC.	Consumer Cyclical	0.97	United States
CSC HOLDINGS LLC	Communications	0.95	United States
CLEAR CHANNEL OUTDOOR HOLDINGS INC	Communications	0.93	United States
COMMSCOPE, INC.	Technology	0.90	United States
LUMEN TECHNOLOGIES INC DBA LUMEN TECHNOLOGIES INC	Communications	0.90	United States

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 74.65% of its assets to issuers that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 34.39% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee issuers. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Banking	Banking	0.79
Basic Industry	Chemicals	2.48
Basic Industry	Metals & Mining	1.76

Basic Industry	Paper	0.09
Brokerage	Brokerage Assetmanagers Exchanges	0.07
Capital Goods	Aerospace/Defense	0.71
Capital Goods	Building Materials	2.39
Capital Goods	Construction Machinery	0.53
Capital Goods	Diversified Manufacturing	2.62
Capital Goods	Environmental	0.74
Capital Goods	Packaging	2.76
Communications	Cable Satellite	10.82
Communications	Media Entertainment	4.05
Communications	Wireless	1.58
Communications	Wirelines	2.41
Consumer Cyclical	Automotive	8.30
Consumer Cyclical	Consumer Cyc Services	3.34
Consumer Cyclical	Gaming	3.08
Consumer Cyclical	Home Construction	0.11
Consumer Cyclical	Leisure	3.57
Consumer Cyclical	Lodging	0.35
Consumer Cyclical	Restaurants	0.30
Consumer Cyclical	Retailers	3.33
Consumer Noncyclical	Consumer Products	4.46
Consumer Noncyclical	Food/Beverage	1.67
Consumer Noncyclical	Healthcare	5.96
Consumer Noncyclical	Pharmaceuticals	4.02
Consumer Noncyclical	Supermarkets	0.99
Electric	Electric	1.25
Energy	Independent	5.22
Energy	Midstream	5.72
Energy	Oil Field Services	0.85
Finance Companies	Finance Companies	1.13
Financial Other	Financial Other	0.44
Industrial Other	Industrial Other	1.41
Insurance	Health Insurance	0.40
REITs	REITS - Other	0.61
Technology	Technology	6.24
Transportation	Airlines	1.23
Transportation	Transportation Services	1.24

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more issuers disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 0% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.28%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 20.39% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 14.00% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 25.35% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of issuers that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in issuers with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- Values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation to issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

- The requirement for all issuers in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - US Opportunistic Long-Short Equity Fund

Legal entity identifier: 2221OON1BUTTYO3D6915

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ X No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	X It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 67.46% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	X with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	X with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 72.74% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 67.46% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 72.74% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 67.46% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 67.46% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
UNITEDHEALTH GROUP INC	Health Services & Systems	9.70	United States
P G & E CORP	Utilities	8.45	United States
FISERV INC	Financial/Business Services	7.52	United States
WALMART INC	Retail	5.86	United States
NXP SEMICONDUCTORS NV	Semi & Hardware	5.60	Netherlands
BERKSHIRE HATHAWAY INC-CL B	Industrial Cyclical	5.43	United States
TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR-SP ADR	Semi & Hardware	4.93	Taiwan
ADOBE INC	Software & Services	4.17	United States

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 72.74% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 67.46% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors – please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Communication Services	Media & Entertainment	3.66
Communication Services	Telecommunication Services	-1.31
Consumer Discretionary	Automobiles And Components	-1.08
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Discretionary Dist & Retail	0.65
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Durables And Apparel	-1.50
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Services	5.47
Consumer Staples	Consumer Staples Distribution & Retail	5.00
Consumer Staples	Food Beverage And Tobacco	6.33
Financials	Banks	-0.14
Financials	Financial Services	13.76
Health Care	Health Care Equipment And Services	12.93
Health Care	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	-4.45
Industrials	Capital Goods	2.77
Industrials	Transportation	-1.12
Information Technology	Semiconductors And Semiconductor Equip	14.17
Information Technology	Software And Services	8.13
Information Technology	Technology Hardware And	1.46

	Equipment	
Materials	Materials	-0.30
Real Estate	Equity Real Estate Invest Trusts (REITS)	-1.62
Utilities	Utilities	10.16

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 3% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.20%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 56.61% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 10.86% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 27.26% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.

- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - US Select Equity Plus Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300HJDMO1LRN7KX18

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 69.46% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 82.67% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 69.46% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 82.67% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 69.46% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 69.46% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
MICROSOFT CORP	Software & Services	7.85	United States
APPLE INC	Semi & Hardware	5.81	United States
AMAZON.COM INC	Media	4.99	United States
NVIDIA CORP	Semi & Hardware	3.87	United States
ALPHABET INC-CL A	Media	3.86	United States
NXP SEMICONDUCTORS NV	Semi & Hardware	3.24	Netherlands
META PLATFORMS INC-CLASS A	Media	3.20	United States
MASTERCARD INC - A	Financial/Business Services	3.16	United States
UNITEDHEALTH GROUP INC	Health Services & Systems	2.91	United States
EXXON MOBIL CORP	Commodities	2.37	United States
TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR-SP ADR	Semi & Hardware	1.95	Taiwan
BOOZ ALLEN HAMILTON HOLDINGS	Industrial Cyclical	1.94	United States
WELLS FARGO & CO	Big Banks & Brokers	1.88	United States
ABBVIE INC	Pharm/MedTech	1.53	United States
HOWMET AEROSPACE INC	Industrial Cyclical	1.46	United States

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 82.67% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 69.46% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the

fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Communication Services	Media & Entertainment	8.32
Communication Services	Telecommunication Services	0.08
Consumer Discretionary	Automobiles And Components	-0.62
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Discretionary Dist & Retail	7.26
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Durables And Apparel	0.25
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Services	4.88
Consumer Staples	Consumer Staples Distribution & Retail	0.22
Consumer Staples	Food Beverage And Tobacco	3.48
Consumer Staples	Household And Personal Products	1.04
Energy	Energy	4.76
Financials	Banks	2.23
Financials	Financial Services	7.60
Financials	Insurance	1.29
Health Care	Health Care Equipment And Services	7.02
Health Care	Pharmaceuticals Biotech &	8.00

	Life Sciences	
Industrials	Capital Goods	4.77
Industrials	Commercial & Professional Services	1.99
Industrials	Transportation	2.58
Information Technology	Semiconductors And Semiconductor Equip	10.42
Information Technology	Software And Services	11.34
Information Technology	Technology Hardware And Equipment	6.17
Materials	Materials	2.34
Real Estate	Equity Real Estate Invest Trusts (REITS)	1.94
Utilities	Utilities	2.58

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 4% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.91%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 50.62% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 18.84% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under “other”, what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 17.33% of assets in “other” investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, “How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under “other”. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including “other” investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - US Small Cap Growth Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300RU76KNZ6MTK051

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 30.13% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 69.27% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 30.13% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 69.27% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 30.13% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 30.13% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
SUPER MICRO COMPUTER INC	Technology	1.91	United States
APPLIED INDUSTRIAL TECH INC	Industrials	1.81	United States
MSA SAFETY INC	Industrials	1.71	United States
CONMED Corp	Health Care	1.59	United States
KBR INC	Technology	1.50	United States
CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS INC-A	Utilities	1.44	United States
Evolent Health Inc	Health Care	1.44	United States
Simpson Manufacturing Co Inc	Industrials	1.39	United States
CACTUS INC - A	Energy	1.28	United States
HEXCEL CORP	Basic Materials	1.27	United States
TEXAS ROADHOUSE INC	Consumer Discretionary	1.24	United States
Boyd Gaming Corp	Consumer Discretionary	1.23	United States
CYBERARK SOFTWARE LTD/ISRAEL	Technology	1.22	Israel
TERRENO REALTY CORP	Real Estate	1.22	United States
SAIA INC	Industrials	1.21	United States

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 69.27% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 30.13% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the

fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Basic Materials	Basic Resources	1.27
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Products & Services	5.57
Consumer Discretionary	Retail	5.03
Consumer Discretionary	Travel & Leisure	6.36
Consumer Staples	Food, Beverage & Tobacco	1.02
Consumer Staples	Personal Care, Drug & Grocery Stores	1.15
Energy	Energy	6.39
Financials	Banks	0.64
Financials	Financial Services	1.00
Financials	Insurance	0.42
Health Care	Health Care	23.37
Industrials	Construction & Materials	4.93
Industrials	Industrial Goods & Services	15.49
Real Estate	Real Estate	1.93
Technology	Technology	19.77
Telecommunications	Telecommunications	0.34
Utilities	Utilities	1.44

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 5% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 2.63%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 7.93% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 22.20% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 30.73% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the

exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - US Smaller Companies Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300F2ED4WP9SHES87

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 32.05% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 69.05% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 32.05% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 69.05% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 32.05% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 32.05% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
MSA SAFETY INC	Industrials	1.88	United States
WILLSCOT MOBILE MINI HOLDINGS	Industrials	1.87	United States
Encompass Health Corp	Health Care	1.82	United States
CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS INC-A	Utilities	1.56	United States
POWER INTEGRATIONS INC	Technology	1.54	United States
MACOM TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS H	Technology	1.53	United States
WEX INC	Industrials	1.49	United States
LINCOLN ELECTRIC HOLDINGS	Industrials	1.49	United States
AssetMark Financial Holdings I	Financials	1.47	United States
APTARGROUP INC	Industrials	1.46	United States
BRIGHT HORIZONS FAMILY SOLUT	Consumer Discretionary	1.45	United States
NOVANTA INC	Technology	1.44	Canada
Driven Brands Holdings Inc	Consumer Discretionary	1.44	United States
ICU MEDICAL INC	Health Care	1.39	United States
HEALTHEQUITY INC	Health Care	1.36	United States

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 69.05% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 32.05% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the

fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Basic Materials	Basic Resources	1.83
Basic Materials	Chemicals	2.23
Consumer Discretionary	Automobiles & Parts	1.44
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Products & Services	7.19
Consumer Discretionary	Retail	1.96
Consumer Discretionary	Travel & Leisure	3.51
Consumer Staples	Food, Beverage & Tobacco	2.84
Energy	Energy	1.22
Financials	Banks	6.30
Financials	Financial Services	5.65
Financials	Insurance	2.29
Health Care	Health Care	12.21
Industrials	Construction & Materials	2.28
Industrials	Industrial Goods & Services	24.12
Real Estate	Real Estate	5.41
Technology	Technology	11.60
Utilities	Utilities	4.87

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 3% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.58%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 12.30% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 19.75% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 30.95% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the

exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - US Sustainable Equity Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300TXYB3B5RFZ1G06

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 84.72% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 67% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 40% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 92.73% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 84.72% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues. Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 67% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 92.73% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 84.72% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 40% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 84.72% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
MICROSOFT CORP	Software & Services	8.70	United States
APPLE INC	Semi & Hardware	6.65	United States
AMAZON.COM INC	Media	4.79	United States
ALPHABET INC-CL A	Media	4.20	United States
MASTERCARD INC - A	Financial/Business Services	3.58	United States
NVIDIA CORP	Semi & Hardware	3.22	United States
PROCTER & GAMBLE CO/THE	Consumer Staples	2.46	United States
ABBVIE INC	Pharm/MedTech	2.46	United States
UNITEDHEALTH GROUP INC	Health Services & Systems	2.42	United States
NXP SEMICONDUCTORS NV	Semi & Hardware	2.00	Netherlands
PROGRESSIVE CORP	Insurance	1.90	United States
COSTCO WHOLESALE CORP	Retail	1.83	United States
PROLOGIS INC	REITs	1.75	United States
LOWE'S COS INC	Retail	1.61	United States
S&P GLOBAL INC	Financial/Business Services	1.61	United States

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 92.73% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 84.72% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Communication Services	Media & Entertainment	6.83
Consumer Discretionary	Automobiles And Components	1.51
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Discretionary Dist & Retail	8.74
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Services	2.47
Consumer Staples	Consumer Staples Distribution & Retail	2.66
Consumer Staples	Household And Personal Products	2.46
Energy	Energy	0.59
Financials	Banks	2.31
Financials	Financial Services	10.28
Financials	Insurance	1.90
Health Care	Health Care Equipment And Services	6.39
Health Care	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	9.70
Industrials	Capital Goods	5.05
Industrials	Transportation	2.43
Information Technology	Semiconductors And Semiconductor Equip	8.48
Information Technology	Software And Services	12.09

Information Technology	Technology Hardware And Equipment	8.19
Materials	Materials	2.04
Real Estate	Equity Real Estate Invest Trusts (REITS)	3.73
Utilities	Utilities	2.14

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 8% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 2.21%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 66.17% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 18.55% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 7.27% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.

- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 67% of assets in companies with positive or improving E/S characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub-Fund also committed to investing at least 40% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - US Technology Fund

Legal entity identifier: 5493007K3Z7OZR5WIM85

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 52.77% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 77.18% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 52.77% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 77.18% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 52.77% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 52.77% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
META PLATFORMS INC-CLASS A	Technology	5.39	United States
NVIDIA CORP	Technology	4.60	United States
TESLA INC	Consumer Discretionary	4.37	United States
ORACLE CORP	Technology	3.51	United States
SYNOPSYS INC	Technology	3.31	United States
NETFLIX INC	Consumer Discretionary	3.11	United States
ALPHABET INC-CL A	Technology	3.02	United States
ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES	Technology	3.01	United States
MONGODB INC	Technology	2.71	United States
WORKDAY INC-CLASS A	Technology	2.62	United States
AMAZON.COM INC	Consumer Discretionary	2.61	United States
ADOBE INC	Technology	2.51	United States
SALESFORCE INC	Technology	2.39	United States
LAM RESEARCH CORP	Technology	2.26	United States
HUBSPOT INC	Technology	2.26	United States

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 77.18% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 52.77% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Consumer Discretionary	Automobiles & Parts	4.37
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Products & Services	4.86
Consumer Discretionary	Media	4.91
Consumer Discretionary	Retail	2.61
Consumer Discretionary	Travel & Leisure	2.10
Energy	Energy	1.20
Health Care	Health Care	1.96
Industrials	Industrial Goods & Services	1.74
Real Estate	Real Estate	1.35
Technology	Technology	71.99
Telecommunications	Telecommunications	0.38

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 11% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 5.16%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 31.53% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 21.24% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 22.82% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the

exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: JPMorgan Funds - US Value Fund

Legal entity identifier: 549300ICWRHFZD5W6646

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

●● ☐ Yes	●○ ☒ No
☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** %	☒ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 56.84% of sustainable investments
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☒ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	☒ with a social objective
☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** %	☐ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Sub-Fund set out to promote a broad range of environmental, social and governance characteristics through its investment inclusion criteria, specifically with a minimum asset allocation of 51% of investments having positive environmental and/or social characteristics and a minimum of 10% of investments being sustainable investments. This commitment was met throughout the reference period (1 July 2022 - 30 June 2023). At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 78.23% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 56.84% of sustainable investments.

These investments were determined by the application of an inclusion and exclusion criteria which applied at both an asset and product level. The inclusion criteria is underpinned by an ESG score assigned to all investments within the strategy to identify those that may be considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics and those that meet the thresholds for being considered as sustainable investment.

The ESG score takes into account the following indicators: effective management of toxic emissions, waste, good environmental record and social characteristics such as effective sustainability disclosures, positive scores on labour

relations and management of safety issues.

Through its exclusion criteria (which applied both full and partial exclusions); the Sub-Fund promoted certain norms and values including supporting the protection of internationally proclaimed human rights. The Sub-Fund fully excluded companies that are involved in the manufacture of controversial weapons and applied maximum revenue or production thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco.

In relation to the consideration of Good Governance, all investments (excluding cash and derivatives) were screened to exclude known violators of good governance practices. In addition, for those investments considered to have environmental and/or social characteristics or qualifying sustainable investments, additional considerations applied. For these investments, the Sub-Fund incorporated a peer group comparison and screened out companies that did not score in the top 80% relative to peers based on good governance indicators.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics. The extent to which the promoted environmental and social characteristics were met may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to the relevant companies for the reference period exhibiting such characteristics.

In summary: the Sub-Fund met its pre-contractual committed minimums related to environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments policy throughout the reference period. The Sub-Fund applied screens with the aim of excluding all potential investments prohibited under its exclusion policy throughout this period. The extent to which the norms and values promoted by the Sub-Fund were met is based on whether the Sub-Fund held any companies during the reference period that would have been prohibited under the exclusion policy. The Investment Manager has no indication that such companies were held. The Investment Manager informs that the continuity of the percentage values and information disclosed cannot be guaranteed in the future and is subject to the constantly evolving legal and regulatory landscape. The duration of the reference period can be less than 12 months if the fund was launched, closed or changed its Article 8/9 status during this time.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

A combination of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG scoring methodology: consisting of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG score, and/or third-party data was used as part of the inclusion criteria to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics that the Sub-Fund promotes.

The methodology was based on a company's management of relevant environmental or social issues such as its toxic emissions, waste management, labour relations and safety issues, diversity/independence of the board of directors and data privacy. To be included in the 51% of assets considered to be promoting environmental and/or social characteristics, a company must score in the top 80% relative to its peers on either its environmental score or social score and meet the good governance conditions outlined above.

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund held 78.23% of investments with positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 56.84% of sustainable investments.

In respect of the applied norms and values based exclusions, the Investment Manager utilised data to measure a company's participation in the relevant activities. Screening on that data resulted in full exclusions on certain potential investments and partial exclusions based on maximum percentage thresholds on revenue or production as envisaged through the exclusions policy. Throughout the reference period, and at no point, were the exclusion rules breached. A subset of the "Adverse Sustainability Indicators" as set out in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards was also incorporated in the screening.

The Sub-Fund had no specific allocation targets in favour of either environmental or social characteristics and therefore the performance of the indicators in respect of specific environmental or social characteristics is not set out here.

Please refer to the Environmental / Social Characteristics Disclosure for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable for 2022

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund partially made was comprised of any individual or combination of the following during the reference period:

Environmental Objectives: (i) climate risk mitigation, (ii) transition to a circular economy

Social Objectives: (i) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female executive representation, (ii) inclusive and sustainable communities - increased female representation on boards of directors, and (iii) provision of a decent working environment and culture.

Contribution to such objectives was determined by either (i) products and services sustainability indicators which may have included the percentage of revenue derived from providing products and/or services that contributed to the relevant sustainable objective, such as a company producing solar panels or clean energy technology that met the Investment Manager's proprietary thresholds contributing to climate risk mitigation. The current percentage of revenue is set at a minimum of 20% and the entire holding in the company is considered a sustainable investment; or (ii) being an operational peer group leader contributing to the relevant objective. Being a peer group leader is defined as scoring in the top 20% relative to peers based on certain operational sustainability indicators. For example, scoring in the top 20% relative to peers on total waste impact contributes to a transition to a circular economy.

The actual contribution to such objectives may be understood by considering the actual % of assets allocated to sustainable investments for the reference period. The Sub-Fund was required to invest 10% in sustainable investments. At no time during the period did the Sub-Fund hold sustainable investments below its committed minimum. At the end of the reference period, 56.84% of its assets were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The sustainable investments that the Sub-Fund intended to make were subject to a screening process that sought to identify and exclude, from qualifying as a sustainable investment, those companies which the Investment Manager considered the worst performing companies, based on a threshold determined by the Investment Manager, in relation to certain environmental considerations. As a consequence, only those companies demonstrating the best indicators relative to both absolute and relative measures were considered sustainable investments.

Such considerations include climate change, protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, pollution and protection of biodiversity and ecosystems. In addition, the Investment Manager also applied a screen that sought to identify and exclude those companies that the Investment Manager considers to be in violation of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights based on data supplied by third-party service providers.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors in Table 1 of Annex 1 and certain indicators, as determined by the Investment Manager, in Tables 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were taken into account as further described below. The Investment Manager used either the metrics in the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards, or where this was not possible due to data limitations or other technical issues, a representative proxy. The Investment Manager consolidated the consideration of certain indicators into a "primary" indicator as set out further below and may have used an additional broader set of indicators than referenced below.

The relevant indicators in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards consist of 9 environmental and 5 social and employee related indicators. The environmental indicators are listed at 1-9 and relate to green-house gas emissions (1-3), exposure to fossil fuel, share of non-renewable energy consumption and production, energy consumption intensity, activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste (4-9 respectively). Indicators 10 – 14 relate to a company's social and employee matters and cover violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles, unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity and exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) respectively.

The Investment Manager's approach included both quantitative and qualitative aspects to take the above indicators into account. It used particular indicators for screening, seeking to exclude companies that may

cause significant harm. It used a subset for engagement with certain companies, seeking to influence best practice and it used certain of them as indicators of positive sustainability performance, by applying a minimum threshold in respect of the indicator to qualify as a sustainable investment. The data needed to take the indicators into account, where available, may have been obtained from investee companies themselves and/or supplied by third-party service providers (including proxy data). Data inputs that are self-reported by companies or supplied by third-party providers may be based on data sets and assumptions that may be insufficient, of poor quality or contain biased information. Due to dependency on third-parties, the Investment Manager cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data.

Screening

Certain of the indicators were taken into account through the values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. These exclusions took into account indicators 10 and 14 in relation to UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and controversial weapons. The Investment Manager also applied a purpose-built screen. Due to certain technical considerations, such as data coverage in respect of specific indicators, the Investment Manager either applied the specific indicator per Table 1 or a representative proxy, as determined by the Investment Manager to screen investee companies in respect of the relevant environmental or social & employee matters. For example, greenhouse gas emissions are associated with several indicators and corresponding metrics in Table 1, such as greenhouse gas emissions, carbon footprint and greenhouse gas intensity (indicators 1-3). The Investment Manager used greenhouse gas intensity data (indicator 3), data in respect of non-renewable energy consumption and production (indicator 5) and data on energy consumption intensity (indicator 6) to perform its screening in respect of greenhouse gas emissions.

In connection with the purpose-built screening and in respect of activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas and the emissions to water (indicators 7 and 8), due to data limitations, third-party representative proxy data was used, rather than the specific indicators per Table 1. The Investment Manager also took into account indicator 9 in relation to hazardous waste in respect of the purpose-built screen.

Engagement

In addition to screening out certain companies as described above, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. A subset of the indicators were used, subject to certain technical considerations such as data coverage, as the basis for engaging with selected underlying investee companies in accordance with the approach taken by the Investment Manager on stewardship and engagement. The indicators used in respect of such engagement include indicators 3, 5 and 13 in relation to greenhouse gas intensity, share of non-renewable energy and board gender diversity from Table 1. It also used indicators 2 in Table 2 and 3 in Table 3 in relation to emissions or air pollutants and number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness.

Indicators of sustainability

The Investment Manager used indicators 3 and 13 in relation to GHG Intensity and board gender diversity as indicators of sustainability to assist in qualifying an investment as a sustainable investment. One of the pathways required a company to be considered as an operational peer group leader to qualify as a sustainable investment. This required scoring against the indicator in the top 20% relative to peers.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Norms based portfolio exclusions as described above under "To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?" were applied to seek alignment with these guidelines and principles. Third-party data was used to identify potential violators. Unless an exception was granted, the Sub-Fund prohibited relevant investments in these issuers.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered select principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors through values and norms-based screening to implement exclusions. Indicators 10 and 14 in relation to violations of the UN Global Compact and controversial weapons from the EU SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards were used in respect of such screening. The Sub-Fund also used certain of the indicators as part of the "Do No Significant Harm" screen as detailed in the response to the question directly above to demonstrate that an investment qualified as a sustainable investment.

A subset of the above-mentioned Adverse sustainability indicators were used to determine engagement with investee companies based on their respective PAI performance.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product on a snapshot basis at the end of the reference period which is:
01/07/2022 - 30/06/2023

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
EXXON MOBIL CORP	Energy	2.74	United States
CONOCOPHILLIPS	Energy	2.62	United States
WELLS FARGO & CO	Financials	2.56	United States
AXALTA COATING SYSTEMS LTD	Materials	2.34	Bermuda
AIR PRODUCTS & CHEMICALS INC	Materials	2.24	United States
BERKSHIRE HATHAWAY INC-CL B	Financials	2.14	United States
BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB CO	Health Care	2.11	United States
MORGAN STANLEY	Financials	1.96	United States
BLACKROCK INC	Financials	1.96	United States
UNITEDHEALTH GROUP INC	Health Care	1.86	United States
CHEVRON CORP	Energy	1.80	United States
UNITED PARCEL SERVICE-CL B	Industrials	1.74	United States
COMCAST CORP-CLASS A	Communication Services	1.72	United States
DOVER CORP	Industrials	1.70	United States
CSX CORP	Industrials	1.69	United States

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

At the end of the reference period, the Sub-Fund allocated 78.23% of its assets to companies that exhibited positive environmental and/or social characteristics and 56.84% of assets to sustainable investments. The Sub-Fund did not commit to investing any proportion of assets specifically in securities exhibiting positive environmental characteristics or specifically in positive social characteristics nor is there any commitment to any specific individual or combination of environmental or social objectives.

Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are not included in the % of assets set out in the table below. These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

Nb: EU Taxonomy alignment for those instruments considered to be sustainable investments by JP Morgan will be populated in the graph below once available and if applicable. The complete EU Taxonomy alignment of the

fund is reflected below (in response to the question: To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?)



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:
- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Although the Sub-Fund promoted certain environmental and social characteristics through its inclusion and exclusion criteria, it may have invested across a broad range of sectors - please refer to the list below for a sector breakdown at the end of the reference period. In addition, the Investment Manager engaged on an ongoing basis with selected underlying investee companies. Investments within sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels, will be included in the table below if held. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM are excluded from the results, but are included in the denominator for the % of assets set out both in the table below, and in the Top Investments table.

Sector	Sub-sector	% Assets
Communication Services	Media & Entertainment	4.80
Communication Services	Telecommunication Services	0.45
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Discretionary Dist & Retail	5.38
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Durables And Apparel	0.80
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Services	1.74
Consumer Staples	Consumer Staples Distribution & Retail	1.90
Consumer Staples	Food Beverage And Tobacco	2.18
Consumer Staples	Household And Personal Products	1.19
Energy	Energy	8.70
Financials	Banks	6.90
Financials	Financial Services	11.19
Financials	Insurance	4.35
Health Care	Health Care Equipment And Services	8.19
Health Care	Pharmaceuticals Biotech & Life Sciences	9.06

Industrials	Capital Goods	4.56
Industrials	Commercial & Professional Services	1.15
Industrials	Transportation	4.14
Information Technology	Semiconductors And Semiconductor Equip	5.96
Information Technology	Software And Services	2.04
Information Technology	Technology Hardware And Equipment	0.37
Materials	Materials	6.88
Real Estate	Equity Real Estate Invest Trusts (REITS)	1.16
Utilities	Utilities	4.66

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.
Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?[1]

Data on EU Taxonomy alignment is currently very limited, in particular with regards to fossil gas and nuclear energy. We expect this to improve over time as more companies disclose their alignment, and the data becomes more available.

The Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to sustainable investment with environmental objectives aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

Therefore, the precontractual disclosure document for the Sub-Fund indicates the extent of targeted sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as 0%. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments (as defined by SFDR).

The below graphs illustrate the actual extent of investments in sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as measured at the end of the reference period.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy[1]?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:
- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee company today.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant to a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





This graph represents 2% of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

[1] Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Further to the above, the Sub-Fund has made no minimum commitment to making EU Taxonomy aligned investments – including Transitioning and Enabling activities. Any alignment outlined below is a by-product of the Sub-Fund's framework which considers investments that have positive environmental and/or social characteristics and sustainable investments.

The calculated share of Transitioning activities represents 0.00% and the calculated share of Enabling activities represents 0.21%, at the end of the reference period.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 48.54% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 8.31% of assets at the end of the reference period.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 21.77% of assets in "other" investments were comprised of companies that did not meet the criteria described in response to above question entitled, "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" to qualify as exhibiting positive environmental or social characteristics. They are investments for diversification purposes. Ancillary Liquid Assets, Deposits with Credit Institutions, money market instruments/funds (for managing cash subscriptions and redemptions as well as current and exceptional payments) and derivatives for EPM were not included in the % of assets included in the asset allocation diagram above, including under "other". These holdings fluctuate depending on investment flows and are ancillary to the investment policy with minimal or no impact on investment operations.

All investments, including "other" investments were subject to the following ESG Minimum Safeguards/principle:

- The minimum safeguards as outlined by Article 18 of the EU Taxonomy Regulation (including alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Application of good governance practices (these include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance), as implemented by the Investment Manager.
- Compliance with the Do No Significant Harm principle as prescribed under the definition of sustainable investment in EU SFDR.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The following binding elements of the investment strategy were applied during the reference period to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics:

- The requirement to invest at least 51% of assets in companies with positive environmental and/or social characteristics.
- The values and norms-based screening to implement full exclusions in relation issuers that are involved in certain activities such as manufacturing controversial weapons and applying maximum revenue, production or distribution percentage thresholds to others such as those that are involved in thermal coal and tobacco. Please refer to the exclusions policy for the Sub-Fund on www.jpmorganassetmanagement.lu for further information by searching for your particular Sub-Fund and accessing the ESG Information section.
- The requirement for all companies in the portfolio to follow good governance practices.

The Sub- Fund also committed to investing at least 10% of assets in sustainable investments.

Further information on engagement is available in the answer to the question "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?"

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?***

  Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?***

  Not applicable